APPENDIX A INTERAGENCY AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGENCY COORDINATION AND CONSULTATION LETTERS AND RESPONSES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>

APPENDIX A-1 JOINT BASE-PEARL HARBOR HICKAM AND PACIFIC MISSILE RANGE FACILITY-BARKING SANDS	A-3
APPENDIX A-1.1 STAKEHOLDER MAILING LIST	A-4
APPENDIX A-1.2 SAMPLE NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT SECTION 106 LETTERS	A-10
APPENDIX A-1.3 SAMPLE INTERAGENCY AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL LETTERS AND ATTACHMENTS	A-32
APPENDIX A-2 ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE, GUAM	A-42
APPENDIX A-2.1 STAKEHOLDER MAILING LIST	A-43
APPENDIX A-2.2 NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT SECTION 106 LETTERS	A-46
APPENDIX A-2.3 SAMPLE INTERAGENCY AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL LETTERS AND ATTACHMENTS	A-59
APPENDIX A-3 RESPONSES TO SCOPING IICEP LETTERS	A-69
APPENDIX A-4 AGENCY CONSULTATIONS	A-121

Appendix A-1

Joint Base-Pearl Harbor Hickam and Pacific Missile Range Facility-Barking Sands

Appendix A-1.1

Stakeholder Mailing List

Native Hawaiian Organizations

Ms. Hinaleimoana K.K. Wong-Kalu Chair Island Burial Council Kakuhihewa Bldg 601 Kamokila Blvd, Suite 555 Kapolei, HI 96707

Mr. Roddy Akau Halau O Huluena c/o Office of Hawaiian Affairs 560 N. Nimitz Hwy, Suite 200 Honolulu, HI 96817

Mr. Glenn Yokoyama President Pearl City Lions Club P.O. Box 48 Pearl City, HI 96872

Mr. Steven Nagano UCG 4-H Agent Oahu Urban Garden Center 956 Kamehameha Hwy Pearl City, HI 96872

Mr. Kehaulani Lum President Ali`l Pauahi Hawaiian Civic Club 99-045 Nalopaka Pl Aiea, HI 96701

Mr. Larry Veray Chair Pearl City Neighborhood Board No. 21 530 South King Street Honolulu, HI 96813

Ms. Leanora Kaiaokamalie Na 'Ohana o Kilauano Papa o Mana Ikaiaokamalie@kauai.gov Mr. Kaliko Santos Community Outreach Office of Hawaiian Affairs – Kaua'i Office 4405 Kukui Grove St Suite 103 Lihue, HI 96766

Pearl City Community Association P.O. Box 284 Pearl City, HI 96872

Ms. Beatriz Aragon-Balgas UGC Administrative Assistant Oahu Urban Garden Center/ Oahu Urban Garden Center Ohana 955 Kamehameha Hwy Pearl City, HI 96872

Ms. Toni Lee President Pearl Harbor Civic Club PO Box 66 Aiea, HI 96701

Mr. Shad Kane President Oahu Council of Hawaiian Civic Clubs shadskane@gmail.com

Mr. Edmund Aczon Chair The Filipino Community Center, Inc. 94-428 Mokuola Street, Suite 302 Waipahu, HI 96797

Mr. Jerome Yasuhara Office of Hawaiian Affairs 560 N. Nimitz Hwy, Suite 200 Honolulu, HI 96817 Sir/Madam Hawaii's Plantation Villages 94-695 Waipahu Street Waipahu, HI 96797

Ms. Tracie Ku'uipo Losch Program Coordinator Hawaiian Studies Program, UH-Leeward Community College 96-045 Ala Ike Pearl City, HI 96782

Mr. Kai Markell Office of Hawaiian Affairs 560 N. Nimitz Hwy, Suite 200 Honolulu, HI 96817

Ms. Rona Rodenhurst President 'Ahahui Siwila Hawai'i O Kapōlei P.O. Box 700007 Kapolei, HI 96709

Mr. Dwight Victor President Kalaeloa Heritage and Legacy Foundation P.O. Box 75447 Kapolei, HI 96707

Mr. Kunane Aipoalani President Na 'Ohana Papa O Mana kaipoalani@twc.com Ms. Lisa Oshiro Suganuma Policy Analyst, US DOI Office of Native Hawaiian Relations PO BOX 50165 Honolulu, HI 96850

Ms. Lynn Miyahira President Hawaii United Okinawa Association Hawaii Okinawa Center 94-587 Ukee Street Waipahu, HI 96797

Ms. Sylvia Hussey CEO Office of Hawaiian Affairs Na Lama Kukui 560 N Nimitz Hwy, Suite 200 Honolulu, HI 96817

Mr. Farden Hailama President Association of Hawaiian Civic Clubs P.O. Box 1135 Honolulu, HI 96807

Mr. Dennis Ragsdale Advocate General Order of Kamehameha I 1777 Ala Moana Blvd, #142-102 Honolulu, HI 96815

Sir/Madam Camoes Portuguese Club Hawaii oahurays@yahoo.com

Government Agencies and Representatives

Sir/Madam NOAA Fisheries Pacific Islands Regional Office 1845 Wasp Blvd, Building 176 Honolulu, HI 96818

Chairperson Suzanne Case Chairperson Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources Kalanimoku Building, 1151 Punchbowl Street Honolulu, HI 96813

Chairperson William J. Ailā Chairperson Department of Hawaiian Home Lands Office of the Chair 91-5420 Kapolei Pkwy Kapolei, HI 96707

Council Member Joey Manahan District 7 Honolulu City Council Honolulu Hale Rm 203 Honolulu, HI 96813

Ms. Marianne Rossio Chief State of Hawai'i Clean Air Branch 2827 Waimano Home Rd #130 Pearl City, HI 96782

Mr. Fenix Grange Chief State of Hawai'i Hazard Evaluation and Emergency Response Office 2385 Waimano Home Rd #100 Pearl City, HI 96782 Chief Jason Redulla Enforcement Chief State of Hawaii Division of Conservation and Resources Enforcement Kalanimoku Building 1151 Punchbowl St., Room 311 Honolulu, HI 96813

Director Michael McCartney Director Dept. of Business, Economic Development and Tourism No. 1 Capitol District Building 250 S. Hotel St. Honolulu, HI 96813

Dr. Bruce Anderson Director of Health State of Hawaii Department of Health 1250 Punchbowl St. Honolulu, HI 96813

Dr. Keith Kawaoka Acting Director Office of Environmental Quality Control 235 South Beretania St., Suite 702 Honolulu, HI 96813

Mr. Alec Wong Chief State of Hawaii Clean Water Branch 2827 Waimano Home Rd #225 Pearl City, HI 96782

Governor David Ige Governor, State of Hawaii Executive Chambers State Capitol Honolulu, HI 96813 Mayor Rick Blangiardi Mayor, City and County of Honolulu Office of the Mayor 530 South King St., Room 300 Honolulu, HI 96813

Mr. Brandon Elefante City Councilmember Honolulu City Council 530 South King Street Honolulu, HI 96813

Representative Roy M. Takumi District 35 Hawaii State Capitol, Room 444 415 S Beretania St # 214 Honolulu, HI 96813

Mr. Curt Cottrell Administrator Hawaii Division of State Parks Kalanimoku Building 1151 Punchbowl St., Room 310 Honolulu, HI 96813

Mr. Samuel J. Lemmo Administrator State of Hawaii Office of Conservation and Coastal Lands Kalanimoku Building 1151 Punchbowl St., Room 131 Honolulu, HI 96813

Mr. Daniel Orodenker Executive Officer Hawai'i Land Use Commission 235 South Beretania St., Room 406 Leiopapa A Kamehameha Building Honolulu, HI 96813

Mr. Derek Chow Deputy Director Hawaii Dept. of Transportation, Harbors Division Hale Awa Ku Moku Building 79 South Nimitz Hwy Honolulu, HI 96813 Mayor Derek Kawakami Mayor, County of Kauai Office of the Mayor 4444 Rice St., Suite 235 Lihue, HI 96766

Senator Clarence K. Nishihara District 17 Hawaii State Capitol, Room 214 415 S Beretania St # 214 Honolulu, HI 96813

Mr. Jade Butay Director Hawaii Dept. of Transportation Aliiaimoku Building, Office of the Director 869 Punchbowl St., Room 509 Honolulu, HI 96813

Mr. Ross M. Higashi Deputy Director Hawaii Dept. of Transportation Airports Division 400 Rodgers Blvd., 7th Floor Honolulu, HI 0

Mr. Dan McNair Director Environmental Readiness Dept. of the Navy, US Pacific Fleet 250 Makalapa Dr. Pearl Harbor, HI 96819

Mr. John Busterud Regional Administrator US Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

Mr. David Smith Administrator State of Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife Kalanimoku Building 1151 Punchbowl St., Room 325 Honolulu, HI 96813 Ms. Justine Nihipali Planning Program Manager Hawaii Coastal Zone Management Program 235 South Beretania St., 6th Floor Leiopapa A Kamehameha Building Honolulu, HI 96813

Ms. Ann Garrett Assistant Regional Administrator NMFS, Protected Resources Division 1845 Wasp Ave., Bldg. 176 Honolulu, HI 96818

Representative Tulsi Gabbard 2nd Congressional District 1433 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Senator Brian Schatz Senator, Hawaii 722 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510

Mr. Alan Downer, PhD Administrator State Historic Preservation Division Kakuhihewa Bldg 601 Kamokila Blvd, Suite 555 Kapolei, HI 96707

Ms. Regina Hilo Burial Sites Specialist (Oahu) State Historic Preservation Division Kakuhihewa Bldg 601 Kamokila Blvd, Suite 555 Kapolei, HI 96707 Ms. Linda Speerstra Chief, Regulatory Branch US Army Corps of Engineers Honolulu District Building 230 Fort Shafter, HI 96858

Representative Ed Case 1st Congressional District 2443 Rayburn HOB Washington, DC 20515

Senator Mazie Hirono Senator, Hawaii 713 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510

Ms. Katherine Mullett Field Supervisor US Fish and Wildlife Service Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office 300 Ala Moana Blvd, RM 3-122 Honolulu, HI 96850

Mr. Vincent Hinano Rodrigues, JD History & Culture Branch Chief State Historic Preservation Division Kakuhihewa Bldg 601 Kamokila Blvd, Suite 555 Kapolei, HI 96707

Appendix A-1.2

Sample National Historic Preservation Act Section 106 Letters



HAWAII AIR NATIONAL GUARD HEADQUARTERS 154th WING

JAN 29 2021

Headquarters, 154th Wing Brigadier General Dann S. Carlson 360 Mamala Bay Drive, Bldg 3400B JBPHH HI 96853-5517

Jerome Yasuhara Office of Hawaiian Affairs 560 N. Nimitz Hwy, Suite 200 Honolulu, HI 96817

Dear Mr. Yasuhara,

The purpose of this letter is twofold: to give you an opportunity to review and comment on a proposed action in which the Office of Hawaiian Affairs may have an interest; and to invite the Office of Hawaiian Affairs to participate in coordination with the National Guard Bureau (NGB) at Joint Base Andrews, Maryland, pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 USC 4321 et seq.), the NGB is preparing an Environmental Assessment (EA) for a proposed undertaking that will analyze potential effects to human health and the natural environment, including historic and traditional cultural properties. The undertaking includes completing two Air National Guard (ANG) Space Control Squadron (SPCS) basing actions, SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 at:

- Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) Barking Sands, HIANG 154th Wing (154 WG), located at Kauai, Hawai'i (HI) (Attachment 1);
- Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam (JBPHH), HIANG 154 WG, located at Honolulu, Oahu, HI (Attachment 2); or
- Andersen Air Force Base (AFB), Guam ANG (GUANG), 254th Wing (254 WG), located on Guam (Attachment 3).

The purpose of the undertaking is for the United States Air Force (USAF) to provide the facilities and locations suitable for the establishment of two ANG locations with access to geosynchronous satellites in the Pacific theater, which would consist of one offensive mission and one defensive mission. The proposed sites for the proposed undertaking are depicted on Attachments 1, 2, and 3. A Class I Literature Review has been performed for each of the three alternative locations; the findings of the Class I Literature Review are included in Attachment 4.

Pursuant to Section 106 of the NHPA, implementing regulations at 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 800, and Department of Defense Instruction 4710.02 Section 6, *DoD Interactions with Federally-Recognized Tribes*, we request consultation with the Office of Hawaiian Affairs on this proposed action. In particular, we invite you, pursuant to 36 CFR Section 800.4(a)(4), to provide information on any properties of historic, religious, or cultural significance that may be affected by our proposed undertaking. Regardless of whether you choose to consult on this project, the USAF will comply with the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act by informing you of any inadvertent discovery of archaeological or human remains and consulting on their disposition. Being defined as a federal undertaking, we will be seeking input and inviting other potential consulting parties, such as the HI State Historic Preservation Division.

We intend to provide your organization with a copy of the Draft EA when the document is completed. Please inform us if additional copies are needed or if someone else within your agency other than you should receive the Draft EA.

In order for the NGB to address any concerns in a timely manner, please respond within 30 days of receipt of this letter. Please provide any comments to Jennifer Harty, Cultural Resources Program Manager, 3501 Fetchet Avenue, Joint Base Andrews MD 20762-5157 or by email at jennifer harty@us.af.mil. Thank you for your assistance and we look forward to working with you on this undertaking.

> Sincerely CARLSON.D Digitally signed by CARLSON.DANN.S.1 ANN.S.1157 421952 Date: 2020.12.02 17:16:08-10'00' DANN S. CARLSON, Brig Gen. HIANG Commander, 154th Wing

4 Attachments:

- PMRF Barking Sands Project Location Map, October 2020
 JBPHH Project Location Map, October 2020
- 3. Andersen AFB Project Location Map, October 2020
- 4. Class I Literature Review



NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU 3501 FETCHET AVENUE JOINT BASE ANDREWS 20762-5157

JAN 29 2021

Alan Downer, PhD Administrator State Historic Preservation Division Kakuhihewa Bldg 601 Kamokila Blvd, Suite 555 Kapolei, HI 96707

Dear Dr. Downer,

The National Guard Bureau (NGB) at Joint Base Andrews, Maryland would like to initiate consultation with your office under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), and its implementing regulations (36 CFR §800).

Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 USC 4321 et seq.), the NGB is preparing an Environmental Assessment (EA) for a proposed undertaking that will analyze potential effects to human health and the natural environment, including historic and traditional cultural properties. The undertaking includes completing two Air National Guard (ANG) Space Control Squadron (SPCS) basing actions, SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 at:

- Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) Barking Sands, HIANG 154th Wing (154 WG), located at Kauai, Hawaii (HI) (Attachment 1);
- Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam (JBPHH), HIANG 154 WG, located at Honolulu, Oahu, HI (Attachment 2); or
- Andersen Air Force Base (AFB), Guam ANG (GUANG), 254th Wing (254 WG), located on Guam (Attachment 3).

The purpose of the undertaking is for the United States Air Force (USAF) to provide the facilities and locations suitable for the establishment of two ANG locations with access to geosynchronous satellites in the Pacific theater, which would consist of one offensive mission and one defensive mission. The Area of Potential Effects (APE) for the proposed undertaking is depicted on Attachments 1, 2, and 3. A Class I Literature Review has been performed for each of the three alternative locations; the findings of the Class I Literature Review are included in Attachment 4.

Pursuant to 36 CFR Sections 800.4(a) and (b), we request your assistance defining the Area of Potential Effects (APE) and information on any historic properties located therein that may be affected by our undertaking. We intend to provide your agency with a copy of the Draft EA when the document is completed. Please inform us if additional copies are needed or if someone else within your agency other than you should receive the Draft EA.

In order for the NGB to address any concerns, in a timely manner, please respond within 30 days of receipt of this letter. Please provide any comments to Jennifer Harty, Cultural Resources Program Manager, 3501 Fetchet Avenue, Joint Base Andrews MD 20762-5157 or by email at jennifer.harty@us.af.mil. Thank you for your assistance and we look forward to working with you on this undertaking.

Sincerely

JENNIFER L. HARTY, GS-13, DAF Cultural Resources Program Manager

4 Attachments:

- 1. PMRF Barking Sands Area of Potential Effects Map, November 2020
- 2. JBPHH Area of Potential Effects Map, November 2020
- 3. Andersen AFB Area of Potential Effects Map, November 2020
- 4. Class I Literature Review, January 2021

NHPA Section 106 Letter Attachments

Environmental Assessment for Beddown for the SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 Basing Actions Draft









CLASS I LITERATURE REVIEW FOR THE SPACE CONTROL SQUADRON (SPCS) BEDDOWN FOR THE FOURTH (SPCS #4) AND FIFTH (SPCS #5) BASING ACTIONS

At the request of Environmental Assessment Services, on behalf of the United States Air Force, ASM Affiliates conducted a Class I Literature Review for three candidate locations for the Space Control Squadron (SPCS) beddown for the fourth (SPCS #4) and fifth (SPCS #5) basing actions. The three candidate locations are at Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) - Barking Sands, Hawai'i (Figure 1), Joint Base Pearl Harbor – Hickam (JBPHH), Hawai'i (Figure 2), and Andersen Air Force Base (AAFB), Guam (Figure 3). The Class I review included a search of the site and manuscript files available from the respective State Historic Preservation Officers and the cultural resource managers at each of the installations listed above. This report presents maps and lists of all cultural resource studies conducted and all resources located within the respective the project areas and a ¼-mile radius surrounding each project area.



Figure 1. Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) project area.



Class I Literature Review, SPCS Beddown for SPCS #4 and SPSCS #5 Basing Actions Page 2 of $13\,$

Figure 2. Joint Base Pearl Harbor - Hickam (JBPHH) project area.

Project area 1/4 mile buffer 14108

Class I Literature Review, SPCS Beddown for SPCS #4 and SPSCS #5 Basing Actions Page 3 of 13 $\,$

Figure 3. Andersen Air Force Base (AAFB) project area.

Class I Literature Review, SPCS Beddown for SPCS #4 and SPSCS #5 Basing Actions Page 4 of 13 $\,$

PMRF BARKING SANDS, KAUA'I

Eight prior studies have been conducted within a 1/4-mile radius of the proposed SPCS PMRF project area. (Table 1; Figure 4).

Table 1.	Previous studies	conducted within	a ¼-mile radius o	of the PM	RF project area.
	a a state said the second state and		the state of the second st		

Name of Company.	Author	Year	Report Title
State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD)	Historic Preservation McMahon 1988 Division (SHPD)	SHPD Field Inspection of Sand Mining Activities at Kawaiele, Kauai. TMK:1-2- 02:01.	
TEC Inc	Leidmann and Kishinami	1990	Archaeological/Paleontological Survey of the Kawaiele Dunes, Kawaiele, Waimea, Kaua'i Island TMK: 1-2-02:1.
Advanced Sciences Inc.	Gonzalez	1991	Trip Report: Archaeological surface examination of four areas within PMRF and DOE/KTF that might be impacted by Strategic Target Systems related activities, Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) and Department of Energy Kauai Test Facility (DOE/KTF), Barking Sands, Kauai
International Jones Archaeological Research Institute, Inc.		1992	Archaeological Survey and Subsurface Testing for the Tactical Control Squadron Forward Air Control Post Project, Pacific Missile Range Facility, Barking Sands, Kaua'i, Hawai'i.
Scientific Consultant Services, Inc.	McGerty and Spear	1997	An Inventory Survey with Oral Histories of a Parcel of Land on the Plain of Mana, West of Kekaha in the Ahupua'a of Waimea, District of Kona, Island of Kaua'i.
Paul H. Rosendahl, Ph.D., Inc (PHRI)I	Wulzen et al	1997	NAVFAC 106, Final Report. Archaeological Reconnaissance Survey Pacific Missile Range Facility Barking Sands and Makaha Ridge. Land of Waimea, Waimea District, Island of Kauai.
International Archaeological Research Institute, Inc.	Tuggle and Tomonari- Tuggle	1997	Cultural Resource Assessment of Beach Landing Areas of Marine Corps Base Hawai'i, Kaneohe Bay, O'ahu, and Pacific Missile Range Facility, Kaua'i.
Scientific Consultant McGerty and Dega Services, Inc.		2000	MSSTIC/UESA Building Inventory Survey, Pacific Missile Range Facility, Barking Sands, Island of Kaua'i, Hawai'i.

Project area 1/4 mile radius McMahon 1988 Liedmann & Kishinami 1990 Gonzalez 1991 Jones 1992 McGerty & Spear 1997 Wulzen et al. 1997 Tuggle & Tomonari-Tuggle 1997 McGerty & Dega 2000 400 Meters 200 0 aphles, CNESIAtious D8, d the GIS I

Class I Literature Review, SPCS Beddown for SPCS #4 and SPSCS #5 Basing Actions Page 5 of 13 $\,$

Figure 4. Prior studies conducted within a ¼-mile radius of the proposed SPCS PMRF project area.

Class I Literature Review, SPCS Beddown for SPCS #4 and SPSCS #5 Basing Actions Page 6 of $13\,$

One cultural resource (SIHP 50-30-05-2011) dating from the Historic Period has been recorded within a ¼-mile radius of the proposed SPCS PMRF project area (Table 2; Figure 5). This site was interpreted to be the surface ruins of possible World War II-era or post-World War II-era training structure (Feature A) and a recent trash deposit (Feature B). This resource was determined not eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP).

