

STATE OF HAWAII
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
OFFICE OF THE ADJUTANT GENERAL
3949 DIAMOND HEAD ROAD
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96816-4495

March 17, 2023

ADDENDUM NO. 1

Plan Development and Supporting Activities, State of Hawaii,
Department of Defense, Office Homeland Security,
RFP Job No. CA-202212

The items listed hereinafter are hereby made a part of the contract for the above-mentioned project and shall govern the work taking precedence over previously issued contract documents governing the items mentioned. Receipt of this Addendum No. 1 is to be acknowledged by initialing next to State's Responses to Questions in **Section One, 1.4 RFP Schedule and Significant Dates** table of the RFP packet.

Below are the answers to the questions submitted prior to the due date of March 15, 2023:

1. Can you confirm that the vendor(s) selected to perform work under this RFP will not be precluded from performing any follow-on work related to the outcomes/deliverables in this RFP, to include future SLCGP grant-based projects?
 - a. **There is no preclusion for performing follow-on work related to the outcomes/deliverables of this RFP, including any other grant-based projects.**
2. Please clarify if there is a proposal page limit.
 - a. **There are no page limit restriction.**
3. Can you please clarify if there are formatting requirements for the proposal? (e.g., single/double sided, margins, paragraph spacing, font size, file naming convention, graphics, etc.)
 - a. **No, formatting requirements are required for the proposal.**
4. Can you please clarify if there are any requirements for the number of proposal copies that need to be submitted?
 - a. **We'd prefer to have one hard copy and one electronic copy in PDF format.**
5. Will you provide a copy or access to the Hawai'i Critical Infrastructure Security & Resilience Strategy document?
 - a. **Yes.**

6. Can you clarify what type of exercises are required and how many (i.e. – 4 total – one for each county) – the RFP mentions “field” exercises – is that supposed to be a full-scale exercise IAW HSEEP, or could those be tabletop or functional exercises?
 - a. These are intended to be scenario-driven tabletop and/or functional exercises. The participant grouping will, at a minimum, be county government (there are 4), with additional iterations at other entities to be determined. A notional example of such entities would be the State Department of Education or similar government organization.
7. Is there a deadline for the completion of any of the requested plans?
 - a. Planning deadlines are internally determined and will be developed in coordination with the successful bidder, initially after contract award and thereafter adjusted as needed over the life of the contract.
8. Objective 3 (Develop a Statewide Cybersecurity Strategy and Implementation Plan): Did Hawaii submit a cybersecurity plan to CISA for their approval, or did they seek an exception to secure an extension on their plan submission?
 - a. Hawaii submitted an initial exception request for plan submission.
9. Under Objective 2, could you please provide additional details on the status of existing critical infrastructure inventories?
 - a. Existing inventories are dated and limited. For the purposes of the project, they may equate to some small percentage of the data anticipated to be required with what data that is currently available in need of validating/updating and expanded on.
10. Can you provide a copy or access to the ETS Cyber Incident Response Plan?
 - a. We can provide an outline of their plan, but do not have authority or approval to provide the plan in its entirety.
11. To support optional years, shall bidders submit time and material rates for consideration?
 - a. Support for optional years are subject to funding availability. At this time bidders should not provide any time / material rates for the optional years. As stated in the terms and contract, the Contractor and the State may extend the term of the contract for up to four (4) additional 12-month periods or portions thereof without the necessity of resoliciting, upon mutual agreement in writing at least sixty (60) days prior to the expiration of the contract. Fees to be negotiable.

12. For Objective 2, what tech stack platform has OHS decided to go with for data management? Could you please clarify and confirm the scope of work regarding this objective?

a. OHS is in the process of implementing ArcGIS Enterprise as the state platform for data management. The implementation typically consists of ArcGIS Web Adapter, ArcGIS Portal, ArcGIS Server, and ArcGIS Datastore on customer-provided servers based on a basic implementation and design plan that is currently in development. Additionally, existing data inventories are located in the federal government's IP Gateway, managed by the Department of Homeland Security, Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA).

The scope of work is the collection and documentation of key data and information that will serve as the basis for analysis of the state's critical infrastructure ecosystem. The output will be 1) an Implementation Plan that articulates how the data collection and baseline assessment will be conducted (subject to OHS approval) and 2) a comprehensive dataset and an initial baseline interdependency assessment of the state's critical infrastructure and their dependencies/interdependencies.

13. For Objective 5, which counties/entities are included in the scope of this work? (i.e. – is the implementation plan just for all 4 counties or are other entities required) (Develop Statewide Cyber Workforce Development Strategy and County/Entity-Level Implementation Plans)

a. The Statewide Cyber Workforce Development Strategy and County/Entity-Level Implementation Plans scope would include state government and include, at a minimum, county government, with the possibility of including other relevant stakeholder groups to be determined in the strategy/implementation plan development process.

14. For the Past Performance on Projects of Similar Scope and Size, please confirm that offerors will not be limited to providing only Hawaii based examples? This would severely limit competition. Will preference be given to firms with a physical presence in Hawaii?

a. The examples of past performance on project of similar scope / size are not limited to Hawaii. Examples could be derived from any location. Ideally, we would prefer the vendor to have a physical presence in Hawaii.

Kenneth S. Hara
Major General
Adjutant General

Posted: March 20, 2023