SIHP #	Date recorded	Resource	NRHP status
50-30-05-2011	1997	Complex of two Historic Period features; a wooden structure (Feature A) and a trash deposit (Feature B)	Not eligible



Figure 5. Sites recorded within a 1/4-mile radius of the proposed SPCS PMRF project area.

Class I Literature Review, SPCS Beddown for SPCS #4 and SPSCS #5 Basing Actions Page 7 of 13

JBPHH O'AHU

Two prior studies have been conducted within a ¼-mile radius of the proposed SPCS JBPHH project area (Table 3; Figure 6). Neither reported any NRHP eligible or listed historic properties.

Table 3. Previous studies conducted within a ¼-mile radius of the JBPHH	pro	ject area.
---	-----	------------

Name of Company.	Author	Year	Report Title
T. S. Dye & Colleagues; International Archaeological Research Institute, Inc.	Athens et al.	2013	Archaeological Inventory Survey of Selected Medium Probability Areas at Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam, Kona and 'Ewa, O'ahu, Hawai'i, TMK: (1) 9–9– 001:013, (1) 1–1–001:001, and (1) 1–1–001:002.
Garcia and Associates	Roberts and Bower	2002	Archaeological Monitoring for Fire Rescue Training Facility Project, Hickam Airforce Base, Oahu Island, Hawaii (Contract No. DACA83-00-C-0024).



Figure 6. Prior studies conducted within a ¼-mile radius of the proposed SPCS JBPHH project area.

Class I Literature Review, SPCS Beddown for SPCS #4 and SPSCS #5 Basing Actions Page 8 of $13\,$

In addition to the two studies listed in Table 3 above, GIS data provided by the JBPHH Cultural Resource Manager were consulted for the current study. These data indicate that four cultural resources are located within a ¼-mile radius of the proposed SPCS JBPHH project area (Table 4; Figure 7). All four are historic buildings. Building 3440H (Battery Selfridge; Site 50-80-13-01600) is listed in the NRHP, having been nominated in 1977 as part of the "Artillery District of Honolulu" Multiple Property Submission along with Batteries Randolph of Fort DeRussy and Batteries Jackson, Hawkins, Hawkins Annex, and Hasbrouck of Fort Kamehameha. Information provided by the JPBHH Cultural Resources Manager also indicates that Buildings 3505H, 3510H, and 3520H are Cold War-era buildings that are considered eligible for inclusion in the NRHP.

SIHP #	Building No.	Date recorded	Resource name	NRHP status
50-80-13-01600	3440H	1977	Battery Selfridge	Listed
4	3505H	2008	Gate/Sentry House	Eligible
+	3510H	2008	Ordinance Operations Building	Eligible
÷	3520H	2008	Small arms/Pyrotechnics Magazine	Eligible

Table 4. Cultural resources recorded within a ¼-mile radius of the JBPHH project area.



Figure 7. Sites recorded within a ¼-mile radius of the proposed SPCS JBPHH project area.

Class I Literature Review, SPCS Beddown for SPCS #4 and SPSCS #5 Basing Actions Page 9 of $13\,$

AAFB GUAM

Four prior studies (three historic architectural surveys and one archaeological survey) have been conducted within a ¼-mile radius of the proposed SPCS AAFB project area (Table 5; Figure 8).

Name of Company.	Author	Year	Report Title
Mason Architects, Inc.	Yoklavich and Tuggle	2004	Historic Building and Associated Landscape/Viewsheds Inventory and Evaluation for Andersen Air Force Base, Guam 2004 Update.
Cardno TEC Inc.	Dixon and Walker	2011	Archaeological Investigations Conducted in Support of the Joint Guam Build-Up Environmental Impact Statement: Threshold Report No. 2. Contract N62742-06-D-1870
SEARCH	Mohlman	2014	Historic Inventory Survey, Andersen Air Force Base, Territory of Guam.
SEARCH	Mohlman	2018	Historic Inventory of Andersen Air Force Base, Territory of Guam.



Figure 8. Prior studies conducted within a ¼-mile radius of the proposed SPCS AAFB project area.

Class I Literature Review, SPCS Beddown for SPCS #4 and SPSCS #5 Basing Actions Page 10 of 13

Thirty-six cultural resources were recorded within a ¼-mile radius of the proposed SPCS AAFB project area (Table 6; Figure 9). All are buildings, and none appear to have been assigned GHPI site numbers. Thirty-five have been determined not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP due to loss of integrity and/or lack of significance under any NRHP criterion. Facility 10032 is a volleyball court built in 1959. Facility 23010 is the Mobility Response Headquarters facility built in 1959. Facility 23022 is the 736 Security Forces Headquarters Building built in 1959. Facility 23028 is the 36 MSG Headquarters building built in 1987. Facility 25001 is an old clothing sales store (formerly an open mess, an NCO open mess, and the base package store) built in 1964. Facility 25005 is the bowling center built in 1964. Facility 25005 is a communications center (formerly a telephone exchange facility) built in 1949. Site 26006 is the Top of the Rock Club (formerly the NCO Open Mess) built in 1964. Facility 26050 is a utility screen, built in 1960, surrounding transformer equipment. Facility 27000 is the Bachelor Officers Quarters built in 1948. Facility 27030 is the Latte Stone Food Court built in 1984. Facilities 28005 through 28020 and 28044 through 28052 are twenty-three houses built in 1948 in the Fleming Heights housing quarters.

One resource has been determined eligible for listing in the NRHP. Facility 25011 is the Tinian Hall Dormitory built in 1955. It has been determined to be eligible for listing in the NRHP under the Advisory Council for Historic Preservation's 2006 Program Comment for Unaccompanied Personnel Housing (1946–1974), and the responsibilities for compliance under Section 106 have been mitigated programmatically.

Table 6.	Cultural	resource rec	orded wit	hin a ¼	-mile ra	dius of	the A.	\FB	proj	ect a	rea.

Facility #	Date recorded	Resource name	NRHP status
10032	2014	Volleyball Court	Not eligible
23010	2014	Mobility Response Headquarters Building	Not eligible
23022	2014	736 Security Force Headquarters Building	Not eligible
23028	2018	36 MSG Headquarters Building	Not eligible
25001	2014	Old Clothing Sales Store	Not eligible
25005	2014	Bowling Center	Not eligible
25008	2014	Communications Facility	Not eligible
25011	2018	Tinian Hall Dormitory	Eligible
25014	2018	Air conditioning plant building	Not eligible
26006	2014	Top of the Rock Club	Not eligible
26050	2014	Utility Screen	Not eligible
27000	2014	Bachelor Officers Quarters	Not eligible
27030	2018	Latte Stone Food Court	Not eligible
28005-28020, 28044-28052	2014	Fleming Heights Houses	Not eligible



Class I Literature Review, SPCS Beddown for SPCS #4 and SPSCS #5 Basing Actions Page 11 of 13 $\,$

Figure 9. Sites recorded within a ¼-mile radius of the proposed SPCS AAFB project area.

Class I Literature Review, SPCS Beddown for SPCS #4 and SPSCS #5 Basing Actions Page 12 of 13

REFERENCES CITED

Athens, J. S., N. DiVito, T. S. Dye, and C. E. Sholin

2013	Archaeological Inventory Survey of Selected Medium Probability Areas at Joint Base Pearl Harbor
	Hickam, Kona and 'Ewa, O'ahu, Hawai'i, TMK: (1) 9-9-001:013, (1) 1-1-001:001, and (1) 1-1-
	001:002. T. S. Dye & Colleagues and International Archaeological Research Institute, Inc. Prepared
	for Department of the Navy, Pacific Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command.

Dixon, B. and C. Walker

2011 Archaeological Investigations Conducted in Support of the Joint Guam Build-Up Environmental Impact Satement: Threshold Report No. 2, Cardno TEC Inc. Prepared for Department of the Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command.

Gonzalez, T. 1991

Trip Report. Archaeological surface examination of four areas within PMRF and DOE/KTF that might be impacted by Strategic Target Systems related activities, Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) and Department of Energy Kauai Test Facility (DOE/KTF), Barking Sands, Kauai Advanced Sciences, Inc., San Diego, California.

Jones, B. 1992

1990

Archaeological Survey and Subsurface Testing for the Tactical Control Squadron Forward Air Control Post Project, Pacific Missile Range Facility, Barking Sands, Kaua'i, Hawai'i, International Archaeological Research Institute, Inc. . Prepared for Belt, Collins, and Associates, Inc., Honolulu.

Leidemann, H. H. and C. H. Kishinami

Archaeological/Paeleontological Survey of the Kawaiele Dunes, Kawaiele, Waimea, Kaua'i Island, TMK:1-2-02:1. Applied Research Group, Bishop Museum. Prepared for Forestry and Wildlife Division, Department of Land and Natural Resources.

McGerty, L. and M. Dega 2000 MSSTIC

MSSTIC/UESA Building Inventory Survey, Pacific Missile Range Facility, Barking Sands, Island of Kaua'i, Hawai'i. Scientific Consultant Services, Inc. report. Prepared for ITT Industries, Kekaha, Kaua'i, Hawai'i.

McGerty, L. and R. Spear 1997 An Inve

An Inventory Survey with Oral Histories of a Parcel of Land on the Plain of Mānā, West of Kekaha in the Ahupua'a of Waimea, District of Kona, Island of Kaua'i (TMK 1-2-02). Scientific Consultant Services, Inc. Prepared for Controlled Environment Aquaculture Technology, Inc.

McMahon, N. A.

1988 Field Inspection of Sand Mining Activities at Kawaiele, Kauai. TMK:1-2-02:01. State Historic Preservation Division.

Mohlman, G.

- 2014 Historic Inventory Survey, Andersen Air Force Base, Territory of Guam, Contract Number N40192-12-P-5010. SEARCH report. Prepared for Department of the Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command Marianas.
- 2018 Historic Inventory Survey, Andersen Air Force Base, Territory of Guam, Contract Number N62470-12-D-7008. SEARCH Project # 3791-16191F. Prepared for Department of the Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command Marianas.

Class I Literature Review, SPCS Beddown for SPCS #4 and SPSCS #5 Basing Actions Page 13 of 13

Roberts, A. and P. Bower 2002 Archaec

Archaeological Monitoring for Fire Rescue Training Facility Project, Hickam Airforce Base, Oahu Island, Hawaii (Contract No. DACA83-00-C-0024). Garcia and Associates report. Prepared for U.S. Army Engineer District, Honolulu, CEPOH-EC-E, Fort Shafter HI.

Tuggle, H. D. and M.-J. Tomonari-Tuggle 1997 Cultural Resource Asses

Cultural Resource Assessment of Beach Landing Areas of Marine Corps Base Hawaii, Kaneohe Bay, O'ahu, and Pacific Missile Range Facility, Kaua'i. International Archaeological Research Institute, Inc. report. Prepared for Belt Collins Hawaii, Honolulu.

Wulzen, W., P. Jensen, and P. H. Rosendahl 1997 Final Report: Archaeologica

Final Report, Archaeological Reconnaissance Survey Pacific Missile Range Facility Barking Sands and Makaha Ridge, Land of Waimea, Waimea District, Island of Kaua'i, Paul H. Rosendahl, Ph.D., Inc. report. Prepared for Department of the Navy, Pacific Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Pearl Harbor.

Yoklavich, A. and H. D. Tuggle

2004

Historic Building and Associated Landscape/Viewsheds Inventory and Evaluation for Andersen Air Force Base, Guam 2004 Update. Mason Architects, Inc. report. Prepared for Andersen Air Force Base, Guam.

January 2022

Appendix A-1.3

Sample Interagency and Intergovernmental Letters



NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU 3501 FETCHET AVENUE JOINT BASE ANDREWS 20762-5157

JAN 29 2021

National Guard Bureau (NGB/A4AM) Ms. Christine J. Yott 3501 Fetchet Ave Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762

NOAA Fisheries Pacific Islands Regional Office 1845 Wasp Blvd, Building 176 Honolulu, HI 96818

Dear Sir/Madam,

The National Guard Bureau (NGB) is currently investigating the feasibility of completing two Air National Guard (ANG) Space Control Squadron (SPCS) basing actions, SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 at:

- Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) Barking Sands, HIANG 154th Wing (154 WG), located at Kauai, Hawaii (HI) (Attachment 1);
- Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam (JBPHH), HIANG 154 WG, located at Honolulu, Oahu, HI (Attachment 2); or
- Andersen Air Force Base (AFB), Guam ANG (GUANG), 254th Wing (254 WG), located on Guam (Attachment 3).

The purpose of the Proposed Action is for the United States Air Force (USAF) to provide the facilities and locations suitable for the establishment of two ANG locations with access to geosynchronous satellites in the Pacific theater, which would consist of one offensive mission (SPCS #4) and one defensive mission (SPCS #5). The Proposed Action rectifies the need to meet the 2015 Air Force Space Command Commander (AFSPC/CC) Air Reserve Component (ARC) Initiative priority to generate four additional ANG unit-equipped Unit Type Codes (UTCs) to meet Combatant Command (COCOM) needs for offensive space control. ANG SPCS #1, SPCS #2, and SPCS #3 were previously established to execute the offensive mission. SPCS #4 would accomplish this goal as it would provide for the fourth of four ANG SPCS offensive missions to be established. ANG SPCS #5 is needed in order to meet the 2018 AFSPC/CC ARC Priority Memorandum to generate eight ANG unit-equipped UTCs to meet COCOM requirements for defensive space control. Currently, there is no defensive SPCS in the ANG. As directed by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the NGB, with support from Environmental Assessment Services, LLC, is preparing an Environmental Assessment (EA) in order to evaluate the potential environmental effects associated with the Proposed Action.

SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 would accommodate the offensive and defensive missions, respectively, and would be located at one of the three candidate locations identified above. Each SPCS would require the beddown of additional personnel in order to support the SPCS mission, including a sufficient number of ANG space operators and operations support personnel. SPCS #4 would require between 88 and 115 new ANG personnel in support of an offensive mission, while SPCS #5 would require the addition of between 62 and 105 ANG personnel in support of a defensive mission. Under the Proposed Action, facilities would be constructed in support of each SPCS. Each SPCS would require the modification of existing facilities or construction of new facilities in support of the basing action.

The NGB, 154 WG, and 254 WG are interested in information or agency-specific preliminary comments that would alleviate or highlight areas of concerns preceding this EA. Areas of concern may include potential effects to: physical, ecological, social, cultural, and archaeological resources. The NGB, 154 WG, and 254 WG also request any information that your agency may have regarding other proposed, ongoing, or recently completed projects that could create or exacerbate impacts to the Proposed Action.

Please respond within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter to Christine Yott, ATTN: SPCS EA, 3501 Fetchet Avenue, Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762-5157 or by email at NGB.A4.A4A.NEPA.COMMENTS.Org@us.af.mil with the subject titled as ATTN: SPCS EA. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely YOTT.CHRI Digitally signed by YOTT.CHRISTINE.J STINE.JUNE UNE.1287505015 .1287505015 Date: 2020.12.01 .1287505015 Date: 2020.12.01 CHRISTINE J. YOTT, M.S., GS-13 Physical Scientist (Environmental)

3 Attachments:

- 1. PMRF Barking Sands Project Location Map, October 2020
- 2. JBPHH Project Location Map, October 2020
- 3. Andersen AFB Project Location Map, October 2020



NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU 3501 FETCHET AVENUE JOINT BASE ANDREWS 20762-5157

6 August 2021

National Guard Bureau (NGB/A4AM) Ms. Christine J. Yott 3501 Fetchet Ave Joint Base Andrews MD 20762

Katherine Mullett Field Supervisor US Fish and Wildlife Service Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office Honolulu HI 96850

SUBJECT: Seeking Concurrence on Determination for Proposed Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown at Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF)-Barking Sands, Hawaii

Dear Ms. Mullett

The National Guard Bureau (NGB) is developing a draft Environmental Assessment (EA) addressing the proposed beddown of two SPCSs at three candidate locations. The candidate locations are PMRF-Barking Sands, Hawaii; Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam (JBPHH), Hawaii; and Andersen AFB, Guam.

The focus of this memorandum is on the beddown of a SPCS at PMRF-Barking Sands with the purpose of providing the facilities and locations suitable for the establishment of an Air National Guard (ANG) location with access to geosynchronous satellites in the Pacific theater.

In accordance with Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. NGB is requesting concurrence for our *may affect*, *but is not likely to adversely affect determination* for the proposed beddown of a SPCS at the proposed location on PMRF-Barking Sands.

Within PMRF-Barking Sands, a number of federally listed or state listed threatened or endangered species are known to occur on or adjacent to the installation. There are no federally or State of Hawaii (SOH)-listed threatened or endangered plant species that occur naturally on PMRF-Barking Sands. Federally designated critical habitat for the lau chu (*Panicum niihauense*) is present on the installation, but the plant has not been observed. Critical habitat for special status species is not located on the proposed SPCS site. The federally listed or state listed threatened or endangered species included as part of the 2014 Biological Opinion (BO) are as follows: Hawaiian petrel (*Pterodroma sandwichensis*); band-rumped storm-petrel (*Oceanodroma castro*); Hawaiian black-necked stilt (*Hinumtopus mexicanus knudseni*); Hawaiian moorhen (*Gallinula chloropus sandvicensis*); Hawaiian coot (*Fulica alat*); Hawaiian duck (*Anas wyvilliana*); Hawaiian goose (*Branta sandvicensis*); Hawaiian hoary bat (*Lasiurus cinereus semotus*); and the threatened Newell's shearwater (*Puffinus auricularis newelli*). Construction activities associated with the Proposed Action would occur entirely within the existing boundaries of PMRF-Barking Sands in areas of existing land use that include land currently developed and classified industrial. Land use surrounding the site is open space, and the Kawai'ele Waterbird Sanctuary is located approximately 400 feet directly north of the site, outside of the boundaries of the installation; however, no permanent changes to the noise environment would occur with the implementation of the Proposed Action, and noise impacts would be temporary during the construction period. Therefore, there would be no changes to existing land use or land use compatibility with the implementation of the Proposed Action.

The Hawaiian goose (nēnē) is commonly observed on the proposed SPCS site for the Proposed Action, which is one of the most commonly utilized nesting areas on PMRF-Barking Sands. The majority of the proposed SPCS site is currently paved, with small areas of landscaped grasses, trees, and shrubs that are highly disturbed by regular mowing and maintenance. Areas of disturbance associated with the Proposed Action would be relatively small and would be temporary and localized, and construction and renovation activites would be conducted in accordance with the terms of the 2014 BO and 2018 reinitiation BO. As part of the design process, a vegetation management plan would be drafted that would dictate a mowing schedule in order to prevent the growth of vegetation within the proposed SPCS site. Specifically, vegetation located along the northeastern side of the compound would be mowed at regular intervals in order to prevent the vegetation from reaching a height that would attract nesting nēnēs. Given these circumstances, PMRF-Barking Sands has determined that the Proposed Action *may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect*, the nēnē.

In order to prevent harm to the nocturnal fledglings of Newell's shearwater, Hawaiian petrel, and the band-rumped storm-petrel, lighting design plans associated with the proposed construction would be required to meet the terms of the 2014 BO and 2018 BO, including focusing outside lighting downward and utilizing the required colored bulbs as set forth in the BOs for all outside structures, towers, and electrical distribution lines. Construction slated to occur during the nocturnal seabird fledgling period (mid-September through mid-December) would occur only during daylight hours. Between mid-September and mid-December, dusk to dawn perimeter lighting would be turned off at all times and flood area lighting would be turned off. Security infrared lighting would be used at all times in and around the facility's restricted area. Security lighting at the proposed SPCS facility would be full cutoff, with bulbs shielded above and around all sides. Hawaii ANG is also considering lighting with a yellowish tint that would be less likely attract insects and their predators (i.e., birds and bats)

Construction and renovation of the proposed facilities at PMRF-Barking Sands would include barbed wire at the top of existing fencing that surrounds the site. In order to prevent harm to the Hawaiian hoary bat, which are known to collide with barbed wire, aluminium tags will be placed along the top of the barbed wire fencing to provide visual and auditory cues to the bats. Two aluminum plant tags will be placed on the top strand of barbed wire halfway between each fence post. The aluminum plant tags will be fastened in a manner that allows them to move about freely in the wind, so they may function as a visual clue and they will be spaced close enough together so they come into contact with each to generate an auditory cue.

2
Construction and renovation activities would be conducted in accordance with the stipulations of the 2014 BO, dated 9 September 2014, that initiated formal consultation for the Hawaiian black-necked stilt, Hawaiian moorhen, Hawaiian coot, Hawaiian duck, nēnē, Hawaiian hoary bat, Hawaiian petrel, Newell's shearwater, and band-rumped storm petrel, as well as the 2018 reinitiation BO for Newell's shearwater.

We request your concurrence with our determination that the proposed action *may affect*, but is not likely to adversely affect determination and we request your review of and comments on the attached Draft Environmental Assessment for this Proposed Action.

Please provide any comments or information within 45 days of the receipt of this correspondence to to Christine Yott, ATTN: SPCS EA, 3501 Fetchet Avenue, Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762-5157 or by email at <u>NGB.A4.A4A.NEPA.COMMENTS.org@us.af.mil</u> with the subject titled as ATTN: SPCS EA.

Sincerely

YOTT.CHRI Digitally signed by YOTT.CHRISTINE J STINE.JUNE UNE.1287505015 .1287505015 08:33:56 -04'00'

CHRISTINE J. YOTT, GS-13, DAF NEPA Program Manager

3 Attachments:

- 1. 2014 Biological Opinion
- 2. 2018 Reinitiation Biological Opinion
- 3. Scoping Letter dated 1 February, 2021

3

IICEP Letter Attachments

Environmental Assessment for Beddown for the SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 Basing Actions Draft







Appendix A-2

Andersen Air Force Base, Guam

Appendix A-2.1

Stakeholder Mailing List

Douglas Domenech Assistant Secretary DOI-Office of Insular Affairs, Capital Improvement Program for Guam Main Interior Building 1849 C Street NW Washington, DC 20240

Conchita Taitano Air & Land Administrator Air and Land Programs Division PO Box 22439 Barrigada, Guam 96921

Vincent Arriola Director Government of Guam Department of Public Works 542 North Marine Corps Drive Tamuning, Guam 96913

Lou Leon Guerrero Governor, Terriroty of Guam Office of the Governor PO Box 2950 Hagatna, Guam 96932

Michael San Nicolas U.S. Representative U.S. House of Representatives 1632 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515-5301

John Busterud Region 9 Administrator US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

Kirk Gibbs Commander and Division Engineer US Army Corps of Engineers, Pacifc Ocean Division Building 230 Fort Shafter, HI 96858 Tyrone J. Taitano Director Guam Bureau of Statistics and Plans PO Box 2950 DOI-Office of Insular Affairs, Capital Improvement Program for Guam Hagatna, Guam 96923

Walter Leon Guerrero Administrator Guam EPA PO Box 22439 Barrigada, Guam 96921

Brent Tibbats Fisheries Biologist Guam Department of Agriculture, Division of Aquatic and Wildlife Resources 192 Dairy Road Mangilao, Guam 96913

Madeleine Bordallo Guam Governor's Liaison Washington Office Governor of Guam 444 North Capitol Street Washington, DC 20001

U.S. Department of Interior 1849 C Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20240

Raquel Girvin Western-Pacific Regional Administrator Federal Aviation Administration 777 S. Aviation Blvd., Suite 150 El Segundo, CA 90245

Vera Topasna Executive Director Guam Military Buildup Office GCIC Building Suite 608 Hagatna, Guam 96910 Chelsa Muna-Brecht Director Department of Agriculture 163 Dairy Road Mangilao, Guam 96910

Brian Bearden Chief Engineer and Water Division Director Guam EPA 17-3004 Mariner Avenue Tiyan Barrigada, Guam 96913

Patrick Lujan SHPO 490 Chalan Palasyo Agana Heights, Guam 96910 Daniel Stone Chief Guam Fire Department Suite 1001 DNA Building Archbishop Flores Street Hagatna, Guam 96910

Robyn Thorson Regional Director USFWS Pacific Region 911 NE 11th Avenue Portland, OR 97232-4181

Appendix A-2.2

National Historic Preservation Act Section 106 Letters



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS 36TH WING (PACAF) ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE GUAM

8 March 2021

36 Civil Engineer Squadron Environmental Flight Unit 14007 APO AP 96543-4007

Carlotta Leon Guerrero State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) Guam Historic Resources Division Department of Parks and Recreation 490 Chalan Palasyo Agaña Heights, Guam 96910 MAR 08 2321

Subject:

Section 106 Consultation – Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown Environmental Assessment, Andersen Air Force Base, Guam; on Behalf of the Air National Guard Readiness Center (RCS Pending)

Dear Ms. Leon Guerrero:

Andersen Air Force Base (AAFB) requests your review of a proposed project for the creation of facilities and infrastructure for the Space Control Squadron (See Attachment 1 for location). The initial scoping and site selection for this project is currently being undertaken by ASM Affiliates on behalf of the Air Force and Ms. Jennifer Harty, the Cultural Resource Manager at the Air National Guard Readiness Center. For your reference and use, please find enclosed two copies of the Class I Literature Review for the Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown for the Fourth (SPSC #4) and Fifth (SPSC #5) Basing Actions, prepared by ASM Affiliates.

Pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), we have reviewed the proposed project scope and determined that the ground disturbance associated with this project is an undertaking as defined in 36 CFR 800.16(y).

Project Location and Description:

The project involves construction of facilities and infrastructure in the main cantonment area of Andersen Air Force Base in the block bounded by New York Avenue, Mobile Avenue, 4th Street, and 5th Street (Attachment 1 & 2). Construction would include infrastructure such as utilities, driveways, and parking lots; as well as buildings to support COCOM requirements for defensive space control. The block comprising the APE is approximately 495 feet x 780 feet (386,100 ft²), or 8.86 acres.

The location is currently a lawn area which is surrounded on all sides by paved road, and otherwise devoid of structures. Historically, the entire APE has been graded, rebuilt, and regraded in order to arrive at its current state (Attachment 3 & 4).

Area of Potential Effect:

The Area of Potential Effect (APE) for this project is shown within the red rectangle on Attachment 2. The specifications for structures within the APE have not yet been finalized due to the speculative stage of the project. However, it may be assumed that the project will involve grading the majority of the block to the bedrock, and digging the foundations of buildings into the limestone. The projected facilities include an approximately 12,100 square foot bed-down facility with utility connections; a 2,500 square-yard equipment pad with a 50 foot offset for security fencing; and a 2,500 square-yard parking lot. Due to the extensive disturbance of the project area, all soils currently above the bedrock are likely to be fill which was placed for the lawn that currently exists across the APE.

Identification of Historic Properties:

Archaeology:

No eligible archaeological sites exist within the APE. The block was surveyed in 2010 and 2011 in support of the Joint Guam Build Up. As at present, it was observed to be part of the cleared and developed cantonment [Welch 2010; Dixon and Walker 2011].

Aerial photos from 1944 show the area still covered with vegetation but striated with bulldozer lines, during historic military surveys of the jungle. The vegetation was graded sometime between 1944 and 1953, and the APE was entirely covered with military infrastructure by 1953 (Attachment 3). By 1967, the area was covered with entirely different buildings, suggesting the area was graded between the two periods of development (Attachment 4). All structures present in 1967 have since been demolished, suggesting a third period of grading.

Due to the extensive disturbance from these activities, and the shallow nature of the bedrock in historically-graded areas of the main cantonment, it may be inferred that no undisturbed sites exist within the APE. It is shown in the ICRMP as a low probability area for potential archaeological resources (Attachment 5) [SEARCH 2015].

Built Environment:

No buildings exist within the APE, nor does it fall within any NRHP eligible districts. The area has undergone thorough historical inventory surveys [Mohlman, 2014, 2018; Yoklavich and Tuggle, 2004]. For further reference, Supplement 1 provides a summary of buildings surveyed within a quarter-mile radius of the APE.

Determination of Project Effect:

Based on the evaluation that there are no eligible historic properties within the APE, and that the proposed ground disturbance is within an area heavily disturbed by the previous construction of multiple phases of base structures, we have made a determination of <u>no historic</u>

Under the Privacy Act of 1974, you must safeguard all information, if required, reflected on this document and, if applicable, all attachments. Disclosure of information is IAW AFI 33-119, AFI 33-127, AFI 33-129, DoD 5400. 7-R/Air Force Supplement (Freedom of Information Act), AFI 33-332 (Privacy Act), AFI 33-219, and PL 93-579. **properties affected** for the proposed undertaking. Consequently, Andersen Air Force Base respectfully requests concurrence from Guam SHPO with this determination. In accordance with 36 CFR 800.5(c) (1), if we receive no response from your office within 30 days of receipt of this letter we will assume no objections to the determination of project effect and site eligibility.

If archaeological resources are inadvertently discovered during ground-disturbing activities, then the Standard Operating Procedures contained with the *Final Integrated Cultural Resource Management Plan for Andersen AFB* [SEARCH, 2015] will be followed as well as all appropriate federal and state regulations and guidelines.

We value your support in our efforts to continue carrying out the United States Air Force's responsibility regarding the management of its cultural resources. Should you have any questions or require additional information about this proposed project, please feel free to contact me via phone at (671) 366-1019 or e-mail at <u>amanda.murphy.9@us.af.mil</u>.

Sincerely,

Amanda L. Murphy

Archaeologist, AAFB Conservation Resources Environmental Flight

Attachments

1.Project Locations Map 2.Aerial Photo 3.1953 Air Photo 4.1967 Air Photo 5.ICRMP Figure 5.1, Site Probability Map

Supplements

Class I Literature Review for the Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown for the Fourth (SPSC #4) and Fifth (SPSC #5) Basing Actions, prepared by ASM Affiliate for Department of the Air Force.

REFERENCES

Dixon, B. and C. Walker

2011 Archaeological Investigations Conducted in Support of the Joint Guam Build-Up Environmental Impact Statement: Threshold Report No. 2. Cardno TEC Inc. Prepared for Department of the Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command.

Mohlman, Geoffrey

Under the Privacy Act of 1974, you must safeguard all (nformation, if required, reflected on this document and, if applicable, all attachments. Disclosure of information is IAW AFI 33-119, AFI 33-127, AFI 33-129, DoD 5400. 7-R/Air Force Supplement (Freedom of Information Act). AFI 33-332 (Privacy Act), AFI 33-219, and PL 93-579.

- 2014 Historic Inventory Survey, Andersen Air Force Base, Territory of Guam, Contract Number N40192-12-P-5010. SEARCH report. Prepared for Department of the Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command Marianas.
- 2018 Historic Inventory Survey, Andersen Air Force Base, Territory of Guam, Contract Number N62470-12-D-7008. SEARCH Project # 3791-16191F. Prepared for Department of the Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command Marianas.

SEARCH

Welch, David J.

2010 Archaeological Surveys and Cultural Resource Studies on the Island of Guam in Support of the Joint Guam Build-Up Environmental Impact Statement. IARII 200713. Prepared for Department of the Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command Marianas.

Yoklavich, A. and H. D. Tuggle

2004 Historic Building and Associated Landscape/Viewsheds Inventory and Evaluation for Andersen Air Force Base, Guam 2004 Update. Mason Architects, Inc. report. Prepared for Andersen Air Force Base, Guam.

Under the Privacy Act of 1974, you must safeguard all information, if required, reflected on this document and, if applicable, all attachments, Disclosure of information is IAW AFI 33-119, AFI 33-127, AFI 33-129, DoD 5400: 7-R/Atr Force Supplement (Freedom of Information Act), AFI 33-332 (Privacy Act), AFI 33-219, and PL 93-579.

²⁰¹⁵ Integrated Cultural Resources Management Plan for Andersen Air Force Base, Joint Region Marianas. Prepared for NAVFAC Marianas.













DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS 36TH WING (PACAF) ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE GUAM

08 April 2021

Amanda Murphy Cultural Resource Manager 36 CES/CEV Andersen AFB Guam Unit 14007 APO AP 96543-4007

TO

Jeffrey Laitila Environmental Flight Chief 36 CES/CEV Andersen AFB Guam Unit 14007 APO AP 96543-4007

FROM: 36 CES/CEV CRM

SUBJECT: MEMORANDUM FOR 36 CES/CEV RECORD Re: Lapsed Sec 106 Consultation, Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown Environmental Assessment [AAFB No RCS/GSHPO RC 2021-0058]

References: (a) 36 CFR Part 800-PROTECTION OF HISTORIC PROPERTIES

(incorporating amendments effective August 5, 2004)

(b) Class I Literature Review for the Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown for the Fourth (SPSC #4) and Fifth (SPSC #5) Basing Actions, prepared by ASM Affiliate for Department of the Air Force.

(c) Dixon, B. and C. Walker 2011 Archaeological Investigations Conducted in Support of the Joint Guam Build-Up Environmental Impact Statement: Threshold Report No. 2. Cardno TEC Inc. Prepared for Department of the Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command.

(d) Welch, David J. 2010 Archaeological Surveys and Cultural Resource Studies on the Island of Guam in Support of the Joint Guam Build-Up Environmental Impact Statement. IARII 200713. Prepared for Department of the Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command Marianas.

(e) Mohlman, Geoffrey 2018 Historic Inventory Survey, Andersen Air Force Base, Territory of Guam, Contract Number N62470-12-D-7008. SEARCH Project # 3791-16191F. Prepared for Department of the Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command Marianas.

(f) SEARCH 2015 Integrated Cultural Resources Management Plan for Andersen Air Force Base, Joint Region Marianas. Prepared for NAVFAC Marianas.

Under the Privacy Act of 1974, you must safeguard all information, if required, reflected on this document and, if applicable, all attachments Disclosure of information is IAW AFI 33-119, AFI 33-127, AFI 33-129, DoD 5400, 7-R/Air Force Supplement (Freedom of Information Act), AFI 33-332 (Privacy Act), AFI 33-219, and PL 93-579.

2

On 8 March 2021, 36 CES/CEV Cultural Resources Manager, Amanda Murphy, facilitated a Section 106 consultation with the Guam State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), including supplemental documentation, and requested concurrence with a determination of **No Historic Properties Affected** for the proposed undertaking. Guam SHPO failed to respond within 30 days and as a result, 6 April 2021 was noted as the consultation's formal completion date. Per 36 CFR 800.4 (d)(1) (i), *lf the SHPO/THPO, or the Council, if it has entered the section 106 process, does not object within 30 days of receipt of an adequately documented finding, the agency official's responsibilities under section 106 are fulfilled in the case of No Historic Properties Affected determinations.*

The basis of the determination is as follows:

- The area has been previously surveyed and inventoried during the Joint Guam Build Up and other base studies (Welch 2010; Dixon and Walker 2011; Mohlman 2018);
- No historic properties exist within the APE, and the area is currently devoid of structures;
- Aerial photos from 1953 and 1967 indicate several periods of complete grading, infrastructure build-up, and demolition in the APE;
- And the area is considered to be a low-probability area for archaeological sites based on the ICRMP (SEARCH 2015).

Following the lapsed 30-day deadline for a SHPO response, SHPO reentered the consultation process on 7 April 2021. Although, per 36 CFR 800.3(c)(4), Andersen Air Force Base CRM is not *required to reconsider previous findings or determinations* following the lapse of the deadline, SHPO concurred with AAFB's determination. It is the determination of the Cultural Resources Program Manager that we have now fulfilled our obligations per CFR 800.4 (a) through (c), and the project may proceed on the basis of the above-mentioned findings.

AMANDA MURPHY

Cultural Resource Manager 36th Civil Engineer Squadron

Attachments:

(a) 8 Mar 21 Section 106 Consultation for Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown Environmental Assessment [No RCS];
(b) 7 Apr 21 (rcvd) GSHPO Response/Concurrence, dtd 6 Apr 21



Lourdes A. Leon Guerrero Governor Joshua F. Tenorio Li. Governor Department of Parks and Recreation Dipattamenton Plaset yan Dibuetsion Government of Guam Director's Office, Parks and Recreation Divisions: #1 Paseo de Susana, Hagátia, Guam 96910 P.O. Box 2950, Hagátia, Guam 96912 (671) 475-6288; Facsimile (671) 477-0997 Guam Historic Resources Division 490 Chalan Palasyo, Agana Heights, Guam 96910 (671) 475-6294/6355; Facsimile (671) 477-2822



Roque A. Alcantara Director Victor R. Villagomez Deputy Director

April 6, 2021

In reply refer to: RC 2021-0558

Amanda L. Murphy Archaeologist/ CRM AAFB 36 Civil Engineering Squadron Environmental Flight Unit 14007 APO AP 96943-4007

Subject:

Section 106 Consultation – Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown Environmental Assessment, Andersen Air Force Base, Guam; on Behalf of the Air National Guard Readiness Center (RCS Pending)

Dear Mrs. Murphy,

We reviewed the subject undertaking and agree there are no eligible sites within the APE. Based on the project description the approximately 12,000 square foot bed-down facility, utility connections, the 2,500 sq. yd. equipment pad including the 50-foot offset for security fencing; and the 2,500 sq. yd. parking lot will have no impact. The APE for the undertaking 8.86 acres.

Therefore, we concur with the determination of "No historic properties affected". However, the subject lot will be subject to 36 CFR 800.13 Post Review Discovery in the event of inadvertent discoveries.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact Mr. John Mark Joseph, State Archaeologist at JohnMark.Joseph@dpr.guam.gov.

Sincerely,

Carlotta Leon Guerrero Acting State Historic Preservation Officer

Appendix A-2.3

Sample Interagency and Intergovernmental Letters



NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU 3501 FETCHET AVENUE JOINT BASE ANDREWS 20762-5157

JAN 29 2021

National Guard Bureau (NGB/A4AM) Ms. Christine J. Yott 3501 Fetchet Ave Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762

Douglas Domenech, Assistant Secretary DOI-Office of Insular Affairs, Capital Improvement Program for Guam Main Interior Building 1849 C Street NW Washington, DC 20240

Dear Mr. Domenech,

The National Guard Bureau (NGB) is currently investigating the feasibility of completing two Air National Guard (ANG) Space Control Squadron (SPCS) basing actions, SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 at:

- Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) Barking Sands, HIANG 154th Wing (154 WG), located at Kauai, Hawaii (HI) (Attachment 1);
- Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam (JBPHH), HIANG 154 WG, located at Honolulu, Oahu, HI (Attachment 2); or
- Andersen Air Force Base (AFB), Guam ANG (GUANG), 254th Wing (254 WG), located on Guam (Attachment 3).

The purpose of the Proposed Action is for the United States Air Force (USAF) to provide the facilities and locations suitable for the establishment of two ANG locations with access to geosynchronous satellites in the Pacific theater, which would consist of one offensive mission (SPCS #4) and one defensive mission (SPCS #5). The Proposed Action rectifies the need to meet the 2015 Air Force Space Command Commander (AFSPC/CC) Air Reserve Component (ARC) Initiative priority to generate four additional ANG unit-equipped Unit Type Codes (UTCs) to meet Combatant Command (COCOM) needs for offensive space control. ANG SPCS #1, SPCS #2, and SPCS #3 were previously established to execute the offensive mission. SPCS #4 would accomplish this goal as it would provide for the fourth of four ANG SPCS offensive missions to be established. ANG SPCS #5 is needed in order to meet the 2018 AFSPC/CC ARC Priority Memorandum to generate eight ANG unit-equipped UTCs to meet COCOM requirements for defensive space control. Currently, there is no defensive SPCS in the ANG. As directed by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the NGB, with support from Environmental Assessment Services, LLC, is preparing an Environmental Assessment (EA) in order to evaluate the potential environmental effects associated with the Proposed Action.

SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 would accommodate the offensive and defensive missions, respectively, and would be located at one of the three candidate locations identified above. Each SPCS would require the beddown of additional personnel in order to support the SPCS mission, including a sufficient number of ANG space operators and operations support personnel. SPCS #4 would require between 88 and 115 new ANG personnel in support of an offensive mission, while SPCS #5 would require the addition of between 62 and 105 ANG personnel in support of a defensive mission. Under the Proposed Action, facilities would be constructed in support of each SPCS. Each SPCS would require the modification of existing facilities or construction of new facilities in support of the basing action.

The NGB, 154 WG, and 254 WG are interested in information or agency-specific preliminary comments that would alleviate or highlight areas of concerns preceding this EA. Areas of concern may include potential effects to: physical, ecological, social, cultural, and archaeological resources. The NGB, 154 WG, and 254 WG also request any information that your agency may have regarding other proposed, ongoing, or recently completed projects that could create or exacerbate impacts to the Proposed Action.

Please respond within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter to Christine Yott, ATTN: SPCS EA, 3501 Fetchet Avenue, Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762-5157 or by email at NGB.A4.A4A.NEPA.COMMENTS.Org@us.af.mil with the subject titled as ATTN: SPCS EA. Thank you for your assistance.

> Sincerely YOTT, CHRI Digitally signed by YOTT, CHRISTINE, J STINE, JUNE UNE 1287505015 .1287505015 08:09:13 -05:00 CHRISTINE J. YOTT, M.S., GS-13 Physical Scientist (Environmental)

3 Attachments:

- PMRF Barking Sands Project Location Map, October 2020
 JBPHH Project Location Map, October 2020
- 3. Andersen AFB Project Location Map, October 2020



NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU 3501 FETCHET AVENUE JOINT BASE ANDREWS 20762-5157

24 August 2021

National Guard Bureau (NGB/A4AM) Ms. Christine J. Yott 3501 Fetchet Ave Joint Base Andrews MD 20762

Katherine Mullett Field Supervisor US Fish and Wildlife Service Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office 300 Ala Moana Blvd PO Box 50088 Honolulu HI 96850-5000

SUBJECT: Seeking Concurrence on Determination for Proposed Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown at Andersen Air Force Base (AFB), Guam

Dear Ms. Mullett

The National Guard Bureau (NGB) is developing a draft Environmental Assessment (EA) addressing the proposed beddown of two SPCSs at three candidate locations. The candidate locations are PMRF-Barking Sands, Hawaii; Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam (JBPHH), Hawaii; and Andersen AFB, Guam.

The focus of this memorandum is on the beddown of a SPCS at Andersen AFB with the purpose of providing the facilities and locations suitable for the establishment of an Air National Guard (ANG) location with access to geosynchronous satellites in the Pacific theater. In accordance with Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, NGB is requesting concurrence for our *not likely to adversely affect* determination for the proposed beddown of a SPCS at the proposed location on Andersen AFB.

Threatened, Endangered, and Candidate Species and Critical Habitat

A review of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Environmental Conservation Online System identified the federally listed species with the potential to occur in the area of the proposed SPCS site. Construction activities associated with the Proposed Action would occur entirely within the boundaries of Andersen AFB in areas of existing land that are considered developed and are devoid of natural vegetation.

Scientific Name	Common Name	Federal Status	Guam Status		
Wildlife					
Pteropus mariannus	Mariana fruit bat	Т	E		
Gallinula chloropus guami	Mariana common moorhen	E	E		
Aplonis opaca	Micronesian starling	-	E		
Eretmochelys imbricata	Hawksbill turtle	Е	E		
Chelonia mydas	Green sea turtle	E	E		
Emoia atrocostata	Tide-pool skink	-	E		
Emoia cyanura	Azure-tailed skink	×	E		
Emoia slevini	Slevin's skink	Е	E		
Lipinia noctua	Moth skink	×	E		
Nactus pelagicus	Pacific slender-toed gecko	E	E		
Perochirus ateles	Micronesian gecko	-	E		
Partula gibba	Humped tree snail	Е	E		
Partula radiolata	Guam tree snail	Е	E		
Hypolimnas octocula marianesis	Mariana eight-spot butterfly	E	-		

Federal- or Guam-listed endangered and threatened species observed on Andersen AFB (and surrounding marine areas) includes the following:

There is no critical habitat for listed species within the proposed SPCS site. The closest area identified as sensitive habitat is the Pati Point Marine Preserve Area, which is approximately 0.5-mile from the proposed SPCS site

Determination of the Effects of the Proposed Action

The Micronesian starling (*Aplonis opaca*) is the only listed species with the potential to occur on the proposed SPCS site; however, this species has not been identified on the property. No formal surveys have been conducted in support of the Proposed Action. Endemic to the Mariana Islands, the Micronesian starling is only found in three known locations on Guam, and they prefer to nest and roost in colonies in caves. Due to the developed nature of the proposed SPCS site, the NGB has determined that the Proposed Action is *not likely to adversely affect* the Micronesian Starling.

We request your concurrence with our determination that the Proposed Action is *not likely to adversely affect* protected species and we request your review of and comments on the attached Draft Environmental Assessment for this Proposed Action.

Please provide any comments or information within 45 days of the receipt of this correspondence to Christine Yott, ATTN: SPCS EA, 3501 Fetchet Avenue, Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762-5157 or by email at <u>NGB.A4.A4A.NEPA.COMMENTS.org@us.af.mil</u> with the subject titled as ATTN: SPCS EA.

Sincerely YOTT.CHRI Digitally signed by YOTT.CHRISTINE.J STINE.JUNE UNE.1287505015 .1287505015 Date: 2021.08.24 .1287505015 14:42:06-04:00' CHRISTINE J. YOTT, GS-13, DAF NEPA Program Manager 3

Attachment: Description of Proposed Action and Alternatives

January 2022

IICEP Letter Attachments

Environmental Assessment for Beddown for the SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 Basing Actions Draft







Appendix A-3

Responses to Scoping IICEP Letters

 From:
 Lee. Charles. TW on behalf of DOT AIR Visitor Information Program Office

 To:
 NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org

 Subject:
 [Non-DoD Source] RE: Scoping Letter for Space Control Squadron Beddown

 Date:
 Tuesday, February 2, 2021 3:09:48 PM

Aloha Ms. Yott,

Thank you for your inquiry.

I have passed your email request to the Deputy Director's office and someone should be getting back to you shortly.

Mahalo

Chuck lee DOTA Visitor Information Program

From: NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org <NGB.A4.A4A.NEPA.COMMENTS.Org@us.af.mil> Sent: Tuesday, February 2, 2021 8:07 AM To: DOT AIR Visitor Information Program Office <dot.air.vip@hawaii.gov> Subject: [EXTERNAL] Scoping Letter for Space Control Squadron Beddown

Hello,

The Air National Guard is seeking information or preliminary concerns regarding projects associated with an upcoming Environmental Assessment at our locations on Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, HI; Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) – Barking Sands, Kauai, HI; and Andersen Air Force Base, Guam. Please see the attachment for more information.

Respectfully,

CHRISTINE J YOTT, M.S., DAF NGB/A4AM Plans and Requirements Physical Scientist (Environmental) Air National Guard Readiness Center 3501 Fetchet Ave, Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762

From:	Lusk. Keith (FAA)
To:	NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org
Subject:	[Non-DoD Source] ATTN: SPCS EA
Date:	Wednesday, February 17, 2021 1:45:42 PM
Attachments:	NGB Space Control Squadron basing actions.pdf

We received the following input from the Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA) Honolulu Airports District Office (ADO).

The FAA is unable to provide comments at this time on the proposed Air National Guard, Space Control Squadron (SPCS) basing actions, SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 as requested in your letter on the subject dated January 29, 2021. Your letter did not provide descriptive information as to what facilities may be constructed at the three proposed locations nor what operations will be conducted at these facilities that would have allowed our agency to review the proposed project in total and to provide meaningful feedback.

One proposed location for a SPCS site (Attachment 2; Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam AFB SPCS Site), would be located adjacent to the taxiway leading to the reef runway at the Daniel K. Inouye International Airport, Honolulu, Hawaii. You are advised that under Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations Part 77 your agency must submit information to the FAA so that our agency can analyze what potential effects the proposed construction and operation of the facility may have on the navigable airspace. This information is submitted to our agency on FAA Form 7460-1.

The FAA will be available to provide comments on the proposed project as more descriptive information is provided to our agency for review.



Mail Processing Center Federal Aviation Administration Southwest Regional Office Obstruction Evaluation Group 10101 Hillwood Parkway Fort Worth, TX 76177 Aeronautical Study No. 2021-AWP-6216-OE

Issued Date: 05/14/2021

Christine Yott National Guard BUreau 3501 Fetchet Ave. Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762

**** DETERMINATION OF NO HAZARD TO AIR NAVIGATION ****

The Federal Aviation Administration has conducted an aeronautical study under the provisions of 49 U.S.C., Section 44718 and if applicable Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations, part 77, concerning:

Structure:	Building HIANG Facility
Location:	Honolulu, HI
Latitude:	21-19-06.40N NAD 83
Longitude:	157-56-53.85W
Heights:	15 feet site elevation (SE)
	40 feet above ground level (AGL)
	55 feet above mean sea level (AMSL)

This aeronautical study revealed that the structure does not exceed obstruction standards and would not be a hazard to air navigation provided the following condition(s), if any, is(are) met:

It is required that FAA Form 7460-2, Notice of Actual Construction or Alteration, be e-filed any time the project is abandoned or:

At least 10 days prior to start of construction (7460-2, Part 1)

X Within 5 days after the construction reaches its greatest height (7460-2, Part 2)

Based on this evaluation, marking and lighting are not necessary for aviation safety. However, if marking/ lighting are accomplished on a voluntary basis, we recommend it be installed in accordance with FAA Advisory circular 70/7460-1 M.

This determination expires on 11/14/2022 unless:

- (a) the construction is started (not necessarily completed) and FAA Form 7460-2, Notice of Actual Construction or Alteration, is received by this office.
- (b) extended, revised, or terminated by the issuing office.
- (c) the construction is subject to the licensing authority of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and an application for a construction permit has been filed, as required by the FCC, within 6 months of the date of this determination. In such case, the determination expires on the date prescribed by the FCC for completion of construction, or the date the FCC denies the application.

Page 1 of 4
NOTE: REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF THE EFFECTIVE PERIOD OF THIS DETERMINATION MUST BE E-FILED AT LEAST 15 DAYS PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION DATE. AFTER RE-EVALUATION OF CURRENT OPERATIONS IN THE AREA OF THE STRUCTURE TO DETERMINE THAT NO SIGNIFICANT AERONAUTICAL CHANGES HAVE OCCURRED, YOUR DETERMINATION MAY BE ELIGIBLE FOR ONE EXTENSION OF THE EFFECTIVE PERIOD.

This determination is based, in part, on the foregoing description which includes specific coordinates, heights, frequency(ies) and power. Any changes in coordinates, heights, and frequencies or use of greater power, except those frequencies specified in the Colo Void Clause Coalition; Antenna System Co-Location; Voluntary Best Practices, effective 21 Nov 2007, will void this determination. Any future construction or alteration, including increase to heights, power, or the addition of other transmitters, requires separate notice to the FAA. This determination includes all previously filed frequencies and power for this structure.

If construction or alteration is dismantled or destroyed, you must submit notice to the FAA within 5 days after the construction or alteration is dismantled or destroyed.

This determination does include temporary construction equipment such as cranes, derricks, etc., which may be used during actual construction of the structure. However, this equipment shall not exceed the overall heights as indicated above. Equipment which has a height greater than the studied structure requires separate notice to the FAA.

This determination concerns the effect of this structure on the safe and efficient use of navigable airspace by aircraft and does not relieve the sponsor of compliance responsibilities relating to any law, ordinance, or regulation of any Federal, State, or local government body.

A copy of this determination will be forwarded to the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) because the structure is subject to their licensing authority.

If we can be of further assistance, please contact our office at (907) 271-5863, or robert.van.haastert@faa.gov. On any future correspondence concerning this matter, please refer to Aeronautical Study Number 2021-AWP-6216-OE.

Signature Control No: 479300968-480781261 Robert van Haastert Supervisor (DNE)

Attachment(s) Map(s)

cc: FCC

Page 2 of 4



TOPO Map for ASN 2021-AWP-6216-OE

Page 3 of 4



Sectional Map for ASN 2021-AWP-6216-OE

Page 4 of 4



The Honorable LOURDES A. LEON GUERRERO Maga'Hâga · Governor

The Honorable JOSHUA F. TENORIO Sigundo Maga' Låhi - Lieutenant Governor



February 11, 2021

Christine Yott ATTN: SPCS EA 3501 Fetchet Avenue Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762-5157

RE: Proposed Basing Actions (SPCS#4 and SPCS#5)

Dear Ms. Yott:

Hafa Adai! The Department of Public Works (DPW) is in receipt of your request dated January 29, 2021. The proposed action is for the United States Air Force to provide facilities and locations suitable for the establishment of two (2) Air National Guard locations with access to geosynchronous satellites in the Pacific theater, which would consist of one offensive mission (SPCS #4) and one defensive mission (SPCS #5).

Based on our review, the DPW has determined that no impact specific to the mandates of our Agency will occur as a result of the proposed basing action. Additionally, the DPW is not aware of any proposed, on-going, or recently completed projects that could create or exacerbate impacts to the proposed action.

If you have any questions, please contact my office at 671-646-3131.

VINCENT P. ARRIOL

CC: DPW Dep. Director DPW COO

XX: Director's Chrono

542 North Marine Corps Drive, Tamuning, Guam 96913 . Tel (671) 646-3131 / 3232 . Fax (671) 649-6178

 From:
 Brian Rearden

 To:
 NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org

 Subject:
 [Non-DoD Source] Re: Scoping Letter for Space Control Squadron Beddown

 Date:
 Sunday, February 7, 2021 5:48:43 PM

Received. I will forward to the offices within Guam EPA that need to review.

Very respectfully,

CAPT Brian G. Bearden, MS, PE, BCEE US Public Health Service Chief Engineer / Water Division Director Guam Environmental Protection Agency (671) 300-4779

On Wed, Feb 3, 2021 at 4:46 AM NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org <<u>NGB.A4.A4A.NEPA.COMMENTS.Org@us.af.mil</u>> wrote:

Hello,

The Air National Guard is seeking information or preliminary concerns regarding projects associated with an upcoming Environmental Assessment at our locations on Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, HI; Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) – Barking Sands, Kauai, HI; and Andersen Air Force Base, Guam. Please see the attachment for more information.

Respectfully,

CHRISTINE J YOTT, M.S., DAF

NGB/A4AM Plans and Requirements

Physical Scientist (Environmental)

Air National Guard Readiness Center

3501 Fetchet Ave, Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762

From:	Vera A. Topasna	
To:	NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org	
Cc:	Carol Perez	
Subject:	[Non-DoD Source] ATTN: SPCS EA	
Date:	Sunday, February 14, 2021 8:12:01 PM	

To Whom It May Concern:

I am in receipt of your letter dated January 29, 2021 regarding the proposed basing action at Andersen Air Force Base Guam. Hence, this email serves as a means to begin communications regarding your request for preliminary comments preceding the Environment Assessment (EA) as required by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

Please feel free to reach out to me via phone at any time. Also note, our office name and physical address has changed and is noted in the signature block below.

Sincerely,

Vera Topasna Executive Director Community Defense Liaison Office (CDLO) Office of the Governor of Guam 120 Father Duenas Ave., Suite 104 Hagatna, Guam 96915 Office: 671-475-4735 Cell: 671-482-5946

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email and any files transmitted with it may be legally privileged and confidential and is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any view, dissemination or copying of this email, or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. If you received this transmission in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail or telephone to arrange for the return of this email and any files to us or to verify it has been deleted from your system.



DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR OF HAWAII





SUZANNE D. CASE CHAIRPERSON BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANACEMENT

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES LAND DIVISION

> POST OFFICE BOX 621 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809 March 08, 2021

> > LD 0119

Christine Yott, ATTN: SPCS EA Via email: NGB.A4.A4A.NEPA.COMMENTS.Org@us.af.mil 3501 Fletcher Avenue Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762-5157

Dear Isaiah:

SUBJECT: Preliminary Agency Comments for Preparation of Environmental Assessment to Evaluate Feasibility of National Guard Bureau Proposed Action for Location of Basing Actions, Missions SPCS#4 and SPCS#5, at One of Three Candidate Locations (Kauai, Oahu, or Guam) Pacific Missile Range Facility, Island of Kauai; Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, Island of Oahu; or Anderson Air Force Base, Island of Guam

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on the subject project. The Land Division of the Department of Land and Natural Resources (DLNR) distributed copies of your

Attached are comments received from our Engineering Division. Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact Barbara Lee via email at barbara.j.lee@hawaii.gov. Thank you.

request to various DLNR divisions, as indicated on the attached, for their review and comment.

Sincerely,

Russell Tsuji

Russell Y. Tsuji Land Administrator

Attachments

DAVID Y, IGE GOVERNOR OF HAWAII





SUZANNE D. CASE CHAIRFRESON BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES LAND DIVISION

> POST OFFICE BOX 621 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809

> > February 12, 2021

.....

MEMORANDUM

LD 0119

FROM:

	10:	DLNK Agencies:
		Div. of Aquatic Resources (via email: kendall.l.tucker@hawaii.gov)
		Div. of Boating & Ocean Recreation
		X Engineering Division (via email: DLNR.Engr@hawaii.gov)
		X Div. of Forestry & Wildlife (via email: Rubyrosa T. Terrago@hawaii.gov)
		Div. of State Parks
		X Commission on Water Resource Management (via email: DLNR.CWRM@hawaii.gov)
		Office of Conservation & Coastal Lands
-		X Land Division – Oahu District (via email: DLNR. Land@hawaii.gov)
10:		Bureall Youil
	FROM:	Russell Y. Tsuji, Land Administrator
	SUBJECT:	Preliminary Agency Comments for Preparation of Environmental
		Assessment to Evaluate Feasibility of National Guard Bureau (NGB)
		Proposed Action for Location of Basing Actions, Missions SPCS#4 and
		SPCS#5, at One of Three Candidate Locations (Kauai, Oahu, or Guam)
	LOCATION:	Pacific Missile Range Facility, Island of Kauai; Joint Base Pearl Harbor-
		Hickam, Island of Oahu; or Andersen Air Force Base, Island of Guam
	APPLICANT:	NGB with support from Environmental Assessment Services, LLC

Transmitted for your review and comment is information on the above-referenced subject. Please submit any comments by March 03, 2021 to <u>DLNR.Land@hawaii.gov</u>, and copied to <u>barbara.j.lee@hawaii.gov</u> and <u>darlene.k.nakamura@hawaii.gov</u>.

If no response is received by this date, we will assume your agency has no comments. If you have any questions, please contact Barbara Lee directly via email at <u>barbara.j.lee@hawaii.gov</u>. Thank you.

))) (\checkmark)

) We have no objections.

We have no comments. We have no additional comments.

Comments are attached.

6

Signed: Print Name: Division: Date:

Carty S. Chang, Chief Engineer Engineering Division Feb 22, 2021

Attachments Cc: Central Files

DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES ENGINEERING DIVISION

LD/Russell Y. Tsuji

Ref: Preliminary Agency Comments for Preparation of Environmental Assessment to Evaluate Feasibility of National Guard Bureau (NGB) Proposed Action for Location of Basing Actions, Missions SPCS#4 and SPCS#5, at One of Three Candidate Locations (Kauai, Oahu, or Guam) Location: Pacific Missile Range Facility, Island of Kauai; Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, Island of Oahu; or Andersen Air Force Base, Island of Guam Applicant: NGB with support from Environmental Assessment Services, LLC

COMMENTS

The rules and regulations of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), Title 44 of the Code of Federal Regulations (44CFR), are in effect when development falls within a Special Flood Hazard Area (high risk areas). State projects are required to comply with 44CFR regulations as stipulated in Section 60.12. Be advised that 44CFR reflects the minimum standards as set forth by the NFIP. Local community flood ordinances may stipulate higher standards that can be more restrictive and would take precedence over the minimum NFIP standards.

The owner of the project property and/or their representative is responsible to research the Flood Hazard Zone designation for the project. Flood Hazard Zones are designated **on FEMA's Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM)**, which can be viewed on our Flood Hazard Assessment Tool (FHAT) (http://gis.hawaiinfip.org/FHAT).

If there are questions regarding the local flood ordinances, please contact the applicable County NFIP coordinating agency below:

- <u>Oahu</u>: City and County of Honolulu, Department of Planning and Permitting (808) 768-8098.
- A Hawaii Island: County of Hawaii, Department of Public Works (808) 961-8327.
- Maui/Molokai/Lanai County of Maui, Department of Planning (808) 270-7253.
- . Kauai: County of Kauai, Department of Public Works (808) 241-4896.

Signed:

CARTY S. CHANG, CHIEF ENGINEER

Date:

1		Ц	DØ119	2	
	RECEIVED AND DIVISION NATION. 350 2021 FEB -5 PMOD*3 GL* 1. OF LAND & 14 JURAL RESOURCES	AL GUARD BUREAU I FETCHET AVENUE ASE ANDREWS 20762-5157	2021 FEB -4 DEPT.0F & NATURAL R STATE OF	RECEI	
	National Guard Bureau (NGB/A4AM) Ms. Christine J. Yott 3501 Fetchet Ave Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762	JAN 29 2021	AM 10: 29 LAND ESOURCES	VED	
	Suzanne Case, Chairperson Hawai'i Department of Land and Natural Resources Kalanimoku Building, 1151 Punchbowl Street Honolulu, HI 96813				
	Dear Chairperson Case.				

The National Guard Bureau (NGB) is currently investigating the feasibility of completing two Air National Guard (ANG) Space Control Squadron (SPCS) basing actions, SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 at:

- Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) Barking Sands, HIANG 154th Wing (154 WG), located at Kauai, Hawaii (HI) (Attachment 1);
- Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam (JBPHH), HIANG 154 WG, located at Honolulu, Oahu, HI (Attachment 2); or
- Andersen Air Force Base (AFB), Guam ANG (GUANG), 254th Wing (254 WG), located on Guam (Attachment 3).

The purpose of the Proposed Action is for the United States Air Force (USAF) to provide the facilities and locations suitable for the establishment of two ANG locations with access to geosynchronous satellites in the Pacific theater, which would consist of one offensive mission (SPCS #4) and one defensive mission (SPCS #5). The Proposed Action rectifies the need to meet the 2015 Air Force Space Command Commander (AFSPC/CC) Air Reserve Component (ARC) Initiative priority to generate four additional ANG unit-equipped Unit Type Codes (UTCs) to meet Combatant Command (COCOM) needs for offensive space control. ANG SPCS #1, SPCS #2, and SPCS #3 were previously established to execute the offensive mission. SPCS #4 would accomplish this goal as it would provide for the fourth of four ANG SPCS offensive missions to be established. ANG SPCS #5 is needed in order to meet the 2018 AFSPC/CC ARC Priority Memorandum to generate eight ANG unit-equipped UTCs to meet COCOM requirements for defensive space control. Currently, there is no defensive SPCS in the ANG. As directed by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the NGB, with support from Environmental Assessment Services, LLC, is preparing an Environmental Assessment (EA) in order to evaluate the potential environmental effects associated with the Proposed Action.

SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 would accommodate the offensive and defensive missions, respectively, and would be located at one of the three candidate locations identified above. Each SPCS would require the beddown of additional personnel in order to support the SPCS mission, including a sufficient number of ANG space operators and operations support personnel. SPCS #4 would require between 88 and 115 new ANG personnel in support of an offensive mission, while SPCS #5 would require the addition of between 62 and 105 ANG personnel in support of a defensive mission. Under the Proposed Action, facilities would be constructed in support of each SPCS. Each SPCS would require the modification of existing facilities or construction of new facilities in support of the basing action.

The NGB, 154 WG, and 254 WG are interested in information or agency-specific preliminary comments that would alleviate or highlight areas of concerns preceding this EA. Areas of concern may include potential effects to: physical, ecological, social, cultural, and archaeological resources. The NGB, 154 WG, and 254 WG also request any information that your agency may have regarding other proposed, ongoing, or recently completed projects that could create or exacerbate impacts to the Proposed Action.

Please respond within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter to Christine Yott, ATTN: SPCS EA. 3501 Fetchet Avenue, Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762-5157 or by email at NGB.A4.A4A.NEPA.COMMENTS.Org@us.af.mil with the subject titled as ATTN: SPCS EA. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely YOTT.CHRI Digitally signed by YOTT.CHRISTINE.J STINE.JUNE UNE 1287505015 1287505015 Date: 2020 12:01 .1287505015 08:09 13 -05'00 CHRISTINE J. YOTT, M.S., GS-13 Physical Scientist (Environmental)

3 Attachments:

4. 0

- 1. PMRF Barking Sands Project Location Map, October 2020
- 2. JBPHH Project Location Map, October 2020
- 3. Andersen AFB Project Location Map, October 2020







 From:
 Mayor Rick Blangiardi

 To:
 NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Drg

 Subject:
 [Non-DoD Source] Acknowledgment

 Date:
 Friday, February 5, 2021 3:01:13 PM

Mahalo for your email to Mayor Rick Blangiardi.

Your views and concerns are important to us and we strive to address every message we receive. However, we ask for your patience as we receive many emails, phone calls, and letters each day. If your message is regarding a City service, we will direct it to the appropriate agency.

Thank you for your understanding.

Aloha,

Office of Mayor Rick Blangiardi Honolulu Hale

From:	Lemmo, Sam J
To:	NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org
Subject:	[Non-DoD Source] RE: Scoping Letter for Space Control Squadron Beddown
Date:	Tuesday, February 2, 2021 6:33:47 PM

Thanks. Will take a look. Our only possible interest would be PMRF as this base is located on Conservation District Lands.

From: NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org <NGB.A4.A4A.NEPA.COMMENTS.Org@us.af.mil> Sent: Tuesday, February 2, 2021 8:08 AM To: Lemmo, Sam J <sam.j.lemmo@hawaii.gov> Subject: [EXTERNAL] Scoping Letter for Space Control Squadron Beddown

Hello,

The Air National Guard is seeking information or preliminary concerns regarding projects associated with an upcoming Environmental Assessment at our locations on Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, HI; Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) – Barking Sands, Kauai, HI; and Andersen Air Force Base, Guam. Please see the attachment for more information.

Respectfully,

CHRISTINE J YOTT, M.S., DAF NGB/A4AM Plans and Requirements Physical Scientist (Environmental) Air National Guard Readiness Center 3501 Fetchet Ave, Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762



OFFICE OF PLANNING STATE OF HAWAII

235 South Beretania Street, 6th Floor, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2359, Honolulu, Hawaii 96804 Telephone: (808) 587-2846 Fax: (808) 587-2824 Web: http://planning.hawaii.gov/

DTS 202102261806HE

March 8, 2021

Ms. Christine J. Yott, M.S., GS-13 3501 Fetchet Avenue Joint Base Andrews, Maryland 20762-5157 ATTN: SPECS EA

Dear Ms. Yott:

Subject:

National Guard Bureau Environmental Assessment for the Feasibility of two Air National Guard Space Control Squadron Basing Actions; Pacific Missile Range Facility, Barking Sands, Kauai; Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam, Oahu; and Anderson Air Force Base, Guam

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on this proposed action and its compatibility with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 (42 United States Code 4321-4347), Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA (40 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] Sections 1500-1508), and 32 CFR Part 989, et seq.

It is our understanding that the United States Air Force (USAF) proposes to find suitable locations for the establishment of two Air National Guard stations and develop facilities that will assist in the launching of geosynchronous satellites in the Pacific theater. These facilities will consist of one offensive mission - space control squadron (SPCS)#4 and one defensive mission -SPCS#5. The SPCS facilities are planned for locations in Hawaii and Guam.

The Office of Planning (OP) has reviewed the preliminary Environmental Assessment (EA) material and has the following comments to offer. Our comments are reserved for the two locations located within the State of Hawaii: Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) – Barking Sands, Kauai; and Joint Base Pearl Harbor – Hickam (JBPHH), Oahu.

1. Coastal Zone Management Area (CZMA) - Federal Consistency

As the proposed action is offered by a federal agency, the USAF, this action may be subject to a CZMA federal consistency review. OP is the lead state agency with the authority to conduct CZMA federal consistency reviews. If you have any questions, please contact our office regarding this matter.

2. <u>Climate Change / Sea Level Rise (SLR)</u>

Both the proposed PMRF Barking Sands and JBPHH SPCS sites are in close proximity to the coastlines of the islands of Kauai and Oahu respectively. These SPCS sites may be vulnerable to natural hazards such as inundation, storm surges, and coastal erosion typical Christine J. Yott March 8, 2021 Page 2

of coastal areas. Furthermore, these hazards are potentially exacerbated by the effects of climate change and SLR. Therefore, we recommend that the Environmental Assessment (EA) assess the vulnerability of these sites to SLR. A resource that may be used to evaluate climate change issues is the Hawaii SLR Vulnerability and Adaptation Report 2017 by the Hawaii Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation Commission.

The Report, and Hawaii SLR Viewer at: https://www.pacioos.hawaii.edu/shoreline/slrhawaii/identifies various SLR exposure areas across the main Hawaiian Islands based on topography, land elevation, and proximity to the shoreline. The EA would benefit from the inclusion of a map of SLR exposure areas in relation to the two Hawaii based SPCS sites. The map should illustrate potential impacts from SLR, and consider site-specific mitigation measures.

3. Stormwater Runoff, Erosion, and Water Resources.

Pursuant to Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1501.3(b)(1) – in considering the potentially affected environment, agencies should consider, as appropriate to the specific action, the affected area (national, regional, or local) and its resources; to ensure that nearshore marine resources along the south shore of Oahu, and the Leeward Coast of Kauai remain protected, the negative effects of stormwater inundation and sediment loading near the proposed project site should be evaluated. Issues that may be examined include, but are not limited to, the project area's vulnerability to flood and erosion, potential susceptibility of water resources and the nearshore area, and intensification of stormwater runoff due to the increase of permeable surfaces caused by the development of the proposed action. Pursuant to 40 CFR § 1501.3(b)(2)(i), if necessary, mitigation for the negative effects caused by the proposed action in both the short and long term should be considered.

If you have any questions regarding this comment letter, please contact Joshua Hekekia of our office at (808) 587-2845 on NEPA EA matters, or John Nakagawa at (808) 587-2878 on CZMA federal consistency matters.

Sincerely,

Mary Alice Evans

Mary Alice Evans Director

From:	Lee, Barbara 1
To:	NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org
Subject:	[Non-DoD Source] ATTN: SPCS EA
Date:	Friday, February 12, 2021 9:15:00 PM
Attachments:	LD0119 NGB.FeasibilityANG.SPCS#4+SPCS#5.PMRF+JBPH-H.Kauai+Oahu RegLetter.pdf

Greetings,

Thank you for your request for comments on the proposed project (attached).

We are disseminating your request to the appropriate divisions within the Department of Land and Natural Resources ("DLNR") for their review.

However, please note that we will no longer forward any projects to the State Historic Preservation Division ("SHPD"), as all applicants seeking **a historic/archeological review**, without exception, must establish an account and submit a separate request directly to SHPD through its new Hawai'i Cultural Resource Information System (HICRIS) online portal. SHPD's HICRIS website can be accessed at the following address:

https://dlnr.hawaii.gov/shod/hicris/hawaii-cultural-resource-information-system-hicris/

If you have any questions about the historic review application process, please contact SHPD directly via the routes provided at the HICRIS website cited above.

Thank you.

Barbara J Lee Special Projects & Development Specialist Land Division Department of Land and Natural Resources PO Box 621 Honolulu, HI 96809-0621

Environmental Assessment for Beddown for the SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 Basing Actions Draft

DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR OF HAWAI		ELIZABETH A. CHAR, M.D. DIRECTOR OF HEALTH
	STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH P. O, BOX 3378 HONOLULU, HI 96801-3378	in reply, please refer to: File: 178895 SL
	February 25, 2021	
Ms. Christine Yot ATTN: SPC EA 3501 Fetchet Aver Joint Base Andrey	t nue ws, Maryland 20762-5157	
Facility/Site:	National Guard Space Control Center	
Subject:	Response to Letter Regarding Potential Air National Guard Space Control Squadron Basing Action at Two Hawaii Locations, received January 29, 2021	
Dear Ms. Yott:	44	
The Hawaii Depan (HEER) Office ha National Guard (A two Hawaii locati Pearl Harbor-Hick Attachment #1 am environmental cor	rtment of Health (HDOH), Hazard Evaluation and Emer s reviewed the letter received January 29, 2021 regardin NG) Space Control Squadron (SPCS) basing actions S ons: Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) - Barking S cam. The HEER Office reviewed the two proposed loca d Attachment #2 and compared them to our records of s ntamination.	rgency Response ng proposed Air PC #4 and SPCS #5 at Sands and Joint Base ations submitted as sites with known
Neither of the two identified contami jurisdiction over t	sites are included in our records, although both PMRF ination at other areas of the properties. HDOH does not he third site at Andersen Air Force Base, Guam.	and JBPHH have t have regulatory
Should there be an via e-mail at sven	ny questions, please do not hesitate to call me at (808) 5 .lindstrom@doh.hawaii.gov.	86-5815, or contact me
Sincerely,		
Sven Lindstrom Voluntary Cleanu Site Discovery, A Hazard Evaluation Hawaii Departme	p Program Specialist ssessment, and Remediation n and Emergency Response Office nt of Health	



Shoreline Setback Areas (SSA)

For agencies or project owners requiring DOH-CWB comments/concerns for one or more of these documents, please utilize the DOH-CWB Standard Comments available on the DOH-CWB website located at: <u>http://health.hawaii.gov/cwb/</u>.

Ms. Christine J. Yott February 4, 2021 Page 2 02005CEC.21

Should you have any questions, please contact Mr. Edward Chen of the Engineering Section, CWB, at (808) 586-4309.

Sincerely,

1 shall beg

ALEC WONG, P.E., CHIEF Clean Water Branch

EC

c: EHS, Kauai

DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR



STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 869 PUNCHBOWL STREET HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813-5097

February 18, 2021

JADE T. BUTAY

Deputy Directors LYNN A.S. ARAKI-REGAN DEREK J. CHOW ROSS M. HIGASHI EDWIN H. SNIFFEN

IN REPLY REFER TO: DIR 0094 STP 8.3122

Ms. Christine J. Yott Physical Scientist National Guard Bureau 3501 Fetchet Avenue Joint Base Andrews, Maryland 20762

Attention: SPCS EA

Dear Ms. Yott:

Subject: Pre-Consultation for Environmental Assessment (EA) Feasibility of Completing Two Air National Guard (ANG) Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Basing Actions, SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 at Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) – Barking Sands Joint Base Pearl Harbor – Hickam (JBPHH) Anderson Air Force Base - Guam

Thank you for your letter dated January 29, 2021 which we received on February 3, 2021. The State of Hawaii Department of Transportation (HDOT) has reviewed the subject Pre-Consultation request from the National Guard Bureau and understands the Proposed Action is for the United States Air Force to provide the facilities and location to establish two ANG locations with access to geosynchronous satellites within the Pacific theater. The locations would consist of one offensive mission (SPCS #4) and one defensive mission (SPCS #5).

The Anderson Air Force Base location is outside of the State of Hawaii, and therefore HDOT has no comments to provide on that specific location.

The other two proposed locations at PMRF and JBPHH are military installations within the State of Hawaii and have access via roadways under HDOT jurisdiction. PMRF is accessed via Kaumualii Highway (State Route 50) and JBPHH is primarily accessed via Interstate H-1 and Nimitz Highway (State Route 92).

HDOT has the following comments:

Airports Division (HDOT-A)

 The proposed site at JBPHH is located adjacent to existing Taxiway RB at the Daniel K. Inouye International Airport. All projects within five miles from Hawaii State airports are advised to read the <u>Technical Assistance Memorandum (TAM)</u> for guidance with development and activities that may require further review and permits. The TAM can

STP 8.3122

Ms. Christine J. Yott February 18, 2021 Page 2

be viewed at this link: http://files.hawaii.gov/dbedt/op/docs/TAM-FAA-DOT-Airports 08-01-2016.pdf

- 2. Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) regulation requires the submittal of FAA Form 7460-1 Notice of Proposed Construction or alteration pursuant to the <u>Code of Federal</u> <u>Regulations</u>. Title 14, Part 77.9, if the construction or alteration is within 20,000 feet of a public use or military airport which exceeds a 100:1 surface from any point on the runway of each airport with its longest runway more than 3,200 feet. Construction equipment and staging area heights, including heights of temporary construction cranes, shall be included in the submittal. The form and criteria for submittal can be found at the following website: https://oeaaa.faa.gov/oeaaa/external/portal.jsp.
- Currently, we do not know of any proposed, ongoing, or recently completed projects that could create or exacerbate impacts to the proposed site at JBPHH.
- 4. The proposed site at PMRF located on Kauai is not near any of HDOT-A's airports and, therefore, will have no impact upon HDOT-A facilities.

Highways Division (HDOT-HWY)

- The HDOT-HWY has no jurisdiction over roadways within the military installations. It appears no construction is proposed within the State right-of-way (ROW); therefore, no direct impact to State roadways are anticipated. The Draft EA should document any new access gates or utility improvements required within the State ROW.
- 2. Although the increase in personnel commuting to each SPCS would be low, the Draft EA should assess the potential for indirect and cumulative effects to peak hour traffic conditions on State roadways that are used to access the installations.
- 3. The project description should include the number and schedule of trips generated during construction and operations at the installation access gate.
- 4. An HDOT-HWY permit is required to transport oversized equipment and overweight vehicles on HDOT-HWY roadways.

If there are any questions, please contact Mr. Blayne Nikaido of the HDOT Statewide Transportation Planning Office at (808) 831-7979 or via email at blayne.h.nikaido@hawaii.gov.

Sincere

JADE T. BUTAY Director of Transportation

 From:
 Mayor Rick Blanniardi

 To:
 NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org

 Subject:
 [Non-DoD Source] Acknowledgment

 Date:
 Friday, February 5, 2021 3:01:13 PM

Mahalo for your email to Mayor Rick Blangiardi.

Your views and concerns are important to us and we strive to address every message we receive. However, we ask for your patience as we receive many emails, phone calls, and letters each day. If your message is regarding a City service, we will direct it to the appropriate agency.

Thank you for your understanding.

Aloha,

Office of Mayor Rick Blangiardi Honolulu Hale OFFICE OF THE MAYOR DEREK S.K. KAWAKAMI, MAYOR MICHAEL A. DAHILIG, MANAGING DIRECTOR



February 10, 2021

National Guard Bureau (NGB/A4AM) Ms. Christine J. Yott 3501 Fetchet Ave. Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762

Dear Ms. Yott,

Thank you for your letter to Mayor Derek S.K. Kawakami and for the opportunity to comment on the feasibility of the National Guard Bureau completing two Air National Guard Space Control Squadron basing actions at the Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) in Barking Sands, Kaua'i, which has been identified as a possible location.

Our understanding of the Proposed Action as presented in your letter is that the Space Control Squadron would be located on an existing site at PMRF, utilizing existing structures. Based on this understanding, we have no concerns about this proposal at this time.

We look forward to reviewing the National Guard Bureau's Environmental Assessment on the Proposed Action which will provide further information and analysis on potential effects associated with this proposal.

Sincerely,

Michael A. Dahilig Managing Director, County of Kaua'i

CC: U.S. Senator Brian Schatz U.S. Senator Mazie Hirono U.S. Representative Kaiali'i Kahele Major General Kenneth Hara

> 4444 Rice Street, Suite 235 • Lihu'e, Hawaii 96766 • (808) 241-4900 (b) • (808) 241-6877 (f) An Equal Opportunity Employer



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE Pacific Islands Regional Office 1845 Wasp Blvd., Bldg 176 Honolulu, Hawaii 96818 (808) 725-5000 · Fax: (808) 725-5215

February 19, 2021

ATTN: SPCS EA National Guard Bureau (NGB/A4AM) Ms. Christine J. Yott 3501 Fetchet Ave Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762

RE: Scoping Letter for Space Control Squadron Beddown

Ms. Yott:

We have reviewed your letter seeking information or comments that would highlight areas of concerns preceding this issuance of your proposed Environmental Assessment on the Proposed Action of completing two Air National Guard Space Control Squadron basing actions:

- Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) Barking Sands, Kauai, Hawaii (HI);
- Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam at Honolulu, Oahu, HI; and
- Andersen Air Force Base Guam

Section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973, as amended (ESA; 16 U.S.C. 1536(a) (2)) requires each federal agency to insure that any action they authorize, fund, or carry out is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any endangered or threatened species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat of such species. A list of ESA-listed nearshore species under NMFS' jurisdiction in the vicinity of the proposed activities is provided in Tables 1 and 2 below.

When a federal agency's action "may affect" a listed species or its designated critical habitat, that agency is required to consult formally with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) or the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), depending upon the endangered species, threatened species, or designated critical habitat that may be affected by the action (50 CFR 402.14(a)). Federal agencies are exempt from this general requirement if they have concluded that an action "may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect" endangered species, threatened species or their designated critical habitat, and NMFS or USFWS concur with that conclusion (50 CFR 402.14 (b)(1)).

However, if you determine that these activities will not affect any ESA-listed species under NMFS jurisdiction, then no consultation is required. For example, if the proposed activities in the Action Area will occur upland, and will not affect any ESA listed aquatic species, or their designated critical habitats, then you have no obligation to consult with us.

Under the ESA, Action Area means all areas to be affected directly or indirectly by the proposed action, in which the effects of the action can be meaningfully detected, measured, and evaluated (50 CFR 402.02). An "effect" is defined by the ESA regulations to include a "consequence to listed species or critical habitat that is caused by the proposed action," and may include "the consequences of other activities that are caused by the proposed action." 50 CFR 402.02. A



consequence is "caused by the proposed action" if "it would not occur but for the proposed action and it is reasonably certain to occur" (50 CFR 402.02; 402.17).

Based on the materials that you provided, the locations of the proposed activities appear adjacent to where species and critical habitat listed in Tables 1 and 2 may occur. However, we do not have enough information to advise you on the likelihood that the proposed activities may affect such species and critical habitat, but are happy to assist you in making such determinations.

If you ultimately determine that the proposed activities may affect the species and critical habitat in Tables 1 and 2, please submit a written request for consultation under section 7 of the ESA, and make effect determinations (i.e. "not likely to adversely affect" or "likely to adversely affect") for any ESA-listed species under NMFS' jurisdiction that may be affected by these activities (see Tables 1 and 2).

In your request, please provide: 1) an updated description of the action to be considered; 2) a description of the specific area that may be affected by the action; 3) a description of any listed species or critical habitat that may be affected by the action; 4) a description of the manner in which the action may affect any listed species or critical habitat and an analysis of any cumulative effects; 5) any relevant reports, including any environmental impact statement, environmental assessment, or biological assessment prepared; and 6) any other relevant available information on the action, the affected listed species, or critical habitat.

We are happy to answer any further questions you may have on this process.

If you have further questions, please contact Ron Dean at <u>ron.dean@noaa.gov</u>. Thank you for working with NMFS to protect our nation's living marine resources.

Sincerely,

GARRETT.ANN, Digitally signed by AARRETT.ANN, GARRETT.ANN.M. 13655853323 M. 13655883323 Date: 2021 02 18 15-30-02 10'00'

Ann M. Garrett Assistant Regional Administrator Protected Resources Division

2

Table 1. For Main Hawaiian Island nearshore habitats. Common name, scientific name, ESA status, effective listing date, critical habitat designation, and recovery plans, with Federal Register reference for ESA-listed species considered in this consultation.

Species/ common name	ESA Status	Effective Listing Date/ FR Notice
Sea Turtles		
Chelonia myddas Central North Pacific Green Sea Turtle	Threatened	05/06/2016 81 FR 20057
Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Sea Turtle	Endangered	06/03/1970 35 FR 8491
Marine Mammals		
Neomonachus schauinslandi Hawaiian Monk Seal	Endangered	11/23/1976 41 FR 51612

|Critical Habitat in the Action Area

In designated areas of the Main Hawaiian Islands (MHI), critical habitat for monk seals includes the marine environment with a seaward boundary that extends from the 200-m depth contour line (relative to mean lower low water), including the seafloor and all subsurface waters and marine habitat within 10-m of the seafloor, through the water's edge 5-m into the terrestrial environment. Detailed information on Hawaiian monk seal critical habitat can be found at https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/species/hawaiian-monk-seal#conservation-management.

The specific areas within the designation, with their physical and biological features are:

- Terrestrial areas preferred by monk seals for pupping and nursing with adjacent shallow, sheltered aquatic areas;
- Marine areas from 0 to 200 m in depth that with water quality and sediment characteristics that support adequate prey quality and quantity for juvenile and adult monk seal foraging; and
- 3. Significant areas used by monk seals for hauling out, resting or molting.

3

Species/ common name	ESA Status	Effective Listing Date/ FR Notice
Corals		
Acropora globiceps	Threatened	09/10/2014 79 FR 53852
Acropora retusa	Threatened	09/10/2014 79 FR 53851
Seriatopora aculeata	Threatened	09/10/2014 79 FR 53851
Sea Turtles		
Chelonia mydas Central West Pacific Green sea turtle	Endangered	04/06/2016 81 FR 20057
Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill sea turtle	Endangered	06/02/1970 35 FR 8491

Table 2. For Guam nearshore habitats. Common name, scientific name, ESA status, effective listing date, critical habitat designation, and recovery plans, with Federal Register reference for ESA-listed species considered in this consultation.

4

From:	Shad Kane	
To:	NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org	
Subject:	RE: [Non-DoD Source] Re: Scoping Letter for Space Control Squadron Beddown	
Date:	Tuesday, February 2, 2021 3:33:30 PM	

Like all request for consultation it must address the impacts to communities affected......shad kane. Hawiian Cjvic Clubs, Kapuaiwa AiMoku, Royal Order of Kamehameha

On Feb 2, 2021 10:25 AM, "NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org" <<u>NGB.A4.A4A.NEPA.COMMENTS.Org@us.af.mil</u>> wrote:

Mahalo for your interest in this project. The National Guard Bureau is working to draft the Environmental Assessment for this project, which will provide more detail about its projected impacts (both positive and negative). Until then, please let me know if you have any questions/concerns that you would like to see discussed in the Environmental Assessment.

Respectfully,

Christine Yott

From: Shad Kane <<u>shadskane@gmail.com</u>> Sent: Tuesday, February 2, 2021 1:53 PM To: NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org <<u>NGB.A4.A4A.NEPA.COMMENTS.Org@us.af.mil</u>> Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Re: Scoping Letter for Space Control Squadron Beddown

I am embarresed to say I do not totally understand the entire project. I can only say the civic clubs support the work of the National Guard. However it is important to make certain that those who live close the proposed projects are aware. Barking Sands is a distance away from residences so might not be a problem. However Hickam may be a concern to people who live in the area especially Aliamanu, Saltlake and the airport area. Sorry I cannot provide more......shad

On Tue, Feb 2, 2021 at 7:59 AM NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org <<u>NGB.A4.A4A.NEPA.COMMENTS.Org@us.af.mil</u>> wrote:

Hello,

The Air National Guard is seeking information or preliminary concerns regarding projects associated with an upcoming Environmental Assessment at our locations on Joint Base

 $Pearl\ Harbor-Hickam,\ HI;\ Pacific\ Missile\ Range\ Facility\ (PMRF)-Barking\ Sands,\ Kauai,\ HI;\ and\ Andersen\ Air\ Force\ Base,\ Guam.\ Please\ see\ the\ attachment\ for\ more\ information.$

Respectfully.

CHRISTINE J YOTT, M.S., DAF

NGB/A4AM Plans and Requirements

Physical Scientist (Environmental)

Air National Guard Readiness Center

3501 Fetchet Ave. Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762

 From:
 Kai Markell

 To:
 NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org

 Subject:
 [Non-DoD Source] Re: Scoping Letter for Space Control Squadron Beddown

 Date:
 Thursday, February 4, 2021 10:40:57 AM

Aloha! Thank you... We will add this to our review and comment intake. Be well....

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone Get <u>Outlook for Android</u>

From: NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org <NGB.A4.A4A.NEPA.COMMENTS.Org@us.af.mil> Sent: Thursday, February 4, 2021, 3:36 AM To: Kai Markell Subject: FW: Scoping Letter for Space Control Squadron Beddown

Good Morning,

It is my understanding from Ms. Alice Silbanuz that you are the appropriate POC for this review. We will update our POC list for the future. Please see the attachment.

Mahalo,

Christine

From: Alice Silbanuz <alices@oha.org> Sent: Wednesday, February 3, 2021 8:54 PM To: NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org <NGB.A4.A4A.NEPA.COMMENTS.Org@us.af.mil> Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Re: Scoping Letter for Space Control Squadron Beddown

Aloha,

OHA Compliance Manager Kai Markell is the proper person to address this matter. His email is kaim@oha.org. Mahalo.

Malama Pono.

Alice Malepeai Silbanuz Interim Community Engagement Director Office of Hawaiian Affairs - Paia Lono 560 N. Nimitz Hwy., Suite 200 Honolulu, HI 96817

From: NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org <<u>NGB.A4.A4A.NEPA.COMMENTS.Org@us.af.mil</u>> Date: Tuesday, February 2, 2021 at 9:19 AM

To: "alices@oha.org" <alices@oha.org>

Subject: Scoping Letter for Space Control Squadron Beddown

Hello,

The Air National Guard is seeking information or preliminary concerns regarding projects associated with an upcoming Environmental Assessment at our locations on Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, H); Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) – Barking Sands, Kauai, HI; and Andersen Air Force Base, Guam. Please see the attachment for more information.

Respectfully,

JENNIFER L, HARTY, M.A., RPA, GS-13, DAF Cultural Resources Program Manager A4VN Air National Guard Readiness Center 3501 Fetchet Avenue Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762
 From:
 Afree Silbanuz

 To:
 NGE A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org

 Subject:
 [Non-DoD Source] Re: Scoping Letter for Space Control Squadron Beddown

 Date:
 Wednesday, February 3, 2021 8:54:12 PM

Aloha,

OHA Compliance Manager Kai Markell is the proper person to address this matter. His email is <u>kaim@oha.org</u>. Mahalo,

Malama Pono.

Alice Malepeai Silbanuz Interim Community Engagement Director Office of Hawaiian Affairs - Paia Lono 560 N. Nimitz Hwy., Suite 200 Honolulu, HI 96817

From: NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org <NGB.A4.A4A.NEPA.COMMENTS.Org@us.af.mil> Date: Tuesday, February 2, 2021 at 9:19 AM

To: "alices@oha.org" <alices@oha.org> Subject: Scoping Letter for Space Control Squadron Beddown

Hello,

The Air National Guard is seeking information or preliminary concerns regarding projects associated with an upcoming Environmental Assessment at our locations on Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, HI: Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) – Barking Sands, Kauai, HI; and Andersen Air Force Base, Guam. Please see the attachment for more information.

Respectfully,

JENNIFER L. HARTY, M.A., RPA, GS-13, DAF Cultural Resources Program Manager A4VN Air National Guard Readiness Center 3501 Fetchet Avenue Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762

From:	NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org
To:	Shad Kane
Subject:	RE: [Non-DoD Source] Re: Scoping Letter for Space Control Squadron Beddown
Date:	Tuesday, February 2, 2021 3:01:50 PM

Mahalo for your interest in this project. The National Guard Bureau is working to draft the Environmental Assessment for this project, which will provide more detail about its projected impacts (both positive and negative). Until then, please let me know if you have any questions/concerns that you would like to see discussed in the Environmental Assessment.

Respectfully,

Christine Yott

From: Shad Kane <shadskane@gmail.com> Sent: Tuesday, February 2, 2021 1:53 PM To: NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org <NGB.A4.A4A.NEPA.COMMENTS.Org@us.af.mil> Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Re: Scoping Letter for Space Control Squadron Beddown

I am embarresed to say I do not totally understand the entire project. I can only say the civic clubs support the work of the National Guard. However it is important to make certain that those who live close the proposed projects are aware. Barking Sands is a distance away from residences so might not be a problem. However Hickam may be a concern to people who live in the area especially Aliamanu, Saltlake and the airport area. Sorry I cannot provide more......shad

On Tue, Feb 2, 2021 at 7:59 AM NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org <<u>NGB.A4.A4A.NEPA.COMMENTS.Org@us.af.mil</u>> wrote:

Hello,

The Air National Guard is seeking information or preliminary concerns regarding projects associated with an upcoming Environmental Assessment at our locations on Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, HI: Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) – Barking Sands, Kauai, HI: and Andersen Air Force Base, Guam. Please see the attachment for more information.

Respectfully,

CHRISTINE J YOTT, M.S., DAF NGB/A4AM Plans and Requirements Physical Scientist (Environmental) Air National Guard Readiness Center 3501 Fetchet Ave, Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762
From:
 Fluker. Kristi D. POH

 To:
 NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org

 Subject:
 RE: Scoping Letter for Space Control Squadron Beddown

 Date:
 Thursday, February 11, 2021 6:11:37 PM

Aloha Christine,

The DA Project Number for this project is POH-2021-00036.

Mahalo,

Kristi Fluker Biologist/Regulatory Specialist Honolulu District U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Building 230 Fort Shafter, Hawaii 96858-5440 <u>Kristi D.Fluker@usace.army.mil</u>

From: Speerstra, Linda CIV USARMY CEPOH (USA) <Linda.Speerstra@usace.army.mil> Sent: Wednesday, February 3, 2021 8:47 AM To: Fluker, Kristi D POH <Kristi.D.Fluker@usace.army.mil>; NGB.A4.A4A.NEPA.COMMENTS.Org@us.af.mil Subject: FW: Scoping Letter for Space Control Squadron Beddown

Aloha Christine – thank you for reaching out to the Corps. We are in receipt of your request and I've assigned to Kristi Fluker. She will be reaching out to you with a project number. Linda

Linda Speerstra Chief, Regulatory Office

From: NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org <<u>NGB.A4.A4A.NEPA.COMMENTS.Org@us.af.mil</u>> Sent: Tuesday, February 2, 2021 8:15 AM To: CEPOH-RO, POH <<u>CEPOH-RO@usace.army.mil</u>> Subject: Scoping Letter for Space Control Squadron Beddown

Hello,

The Air National Guard is seeking information or preliminary concerns regarding projects associated with an upcoming Environmental Assessment at our locations on Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, HI; Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) – Barking Sands, Kauai, HI; and Andersen Air Force Base, Guam. Please see the attachment for more information.

Respectfully,

CHRISTINE J YOTT, M.S., DAF NGB/A4AM Plans and Requirements Physical Scientist (Environmental) Air National Guard Readiness Center 3501 Fetchet Ave, Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY HONOLULU DISTRICT, U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS FORT SHAFTER, HAWAII 96858-5440

April 30, 2021

SUBJECT: Proposed Construction of SPCS #4 and SPCS #5, Pacific Missile Range Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor, Anderson Air Force Base, Kauai & Oahu, HI and Guam, Department of the Army File No. POH-2021-00036

Ms. Christine Yott ATTN: SPCS EA 3501 Fetchet Avenue Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762-5157

Dear Ms. Yott:

The Honolulu District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), Regulatory Branch received your letter dated requesting consultation for the proposed Construction of SPCS #4 and SPCS #5, Pacific Missile Range Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor, Anderson Air Force Base, Kauai & Oahu, HI and Guam. Your request has been assigned Department of the Army (DA) file number POH-2021-00036. Please reference this number in all future correspondence with our office relating to this action.

Based on the limited information provided in regard to your proposed development project, the Corps is unable to determine if your project would be located in a jurisdictional waters of the United States (U.S.)

The Corps authorities are based on two laws: Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1344; "Section 404") and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (33 U.S.C. 403; "Section 10").

Section 404 of the Clean Water Act requires that a DA permit be obtained for the placement or discharge of dredged and/or fill material into waters of the U.S., including jurisdictional wetlands (33 U.S.C. 1344). The Corps defines wetlands as those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions.

Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 requires that a DA permit be obtained for structures or work in or affecting navigable waters of the U.S. (33 U.S.C. 403). Section 10 waters are those waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide shoreward to the mean high water mark, and/or other waters identified by the Alaska District.

- 2 -

DA authorization is required if you propose to place dredged and/or fill material into waters of the U.S., including wetlands and/or perform work in navigable waters of the U.S.

To make a request for a jurisdictional determination, please visit our website at <u>https://www.poh.usace.army.mil/Portals/10/docs/jurisdictionaldeterminations/JD%20Reg</u> <u>uest%20form%20POH%20Nov%202016.pdf</u> to obtain a Request for Corps Jurisdictional Determination form and contact information for submission. Please be advised that whether or not a DA permit is required for your proposed project, you are responsible for obtaining all other applicable Federal, state, or local authorizations required by law.

Thank you for your cooperation with the Honolulu District Regulatory Program. Should If you have any questions related to this determination, please contact me via email at Kristi.D.Fluker@usace.army.mil. You are encouraged to provide comments on your experience with the Honolulu District Regulatory Office by accessing our webbased customer survey form at

http://corpsmapu.usace.army.mil/cm_apex/f?p=regulatory_survey. For additional information about our Regulatory Program, please visit our web site at http://www.poh.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory.aspx.

Sincerely,

Kristi D. Fluker Regulatory Specialist

From:	Speerstra, Linda CIV USARMY CEPOH (USA)
To:	Fluker, Kristi D POH; NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org
Subject:	FW: Scoping Letter for Space Control Squadron Beddown
Date:	Wednesday, February 3, 2021 2:08:30 PM
Attachments:	Linda Speerstra.pdf

Aloha Christine – thank you for reaching out to the Corps. We are in receipt of your request and I've assigned to Kristi Fluker. She will be reaching out to you with a project number. Linda

Linda Speerstra Chief, Regulatory Office

From: NGB A4/A4A NEPA COMMENTS Org <NGB.A4.A4A.NEPA.COMMENTS.Org@us.af.mil>
Sent: Tuesday, February 2, 2021 8:15 AM
To: CEPOH-RO, POH <CEPOH-RO@usace.army.mil>
Subject: Scoping Letter for Space Control Squadron Beddown

Hello,

The Air National Guard is seeking information or preliminary concerns regarding projects associated with an upcoming Environmental Assessment at our locations on Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, HI; Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) – Barking Sands, Kauai, HI; and Andersen Air Force Base, Guam. Please see the attachment for more information.

Respectfully,

CHRISTINE J YOTT, M.S., DAF NGB/A4AM Plans and Requirements Physical Scientist (Environmental) Air National Guard Readiness Center 3501 Fetchet Ave, Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

March 2, 2021

Ms. Christine J. Yott Physical Scientist, Environmental National Guard Bureau 3501 Fetchet Avenue Joint Base Andrews, Maryland 20762

Subject: Scoping Comments for the Space Control Beddown, Oahu and Kauai Counties, Hawaii, and Guam

Dear Ms. Yott:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has reviewed the National Guard Bureau's Notice of Intent to prepare a Draft Environmental Assessment for the Space Control Beddown, as described in your letter signed on January 12, 2021. Our review and scoping comments are provided pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act, Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508), and Section 309 of the Clean Air Act.

The National Guard Bureau proposes to locate two Space Control missions in two of three locations, including Joint Base Hickam on Oahu, Pacific Missile Range Facility Barking Sands on Kauai, in Hawaii, and on Andersen Air Force Base, Guam. The missions will include new facility construction at two of the three proposed sites and may include moving as many as 220 personnel and their families to these military locations. The missions will not include additional air operations.

The EPA offers the attached scoping comments and recommendations to the National Guard Bureau to consider when preparing the Draft Environmental Assessment, regarding aquatic resources, drinking water, flooding, base housing, and renewable energy opportunity.

We appreciate the opportunity to review this scoping notice and are available to discuss our comments. When the Draft Environmental Assessment is prepared for this proposed action and released for public review, please send an electronic copy to the e-mail address below. If you have questions, please contact me at (415) 972-3321 or appleton.zac@epa.gov.

Sincerely,

ZACHARIAH Conception and the ACHARIAH Conception and the ACHARIAH CONCEPTION ACHARIAN APPLETON COSCO 001001

Zac Appleton Environmental Review Branch

Enclosure: EPA's Detailed Comments

U.S. EPA DETAILED COMMENTS ON THE SCOPING NOTICE FOR THE SPACE CONTROL BEDDOWN, MULTIPLE COUNTIES, HAWAII AND GUAM- MARCH 2, 2021

Aquatic Resources

The proposed beddown will include disturbing ground and constructing new facilities adjacent to aquatic resources. The following recommendations are specific for the proposed beddown sites.

Recommendations:

<u>Clean Water Act Section 404</u>; The North Sidewinder Road site on Kauai is particularly adjacent to wetlands. The Hickam site on Oahu is near the Kumumauu Canal that drains into the Mamala Bay. We recommend the National Guard Bureau avoid discharge of dredged or fill material from the proposed sites, and if that impact is anticipated, to coordinate with the US Army Corps of Engineers to determine impacts to jurisdictional waters and determine if the project may require a Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 404 permit. The Clean Water Act Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines (Guidelines) at 40 CFR Part 230.10(a) state that "... no discharge of dredged or fill material shall be permitted if there is a practicable alternative to the proposed discharge which would have less adverse impact on the aquatic ecosystem, so long as the alternative does not have other significant adverse environmental consequences." Fundamental to the Guidelines is the principle that no dredged or fill material can be discharged into the aquatic ecosystem unless it can be demonstrated that there is no less environmentally damaging practicable alternative that achieves an applicant's project purpose. Only the Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative (LEDPA) can be permitted under the Guidelines (40 CFR 230.10(a)).

To determine the LEDPA, the Guidelines call for an analysis that compares the total impact of each alternative, including direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts. Additionally, the project applicant must demonstrate that potential impacts to waters of the United States have been avoided and minimized to the maximum extent practicable (40 CFR 230.10(a) and 230.10(d)).

<u>Clean Water Act Section 401</u>: We recognize the proposed project may add impermeable surfaces and change drainage patterns to the project area for all the proposed sites, potentially impacting water quality in adjacent water bodies. We recommend the National Guard Bureau describe the existing National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits and stormwater controls that would cover the proposed changes to the on-base sites. We recommend the National Guard Bureau describe the mitigation measures committed to, to minimize runoff impacts to adjacent water bodies during construction.

Drinking Water

The proposed Andersen Air Force Base (AFB) site is located above the recharge area of the Northern Guam Lens Aquifer, a designated Sole-Source Aquifer under the Safe Drinking Water Act, Section 1424(e).

Recommendations

We recommend the National Guard Bureau consider EPA's Sole Source Aquifer Project Review questions when preparing the Draft Environmental Assessment. Describe all mitigation measures to avoid contaminating groundwater on Guam committed to for the purpose of this project location. Include any commitments relating to water conservation, leak detection, and other measures to protect groundwater between Andersen AFB and the Guam Waterworks Authority as they relate to the proposed Guam project site.

 https://19january2017snapshot.epa.gov/www3/region9/water/groundwater/ssa-pdfs/Sole-Source-Aquifer-Proj-Rvu-Info.pdf

Flooding

The Mamala Bay Drive site at Joint Base Hickam in Honolulu is in an area designated as Zone D for Area of Undetermined Flood Hazard by the Federal Emergency Management Agency's National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The proposed site is immediately surrounded by areas designated as AE and VE by the NFIP, amounting to a 1% annual chance of flooding, with a 26% chance of flooding over a 30-year period.

Recommendation:

We recommend the National Guard Bureau analyze the flood risk specific to the proposed Hickam site and if the site is selected, describe the flood mitigation measures the project will commit to, in the Environmental Assessment.

Tsunami Evacuation

We note that all of the Pacific Missile Range Facility Barking Sands and the Mana Plain up to the foothills are in a Tsunami Evacuation Zone.

Recommendation:

We recommend the National Guard Bureau describe in the Environmental Assessment the tsunami risk mitigation measures committed to for proposed site at PMRF Barking Sands.

https://dod.hawaii.gov/hiema/public-resources/tsunami-evacuation-zone/

Base Housing

The proposed action will add up to 220 personnel to two of the proposed military base sites, and their families may join them. The Notice of Intent does not mention development of any supporting facilities. The Environmental Assessment should identify all supporting facilities to ensure potentially connected actions are included in the environmental impact analyses (40 CFR 1501.9(e)). The project description should identify needed housing, parking facilities, transportation improvements, drinking water and/or wastewater treatment facilities, and other utilities upgrades that would be associated with the project. Consistent with 40 CFR 1502.15, other planned actions should be identified.

Recommendations:

The Environmental Assessment should describe impacts that could occur later in time or at a distance from the project site and have a reasonably close causal relationship to the proposed action or alternatives. Clarify if the proposed sites have sufficient housing and additional support capacity for the personnel and families of the proposed action, and disclose if any additional housing or support projects are anticipated relating to this proposed action.

Renewable Energy

We note the military has already pursued renewable energy projects on many of these Pacific bases, including the Pacific Energy Assurance Renewables Laboratory micro-grid on Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam. Renewable energy with in-situ energy storage can add operational resilience to the proposed action.

Recommendation:

We continue to encourage the military to add renewable energy capacity to the proposed action at all of the selected project sites.



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS 36TH WING (PACAF) ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE GUAM

08 April 2021

Amanda Murphy Cultural Resource Manager 36 CES/CEV Andersen AFB Guam Unit 14007 APO AP 96543-4007

TO

Jeffrey Laitila Environmental Flight Chief 36 CES/CEV Andersen AFB Guam Unit 14007 APO AP 96543-4007

FROM: 36 CES/CEV CRM

SUBJECT: MEMORANDUM FOR 36 CES/CEV RECORD Re: Lapsed Sec 106 Consultation, Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown Environmental Assessment [AAFB No RCS/GSHPO RC 2021-0058]

References: (a) 36 CFR Part 800-PROTECTION OF HISTORIC PROPERTIES

(incorporating amendments effective August 5, 2004)

(b) Class I Literature Review for the Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown for the Fourth (SPSC #4) and Fifth (SPSC #5) Basing Actions, prepared by ASM Affiliate for Department of the Air Force.

(c) Dixon, B. and C. Walker 2011 Archaeological Investigations Conducted in Support of the Joint Guam Build-Up Environmental Impact Statement: Threshold Report No. 2. Cardno TEC Inc. Prepared for Department of the Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command.

(d) Welch, David J. 2010 Archaeological Surveys and Cultural Resource Studies on the Island of Guam in Support of the Joint Guam Build-Up Environmental Impact Statement. IARII 200713. Prepared for Department of the Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command Marianas.

(e) Mohlman, Geoffrey 2018 Historic Inventory Survey, Andersen Air Force Base, Territory of Guam, Contract Number N62470-12-D-7008. SEARCH Project # 3791-16191F. Prepared for Department of the Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command Marianas.

(f) SEARCH 2015 Integrated Cultural Resources Management Plan for Andersen Air Force Base, Joint Region Marianas. Prepared for NAVFAC Marianas.

Under the Privacy Act of 1974, you must safeguard all information, if required, reflected on this document and, if applicable, all attachments Disclosure of information is IAW AFI 33-119, AFI 33-127, AFI 33-129, DoD 5400, 7-R/Air Force Supplement (Freedom of Information Act), AFI 33-332 (Privacy Act), AFI 33-219, and PL 93-579.

2

On 8 March 2021, 36 CES/CEV Cultural Resources Manager, Amanda Murphy, facilitated a Section 106 consultation with the Guam State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), including supplemental documentation, and requested concurrence with a determination of **No Historic Properties Affected** for the proposed undertaking. Guam SHPO failed to respond within 30 days and as a result, 6 April 2021 was noted as the consultation's formal completion date. Per 36 CFR 800.4 (d)(1) (i), *lf the SHPO/THPO, or the Council, if it has entered the section 106 process, does not object within 30 days of receipt of an adequately documented finding, the agency official's responsibilities under section 106 are fulfilled in the case of No Historic Properties Affected determinations.*

The basis of the determination is as follows:

- The area has been previously surveyed and inventoried during the Joint Guam Build Up and other base studies (Welch 2010; Dixon and Walker 2011; Mohlman 2018);
- No historic properties exist within the APE, and the area is currently devoid of structures;
- Aerial photos from 1953 and 1967 indicate several periods of complete grading, infrastructure build-up, and demolition in the APE;
- And the area is considered to be a low-probability area for archaeological sites based on the ICRMP (SEARCH 2015).

Following the lapsed 30-day deadline for a SHPO response, SHPO reentered the consultation process on 7 April 2021. Although, per 36 CFR 800.3(c)(4), Andersen Air Force Base CRM is not *required to reconsider previous findings or determinations* following the lapse of the deadline, SHPO concurred with AAFB's determination. It is the determination of the Cultural Resources Program Manager that we have now fulfilled our obligations per CFR 800.4 (a) through (c), and the project may proceed on the basis of the above-mentioned findings.

AMANDA MURPHY

Cultural Resource Manager 36th Civil Engineer Squadron

Attachments:

(a) 8 Mar 21 Section 106 Consultation for Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown Environmental Assessment [No RCS];
(b) 7 Apr 21 (rcvd) GSHPO Response/Concurrence, dtd 6 Apr 21



Lourdes A. Leon Guerrero Governor Joshua F. Tenorio Li. Governor Department of Parks and Recreation Dipattamenton Plaset yan Dibuetsion Government of Guam Director's Office, Parks and Recreation Divisions: #1 Paseo de Susana, Hagàtia, Guam 96910 P.O. Box 2950, Hagàtia, Guam 96932 (671) 475-6288, Facsimile (671) 477-0997 Guam Historic Resources Division 490 Chalan Palasyo, Agana Heights, Guam 96910 (671) 475-6294/6355; Facsimile (671) 477-2822



Roque A. Alcantara Director Victor R. Villagomez Deputy Director

April 6, 2021

In reply refer to: RC 2021-0558

Amanda L. Murphy Archaeologist/ CRM AAFB 36 Civil Engineering Squadron Environmental Flight Unit 14007 APO AP 96943-4007

Subject:

Section 106 Consultation – Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown Environmental Assessment, Andersen Air Force Base, Guam; on Behalf of the Air National Guard Readiness Center (RCS Pending)

Dear Mrs. Murphy,

We reviewed the subject undertaking and agree there are no eligible sites within the APE. Based on the project description the approximately 12,000 square foot bed-down facility, utility connections, the 2,500 sq. yd. equipment pad including the 50-foot offset for security fencing; and the 2,500 sq. yd. parking lot will have no impact. The APE for the undertaking 8.86 acres.

Therefore, we concur with the determination of "No historic properties affected". However, the subject lot will be subject to 36 CFR 800.13 Post Review Discovery in the event of inadvertent discoveries.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact Mr. John Mark Joseph, State Archaeologist at JohnMark.Joseph@dpr.guam.gov.

Sincerely,

Carlotta Leon Guerrero Acting State Historic Preservation Officer

Appendix A-4

Agency Consultations

DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR OF HAWAU





STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES

STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION DIVISION KAKUHIHEWA BUILDING 601 KAMOKILA BLVD, STE 555 KAPOLEI, HI 96707

August 31, 2021

Jennifer Harty Cultural Resources Program Manager National Guard Bureau 3501 Fetchet Avenue Joint Base Andrews 20762-5157 Email: Jennifer.Harty@us.af.mil

Electronic Transmittal Only, No Hard Copy to Follow

Dear Jennifer Harty:

 SUBJECT:
 National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) Section 106 Review –

 Initiation of Consultation and Request for Concurrence with the Effect Determination

 Proposed Space Control Squadron Beddown

 Hālawa and Moanalua Ahupua'a, 'Ewa and Kona District, Island of O'ahu

 Waimea Ahupua'a, Kona District, Island of Kaua'i

 TMK: (1) 1-1-001:001, (1) 1-1-002:015, (1) 9-9-001:013, and (4) 1-2-002:013

The State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD) received a letter dated August 4, 2021 from the National Guard Bureau to initiate Section 106 consultation and to request the State Historic Preservation Officer's (SHPO's) concurrence with the effect determination for the Proposed Space Control Squadron Beddown project which may occur on the island of Kaua'i or O'ahu. The SHPD received this submittal on August 5, 2021. Accompanying the National Guard Bureau's letter is a "Class I Literature Review" prepared by ASM Affiliates; this report includes information and an Area of Potential Effects (APE) map at Andersen Air Force Base on Guam, which is outside the jurisdiction of the Hawai'i State Historic Preservation Officer.

The National Guard Bureau (NGB) is evaluating a proposed project for the creation of facilities and infrastructure for the Space Control Squadron (SPCS). The proposed NGB project has been determined a federal undertaking as defined in 36 CFR 800.16(y) therefore the proposed project is subject to compliance with Section 106 of the NHPA.

According to NGB's letter, there are two proposed locations in which the project may occur. The two locations are 1) the existing NGB compound at the Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) – Barking Sands on the island of Kaua'i and 2) the current Hickam Softball Field and an undeveloped parcel on Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam (JBPHH) on the island of O'ahu. The proposed site at PMRF-Barking Sands is currently developed and the majority of the site is paved. There is an existing building with office space that would be renovated and expanded as part of the proposed undertaking. The proposed site at JBPHH consists of the existing Hickam Softball Field and adjacent undeveloped parcel. There are no permanent structures at the proposed site.

The NGB project description submitted to HICRIS Project No. 2021PR00933 states that the Air Force is proposing to establish a Space Control Squadron (SPCS), requiring the construction or renovation of the following facilities a 12,100 ft² building that consists of 3,000 ft² of administration area, 3,600 ft² of operational area, 5,200 ft² of maintenance area, and 300 ft² of hazardous storage area. The one-story building is anticipated to have a maximum roofline height of 35 feet or less and an open floor plan with Secure Compartmentalized Information Facility space capable of accommodating personnel. The facility and equipment require Protection Level 3, a 5,000 yd² equipment

SUZANNE D. CASE CHAIRPERSON BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES MINISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

ROBERT K. MASUDA FIRST DEPUTY

M KALEO MANUEL DEPUTY DIRECTOR - WATER

DOATENG ARD OCEAN FRICTERATION BUELEN OF CONVENTION ANALOS CONSERVICTOR AND OASTAL LANDS CONSERVICTOR AND OASTAL LANDS CONSERVICTOR AND ORSENVICTOR MAT BURGINGER BURGING OF THE AND BURGING OF THE AND BURGING OF THE AND EARDON AWE IS AND DESERVICTOR MUSICIO LAND STATE FARES

IN REPLY REFER TO: Project No.: 2021PR00933 Submission No.: 2021PR00933,001 Doc No.: 2108SH13 Archaeology Jennifer Harty August 31, 2021 Page 2

pad with an unobstructed view of geosynchronous satellites. Antennas would be anticipated to reach a maximum height of 35 feet or less. A 2,500 yd² parking lot within 0.25-mile of the facilities. A 50-ft security clearance setback throughout perimeter of equipment pad. An infiltration basin or approved Low Impact Development solution pursuant to Uniform Facilities Criteria 3-210-10, and a 50-ton air conditioner unit.

The NGB has defined the APE for this project as within the red boundaries illustrated on Figures 1 and 2 of the Class I Literature Review. The NGB states the specifications for structures within the APE have not yet been finalized due to the speculative stage of the project, however, it may be assumed that the project facilities will include an approximately 12,100-sq-ft beddown facility with utility connections, a 2,500-sq-yd equipment pad with a 50-ft offset for security fencing, and a 2,500-sq-yd parking lot.

The NGB states there are no eligible historic properties within the APE and the proposed ground disturbance at both PMRF-Barking Sands and JBPHH would occur within disturbed contexts. Therefore, NGB has reached a determination of *no historic properties affected* for the proposed undertaking.

The SHPO does not concur based on the need for additional information to support the effect determination. Please submit the following:

- Because the most recent study at PMRF was conducted over twenty years ago and because there is no
 archaeological data within, or adjacent to, the APE at JBPHH, the SHPD is requesting systematic Phase 1
 subsurface testing at both proposed locations and an archaeological findings report. If Phase 1 subsurface
 testing is not feasible at the PMRF locations due to ongoing use of the facilities, please consult with the
 SHPD to determine an adequate testing strategy.
- Copies of the archaeological reports used in support of NGB's finding of effect.
- Please indicate whether the existing building at PMRF proposed for renovation is historical in age and if so, provide a significance and integrity assessment of the building.
- Copies, or a summary, of the NGB's consultation efforts with the public, native Hawaiian Organizations, and other potentially interested parties, any comments received, and the NGB's response to any comments received.

Please submit all forthcoming information and correspondence related to the subject project to the SHPD HICRIS system under Project 2021PR00933 using the Project Supplement option.

The SHPD looks forward to continuing the Section 106 process for the proposed project.

The NGB is the office of record for this undertaking. Please maintain a copy of this letter with your environmental review record.

Please contact Stephanie Hacker, Historic Preservation Archaeologist IV, at <u>Stephanie.Hacker@hawaii.gov</u> or at (808) 692-8046 for matters regarding archaeological resources or this letter.

Aloha,

Alan Downer

Alan S. Downer, PhD Administrator, State Historic Preservation Division Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer



NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU 3501 FETCHET AVENUE JOINT BASE ANDREWS 20762-5157

2 December 2021

Dr. Alan Downer Administrator Hawaii State Historic Preservation Division 601 Kamokila Blvd, Suite 555 Kapolei, HI 96707

Dear Dr. Downer,

The National Guard Bureau (NGB) is in receipt of your letter dated 7 September 2021 responding to our determination of effects letter dated 4 August 2021. The purpose of this letter is to provide additional information and complete Section 106 consultation.

As stated in our original letter, are two proposed locations for the undertaking in Hawaii the existing NGB compound at the Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF)-Barking Sands, Kaua'i, and the current Hickam Softball Field in an undeveloped parcel on Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam (JBPHH), O'ahu. The following discussion provides additional information regarding our proposed undertaking:

PMRF

The proposed undertaking at PMRF-Barking Sands consists of upgrading Building 1115 and adding a 2000 ft² addition. Upgrades include a new electrical distribution system, lighting, fire protection system, roof and exterior envelope updates, interior finishes, restroom facilities, security, parking lot, sidewalks, and fencing. Other new construction would include a 300 ft² hazardous materials storage facility. All proposed work would occur within the existing fenced Hawaii Air National Guard PMRF facility.

NGB has determined the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for the proposed undertaking to consist of the proposed area of construction, access to the facility, and material laydown yards. The entirety of the APE is within an area previously surveyed for archaeological materials with none identified. Additionally, the APE has been disturbed from previous construction, leveling, fencing, landscaping, and paving activities. Building 1115 was constructed in 1995 and therefore does not meet the minimum qualifications for inclusion in the NRHP either under Criteria A-D or under Criterion Consideration G as a Cold War Asset.

The NGB, working with Navy personnel at PMRF, has reached a determination of *no historic properties affected* for the proposed undertaking for the PMRF location. Additionally, the proposed action falls under a Regional Programmatic Agreement signed by the Commander, Navy Region Hawaii; the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation; and the Hawaii State Historic Preservation Officer. The PA states that if Navy personnel determine that an undertaking does not have the potential to cause effects on listed, contributing, or eligible properties, no further review under the PA and the NHPA is required. As terms in the PA supersede standard consultation procedures outlined in Section 106 of the NHPA and implementing regulations (36 CFR Part 800), no further consultation with the Hawaii State Historic Preservation Office is required.

1

JBPHH

The proposed undertaking at JBPHH consists of constructing a new building in the existing Hickam Softball Field and adjacent undeveloped parcel. There are currently no permanent structures at the proposed site. Proposed construction at the site includes an approximately 15,000 ft² building and all associated utilities. All proposed construction work would occur within the area identified as fill.

NGB has determined the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for the proposed undertaking to consist of the proposed area of construction, access to the facility, and material laydown yards. The entirety of the APE is within an area built up with fill brought in to the area, as supported by data from the NRCS Soil Survey (Attachment 1).

The NGB, working with Navy personnel at JBPHH, has reached a determination of *no historic properties affected* for the proposed undertaking for the JBPHH location. Additionally, the proposed action falls under a Regional Programmatic Agreement signed by the Commander, Navy Region Hawaii; the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation; and the Hawaii State Historic Preservation Officer. The PA states that if Navy personnel determine that an undertaking does not have the potential to cause effects on listed, contributing, or eligible properties, no further review under the PA and the NHPA is required. As terms in the PA supersede standard consultation procedures outlined in Section 106 of the NHPA and implementing regulations (36 CFR Part 800), no further consultation with the Hawaii State Historic Preservation Office is required.

In the unlikely event of an inadvertent discovery during construction, the Hawaii Air National Guard would implement Standard Operating Procedures outlined in the *Integrated Cultural Resources Management Plan* established for JBPHH, or the existing NAGPRA Agreement for PMRF.

Thank you for taking the time to review our proposed undertaking. Please direct any further questions or requests for additional information to Jennifer Harty, Cultural Resources Program Manager, at jennifer.harty@us.af.mil.

Sincerely

JENNIFER L. HARTY, GS-13, DAF Cultural Resources Program Manager

3 Attachments:

- 1. Project Location Map PMRF Barking Sands
- 2. Project Location Map JBPHH
- 3. NRCS Soil Survey Map



Environmental Assessment for Beddown for the SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 Basing Actions Draft



	(JBPHH)	
МАР	LEGEND	MAP INFORMATION
Area of Inserest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI) Solts Solt Map Unit Polygon Solt Potent Petros Solt Map Unit Polygon Solt Potent Petros Solt Map Unit Polygon Solt Petros Solt Petros Solt Petros Solt Petros Marst or swamp Mins or Duary Mins or Duary Mins or Solt Solt Petros Solt Petro	Spril Aree Sony Spot Wey Story Spot Wey Story Spot Special Line Features Special Line Features Streams and Canals Transportation Refs Major Roads Local Roads Local Roads	<text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text>

Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soli Survey National Cooperative Sol Survey 11/30/2021 Page 2 of 3 Soil Map-Island of Oahu, Hawaii

JBPHH

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
FL	Fill land, mixed	29.6	91,3%
MnC	Mamala cobbly silty clay loam; 0 to 12 percent slopes, MLRA 163	10	3.2%
W	Water > 40 acres	1.8	5,5%
Totals for Area of Interest		32.4	100.0%

LSDA Nati

Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey 11/30/2021 Page 3 of 3

Environmental Assessment for Beddown for the SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 Basing Actions Draft



		(JBPHH)	
	MAP LEGEN	D	MAP INFORMATION
Area of Inserved. (A Soils Soils Soils Soil M Special Point Fe Bioword Bioword Closed	Oi) Chierest (AOI) ap Unit Polygons ap Unit Lines ap Unit Polygons pol Water F ap One Spot spot Spot spot Spot x Sip Spot	Spell Aree Stony Spot Very Stony Spot Other Special Line Features PLSS Township and Range Streams and Canels ortation Rais Interstate Highways US Routes Mejor Roads Local Roads Local Roads	<text><text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text>

Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey 11/30/2021 Page 2 of 3 Soil Map-Island of Oahu, Hawaii

JBPHH

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
FL	Fill land, mixed	29.6	91,3%
MnC	Mamala cobbly silty clay loam; 0 to 12 percent slopes, MLRA 163	10	3.2%
W	Water > 40 acres	1.8	5,5%
Totals for Area of Interest		32.4	100.0%

LSDA Natu

Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey 11/30/2021 Page 3 of 3

SUZANNE D. CASE DAVID V. IGE GOVERNOR OF OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES IN ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT UAWAII ROBERT K. MASUDA M. KALEO MANUEL IOATEG AND OCEAN FRECHEATION BUREAU OF CONVEYANCES SION ON WATER BESOURCE MARA AGREFAT NASERVATIOE AND COASTAL LANDS UVATION AND RESOURCES ENFORCEMENT ENGESTRY AND UVLOUER HISTORIC PRESERVATION ON A WE ISLAND RESERVEY COMMISSION TAND STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES LAND STATE PARKS STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION DIVISION KAKUHIHEWA BUILDING 601 KAMOKILA BLVD., STE 555 KAPOLEI, HI 96707 December 29. 2021 IN REPLY REFER TO: Jennifer Harty Project No.: 2021PR00933 Cultural Resources Program Manager Doc. No.; 2112SH20 Archaeology National Guard Bureau 3501 Fetchet Avenue Architecture Joint Base Andrews 20762-5157 Email: Jennifer.Harty@us.af.mil Electronic Transmittal Only, No Hard Copy to Follow Dear Jennifer Harty: SUBJECT: National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) Section 106 Review -**Continued Consultation Proposed Space Control Squadron Beddown** Halawa and Moanalua Ahupua'a, 'Ewa and Kona District, Island of O'ahu Waimea Ahupua'a, Kona District, Island of Kaua'i TMK: (1) 1-1-001:001, (1) 1-1-002:015, (1) 9-9-001:013, and (4) 1-2-002:013 The State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD) received a letter dated December 2, 2021 from the National Guard Bureau to provide the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) with a notification for the Proposed Space Control Squadron Beddown project which may occur on the island of Kaua'i or O'ahu. The SHPD received this submittal on December 3, 2021 (Submission No. 2021PR00933.002). The National Guard Bureau (NGB) initiated consultation with the SHPD in a letter dated August 4, 2021 for a project in which the NGB is evaluating a proposed project for the creation of facilities and infrastructure for the

According to NGB's August 4, 2021 letter, there are two proposed locations in which the project may occur. The two locations are 1) the existing NGB compound at the Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) – Barking Sands on the island of Kaua'i and 2) the current Hickam Softball Field and an undeveloped parcel on Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam (JBPHH) on the island of O'ahu.

Space Control Squadron (SPCS). The proposed NGB project was determined a federal undertaking as defined in 36

CFR 800.16(y) therefore the proposed project is subject to compliance with Section 106 of the NHPA.

Within SHPD's HICRIS system the NGB states the Air Force is proposing to establish a Space Control Squadron (SPCS), requiring the construction or renovation of the following facilities: a 12,100 ft² building that consists of 3,000 ft² of administration area, 3,600 ft² of operational area, 5,200 ft² of maintenance area, and 300 ft² of hazardous storage area. The one-story building is anticipated to have a maximum roofline height of 35 feet or less, an open floor plan with Secure Compartmentalized Information Facility space capable of accommodating personnel. The facility and equipment require Protection Level 3, a 5,000 yd² equipment pad with an unobstructed view of geosynchronous satellites. Antennas would be anticipated to reach a maximum height of 35 feet or less. A 2,500 yd² parking lot within 0.25-mile of the facilities. A 50-ft security clearance setback throughout perimeter of equipment pad. An infiltration basin or approved Low Impact Development solution pursuant to Uniform Facilities Criteria 3-210-10, and a 50-ton air conditioner unit.

Jennifer Harty December 29, 2021 Page 2

In a response letter dated August 31, 2021, the SHPO requested additional information. At this time the NGB has indicated the NGB, working with Navy personnel, have reached a determination of *no historic properties affected* for the proposed undertaking at the PMRF and JBPHH locations. Additionally, the NGB asserts the proposed action falls under a Regional Programmatic Agreement (PA) signed by the Commander, Navy Region Hawai'i; the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation; and the Hawai'i State Historic Preservation Officer. The NGB further asserts, that as terms in the PA supersede standard consultation procedures outlined in Section 106 of the NHPA and implementing regulations (36 CFR Part 800), no further consultation with the SHPO is required.

In addition to the information previously requested, the SHPO requests the following:

- Please indicate the title and year of the PA being applied and under which stipulation(s) the Navy has
 determined the PA applies.
- Please indicate which Navy personnel determined the PA applies.

Please submit all forthcoming information and correspondence related to the subject project to the SHPD to HICRIS Project No. 2021PR00933 using the Project Supplement option.

The NGB and the Navy are the offices of record for this undertaking. Please maintain a copy of this letter with your environmental review record.

Please contact Stephanie Hacker, Historic Preservation Archaeologist IV, at <u>Stephanie.Hacker@hawaii.gov</u> or at (808) 692-8046 for matters regarding archaeological resources or this letter.

Aloha, Susan A. Lebo Signed For Alan S. Downer, PhD Administrator, State Historic Preservation Division Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer



NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU 3501 FETCHET AVENUE JOINT BASE ANDREWS 20762-5157

19 November 2021

National Guard Bureau (NGB/A4AM) Ms. Christine J. Yott 3501 Fetchet Ave Joint Base Andrews MD 20762

Katherine Mullett Field Supervisor US Fish and Wildlife Service Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office 300 Ala Moana Blvd PO Box 50088 Honolulu HI 96850-5000

SUBJECT: Seeking Concurrence on Determination for Proposed Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown at Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF)-Barking Sands, Hawaii; Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam (JBPHH), Hawaii; and Andersen Air Force Base (AFB), Guam

Dear Ms. Mullett

The National Guard Bureau (NGB) is developing a draft Environmental Assessment (EA) addressing the proposed beddown of two SPCSs at three candidate locations. The candidate locations are PMRF-Barking Sands, Hawaii; JBPHH, Hawaii; and Andersen AFB, Guam.

The beddown of a SPCS at these sites would provide the facilities and locations suitable for the establishment of an Air National Guard (ANG) unit with access to geosynchronous satellites in the Pacific theater. In accordance with Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA), NGB is requesting concurrence for our *not likely to adversely affect* determination for the proposed beddown of a SPCS at each proposed location. Note that PMRF and Andersen AFB are preferred alternatives; JBPHH is the reasonable alterantive.

PMRF-Barking Sands, Hawaii

Threatened, Endangered, and Candidate Species and Critical Habitat

A review of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Information for Planning and Consultation (IPac) site identified federally listed species with the potential to occur in the area of this proposed SPCS parcel. Construction activities associated with the Proposed Action would occur entirely within the boundaries of PMRF-Barking Sands in areas of existing developed land devoid of natural vegetation.

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Scientific Name	Common Name	Federal Status	State
Wildlife			
Anas wyvilliana	Hawaiian duck	E	E
Himantopus mexicanus knudensi	Black-necked stilt	E	E
Gallimula chloropus sandwichensis	Hawaiian common gallinule/ moorhen	Е	Е
Fulica Americana alai	Hawaiian coot	E	E
Asio flammeus sandwichensis	Hawaiian short-eared owl		E
Phoebastria albatrus	Short-tailed albatross	Е	E
Drosophila musaphilia; Drosophila sharpi	Hawaiian picture-wing flies	Е	E
Nesochen sandvinvensis	Hawaiian goose (nene)	E	Е
Petrodroma phaeopygia sandwicense	Hawaiian dark-rumped petrel	E	E
Oceanodrama castro	Band-rumped petrel	E	E
Puffinus auricularis newelli	Newell's shearwater	Т	Т
Lasirus cinerus semotus	Hawaiian hoary bat	Е	E

Federal- or State-listed endangered and threatened species observed on PMRF-Barking Sands (and surrounding areas) include the following:

There is no critical habitat for listed species within the proposed SPCS PMRF-Barking Sands parcel, The Kawai'cle Waterbird Sanctuary is located in close proximity to this proposed SPCS PMRF-Barking Sands parcel but is separated from the site by the Kinikini Ditch.

Determination of Effects of the Proposed Action

· Hawaiian Short-Eared Owl, Short-Tailed Albatross, and Hawaiian Picture-Wing Flies

The Hawaiian short-eared owl, short-tailed albatross, and Hawaiian picture-wing flies are present in the vicinity but not known to occur on the SPCS PMRF-Barking Sands parcel. The NGB has determined that the Proposed Action will *not affect* these species at the SPCS PMRF-Barking Sand parcel because 1) these species are not known to occur on the parcel, 2) ground disturbance would occur in an area that is already paved and/or developed, and 3) no vegetation known to host these species will be cleared.

Waterbirds

Waterbirds, including the Hawaiian duck, black-necked stilt, Hawaiian common gallinule, and Hawaiian coot, are present in the state-managed wetland adjacent to the SPCS PMRF-Barking Sands parcel. These birds may occasionally traverse other areas with trenches and standing water.

The NGB has determined that the Proposed Action is *not likely to adversely affect* waterbirds at the SPCS PMRF-Barking Sand parcel because 1) the parcel does not include standing water, 2) ANG will take precautions to prevent standing water in the rare instance that it

may occur after a rain event, 3) base personnel will enforce speed limits, 4) ANG personnel and contractors will contact PMRF Natural Resources staff should any endangered birds be seen on the parcel, and 5) ANG will cease construction work within a 150-foot vicinity of a waterbird nest when the nest is within the SPCS PMRF-Barking Sands parcel fenceline.

Current Standing Water Conditions. Currently, the SPCS does not have standing water and ANG work on the SPCS parcel is not expected to produce standing water.

Preventing Standing Water. To ensure any ponding of water on the parcel is minimal, the ANG will check drainage inlets and outlets before and after storm events to remove any debris that would prevent water from flowing offsite. In the rare instance that standing water may occur, the ANG will employ a leaf blower or other such equipment to move the water. In addition and if needed, the ANG will place a tarp over the ponding water to remove any possible attraction to the area.

Speed Limits. Base personnel regularly enforce speed limits. The SPCS PMRF-Barking Sand parcel is 9 acres. A little over half of the parcel is paved, and the pavement is broken up by various obstacles (e.g., facilities, pads) that vehicles must drive around. Due to the size and design of the parcel, it is not possible for personnel to drive at high speeds. Vehicles must slow down significantly to turn into the parking lot, then they must maneuver around obstacles to get to parking spaces. Regardless, all project personnel will be trained on the presence of ESA-listed species on PMRF and the importance of adhering to posted speed-limits to avoid collision with protected wildlife species.

Procedures if Waterbirds Come Onsite. ANG will inform contractors and personnel of the potential presence of endangered waterbirds on site. If any endangered waterbirds are observed on site, ANG personnel and contractors will notify PMRF Natural Resources staff.

Cease Work. If a Hawaiian waterbird or Hawaiian goose nest is discovered within a radius of 46 m (150 ft) of proposed construction work, or a previously undiscovered nest is found within that radius after work begins, all outside work within that vicinity will cease immediately and the NGB will contact the PMRF Installation Environmental Program Director, the PMRF Natural Resource Manager, and USFWS for further guidance. Work within the secure fence does not have to cease because there will already be a physical barrier between workers and the bird nest.

· Hawaiian Hoary Bat

The Hawaiian hoary bat is present in the vicinity and may fly through the SPCS PMRF-Barking Sands parcel. The bat can be harmed by flying into barbed wire. For the SPCS parcel, the only fence that we expect to have barbed wire is the restricted area fence line contained within the existing fence.

The NGB has determined that the Proposed Action is not likely to adversely affect the Hawaiian hoary bat at the SPCS PMRF-Barking Sand parcel because 1) calculated take is less

3

à

than one bat and 2) there is only one tree within the fenced compound that will be removed and it is unlikely to host the bat.

Calculated Take. The fence with barbed wire is estimated to be 640 feet. If there are three strands of barbed wire on top, this would be 1,920 feet of barbed wire. Using the formula to calculate take, this is 0.3636/mile x 0.013×30 years = 0.1418. This number is below the threshold that constitutes a take.

Tree Unlikely to Host Bats. The SPCS parcel has one tree that will be removed. The tree is decorative, in a developed area, and no other trees are on the parcel. Bats are known to roost in forested areas, not in a lone tree on developed land. Additionally, the tree is sickly. Therefore, the tree to be removed is not expected to host bats. Out of an abundance of caution, the tree will not be removed during the Hawaiian hoary bat pupping season (June 1 to September 15).

Hawaiian Seabirds

Hawaiian seabirds, including the Hawaiian dark-rumped petrel, Band-rumped petrel, and Newell's shearwater, are present in the vicinity and may fly through the SPCS PMRF-Barking Sands parcel. The birds are crepuscular and nocturnal and, thus, disoriented by light that can cause them to collide into objects.

The NGB has determined that the Proposed Action is *not likely to adversely affect* the Hawaiian seabirds at the SPCS PMRF-Barking Sand parcel because 1) construction would only occur during daylight hours, 2) the ANG will comply with the Dark Skies Program, 3) lighting will be designed to reduce impacts, and 4) ANG personnel and contractors will participate in seabird education programs.

Construction Timing. Any construction during the nocturnal seabird fledgling period (mid-September through mid-December) would occur only during daylight hours.

Dark Skies Program. The ANG will comply with the annual mid-September to mid-December Dark Skies program by a) only using lighting at night if required for Force Protection or safety and b) using shades to prevent indoor lighting at windows from being visible to birds outside.

Lighting Design Plan. The ANG will utilize a lighting design plan that focuses outside lighting downward (fully shielded so that the bulb can only be seen from below bulb height); installs motion sensors on outdoor lights that turn off when human activity is not occurring in the lighted area; and uses the appropriate colored bulbs for all outside structures, towers, and electrical distribution lines.

Education Program. ANG will coordinate with PMRF's Natural Resources staff to ensure an annual seabird brief is given to all SPCS contractors and personnel. All personnel will receive on-site training to ensure understanding of PMRF's natural resource policies and wildlife protection regulations and protocols. Training will include established PMRF

5

Environmental Management Policies and guidelines including "Nene Guidelines for PMRF Operations", "Good Samaritan Hazing" training for nene, "PMRF 2019 Dark Skies Program Briefing & Natural Resources Training", "Environmental Orientation for MDA Personnel", and "Shearwater Fallout Instructions" (materials available upon request).

Nene

The nene is known to occur on the SPCS PMRF-Barking Sands parcel, including on the grassy area and in the parking lot. The birds lay their eggs in the open grass.

The NGB has determined that the Proposed Action is *not likely to adversely affect* the nene at the SPCS PMRF-Barking Sand parcel because 1) ANG will use a mowing plan to limit nene on the parcel, 2) ANG personnel and contractors will participate in education programs, 3) ANG personnel and contractors will not interact with nene, 4) base personnel will enforce speed limits, 5) ANG personnel and contractors will contact PMRF Natural Resources staff should any endangered birds be seen on the parcel, and 6) ANG will cease construction work within a 150-foot vicinity of a nene nest when the nest is within the SPCS PMRF-Barking Sands parcel fenceline.

Mowing Plan. Develop a mowing plan to ensure vegetation does not grow to a height that is attractive to the nene for nesting.

Education Program. ANG will coordinate with PMRF's Natural Resources staff to ensure an annual seabird brief is given to all SPCS contractors and personnel. All personnel will receive on-site training to ensure understanding of PMRF's natural resource policies and wildlife protection regulations and protocols. Training will include established PMRF Environmental Management Policies and guidelines including "Nene Guidelines for PMRF Operations", "Good Samaritan Hazing" training for nene, "PMRF 2019 Dark Skies Program Briefing & Natural Resources Training", "Environmental Orientation for MDA Personnel", and "Shearwater Fallout Instructions" (materials available upon request).

Nene Interaction. ANG personnel and contractors will not approach, feed, or otherwise disturb nene.

Speed Limits. Base personnel regularly enforce speed limits. The SPCS PMRF-Barking Sand parcel is 9 acres. A little over half of the parcel is paved, and the pavement is broken up by various obstacles (e.g., facilities, pads) that vehicles must drive around. Due to the size and design of the parcel, it is not possible for personnel to drive at high speeds. Vehicles must slow down significantly to turn into the parking lot, then they must maneuver around obstacles to get to parking spaces. Regardless, all project personnel will be trained on the presence of ESA-listed species on PMRF and the importance of adhering to posted speed-limits to avoid collision with protected wildlife species.

Procedures if Nene Observed. If nene are observed loafing or foraging within the project area during the breeding season (September through April), a biologist familiar with the nesting behavior of nene will survey for nests in and around the construction area prior to the

6

resumption of any construction work. Repeat surveys after any subsequent delay of work of three or more days (during which the birds may attempt to nest).

Cease Work. If a Hawaiian waterbird or Hawaiian goose nest is discovered within a radius of 46 m (150 ft) of proposed work, or a previously undiscovered nest is found within that radius after work begins, all outside construction work within that vicinity will cease immediately and the NGB will contact the PMRF Installation Environmental Program Director, the PMRF Natural Resource Manager, and USFWS for further guidance. Construction work within the secure fence does not have to cease because there will already be a physical barrier between workers and the bird nest.

JBPHH, Hawaii

Threatened, Endangered, and Candidate Species and Critical Habitat

A review of the USFWS IPaC site identified federally listed species with the potential to occur in the area of this proposed SPCS JBPHH parcel. Construction activities associated with the Proposed Action would occur entirely within the boundaries of the SPCS JBPHH parcel in areas of existing developed land devoid of natural vegetation.

There is no critical habitat for listed species within the proposed SPCS JBPHH parcel. Federal- or State-listed endangered and threatened species observed on JBPHH (and surrounding areas) include the following:

Scientific Name	Common Name	Federal Status	State Status
Wildlife			
Anas wyvilliana	Hawaiian duck	E	E
Gallinula chloropus sandwichensis	Hawaiian common gallinule/ moorhen	E	E
Fulica Americana alai	Hawaiian coot	E	E
Asio flammeus sandwichensis	Hawaiian short-eared owl		Е
Lasirus cinerus semolus	Hawaiian hoary bat	E	E

Determination of Effects of the Proposed Action

Hawaiian Short-Eared Owl

The Hawaiian short-eared owl is present in the vicinity but not known to occur on the SPCS JBHH parcel. The NGB has determined that the Proposed Action will *not affect* this species at the SPCS JBPHH parcel because 1) this species is not known to occur on the parcel and 2) ground disturbance would occur in an area devoid of native vegetation.

Waterbirds

Waterbirds, including the Hawaiian duck, Hawaiian common gallinule, and Hawaiian coot, have potential to occur on the SPCS JBPHH parcel. These birds may occasionally traverse areas with trenches and standing water.

The NGB has determined that the Proposed Action is *not likely to adversely affect* waterbirds at the SPCS JBPHH parcel because 1) the parcel does not include standing water, 2) ANG will take precautions to prevent standing water in the rare instance that it may occur after a rain event, 3) base personnel will enforce speed limits, 4) ANG personnel and contractors will contact JBPHH Natural Resources staff should any endangered birds be seen on the parcel, and 5) ANG will cease construction work within a 150-foot vicinity of a waterbird nest. See information in the PMRF-Barking Sands waterbird section. Each of those measures will apply to the SPCS JBPHH parcel.

Hawaiian Hoary Bat

The Hawaiian hoary bat is present in the vicinity and may fly through the SPCS JBPHH parcel. The bat can be harmed by flying into barbed wire. For the SPCS parcel, the only fence that we expect to have barbed wire is the restricted area fence line contained within the existing fence.

The NGB has determined that the Proposed Action is *not likely to adversely affect* the Hawaiian hoary bat at the SPCS JBPHH parcel because 1) calculated take is less than one bat and 2) we do not expect any tree removal.

Calculated Take. JBPHH is not the preferred alternative for SPCS beddown. Therefore, no formal design is available at this time should JBPHH be selected. However, broadly speaking, the fence with barbed wire is expected to be about the same length as that of PMRF-Barking Sands (about 640 feet). If there are three strands of barbed wire on top, this would be 1,920 feet of barbed wire. Using the formula to calculate take, this is 0.3636/mile x 0.013 x 30 years = 0.1418. This number is below the threshold that constitutes a take.

No Tree Removal. The trees onsite are in a developed area. Bats are known to roost in forested areas, not in trees on developed land. Therefore, the trees are not expected to host bats. Additionally, ANG currently does not plan to remove any trees should JBPHH be selected for SPCS beddown. Out of an abundance of caution, if plans change and trees are to be removed, they will not be removed during the Hawaiian hoary bat pupping season (June 1 to September 15).

Andersen AFB, Guam

Threatened, Endangered, and Candidate Species and Critical Habitat

A review of the USFWS IPaC site identified federally listed species with the potential to occur in the area of this proposed SPCSAndersen AFB parcel. Construction activities associated

7

8

with the Proposed Action would occur entirely within the boundaries of Andersen AFB in areas of existing developed land devoid of natural vegetation.

Federal- or Guam-listed endangered and threatened species observed on Andersen AFB (and surrounding marine areas) include the following:

Scientific Name	Common Name	Federal Status	Guam Status	
Wildlife				
Pteropus mariannus	Mariana fruit bat	T	E	
Gallinula chloropus guami	Mariana common moorhen	E	E	
Aplonis opaca	Micronesian starling	1 2	E	
Eretmochelys imbricate	Hawksbill turtle	E	E	
Chelonia mydas	Green sea turtle	E	E	
Emoia atrocostata	Tide-pool skink	1 A. 19(11)	E	
Emoia cyanura	Azure-tailed skink	1.000	E	
Emoia slevini	Slevin's skink	E	E	
Lipinia noctua	Moth skink	1.11	E	
Nactus pelagicus	Pacific slender-toed gecko	1 - 8 - 1	E	
Perochirus ateles	Micronesian gecko	1 - H -	E	
Partula gibba	Humped tree snail	E	E	
Partula radiolata	Guam tree snail	Ē	E	
Hypolimnas octocula marianesis	Mariana eight-spot butterfly	E	+	

There is no critical habitat for listed species within the proposed SPCS Andersen AFB parcel. The closest area identified as sensitive habitat is the Pati Point Marine Preserve Area, which is approximately 0.5-mile from this proposed SPCS parcel.

Determination of the Effects of the Proposed Action

Mariana Fruit Bat

The Mariana fruit bat is present in the vicinity and may fly through the SPCS Andersen AFB parcel. The bat can be harmed by removal of tree habitat during pupping season and flying into barbed wire.

The NGB has determined that the Proposed Action is *not likely to adversely affect* the Mariana fruit bat at the SPCS Andersen AFB parcel because 1) designs do not include barbed wire and 2) we do not expect any tree removal.

No Barbed Wire. The SPCS Andersen AFB design does not include barbed wire. Therefore, there would be no take from collision with barbed wire.

No Tree Removal. The trees onsite are in a developed area. Bats are known to roost in forested areas, not trees on developed land. Therefore, the trees are not expected to host bats.

9

Out of an abundance of caution, any planned tree removal will occur outside the Mariana fruit bat pupping season (June 1 to September 15).

Micronesian Starling

The Micronesian starling is the only listed species with the potential to occur on the proposed SPCS Anderson AFB parcel; however, this species has not been identified on the property. No formal surveys have been conducted in support of the Proposed Action. Endemic to the Mariana Islands, the Micronesian starling is only found in three known locations on Guam and prefer to nest and roost in colonies within caves. Due to the developed nature of this proposed SPCS parcel, the NGB has determined that the Proposed Action is *not likely to adversely affect* the Micronesian starling.

Remaining Species

The remaining listed species are present in the vicinity but not known to occur on the SPCS Andersen AFB parcel. The NGB has determined that the Proposed Action will *not affect* these species at the SPCS Andersen AFB parcel because 1) these species are not known to occur on the parcel and 2) ground disturbance would occur in an area devoid of native vegetation.

Concurrence Request

We request your concurrence with our determination that the Proposed Action is *not likely to adversely affect* protected species. Please provide any comments or information within to Christine Yott, ATTN: SPCS EA, 3501 Fetchet Avenue, Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762-5157 or by email at <u>christine.yott, l@us.af.mil</u> with the subject titled as ATTN: SPCS EA.

Sincerely

YOTT.CHRI Digitally signed by YOTT.CHRISTINE J STINE.JUNE UNE 1287505015 .1287505015 Date: 2021.11.19 09:54:49-05'00' CHRISTINE J. YOTT, GS-13, DAF NEPA Program Manager From: Kwon, James <James _kwon@fws.gov> Sent: Thursday, August 26, 2021 11:58 PM To: YOTT, CHRISTINE J GS-13 USAF ANG ANGRC/A4 <christine.yott.1@us.af.mil> Cc: STRICKLAND, WILLIAM K USAF ANGRC NGB/A4 <william.strickland.7@us.af.mil>; ASKINS, JASON T Maj USAF ANG NGB/A4AD <Jason.askins@us.af.mil>; FRISCH, MELANIE A USAF ANG NGB/A7 <melanie.frisch@us.af.mil> Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Re: [EXTERNAL] Additional info for consultation on ANG's facility at PMRF

Dear Christine,

Thank you for providing additional information on the project description and action area. On last week's call, we also discussed measures to avoid and minimize impacts to T&E species and the analysis of effects for each species. We ask that you address several remaining items:

1) The following species may occur within or transit the proposed action area; the endangered Hawailan petrel (*Pterodroma sandwichensis*); endangered Hawail Distinct Population Segment of the band-rumped storm-petrel (*Oceanodroma castro*); endangered Hawaiian black-necked stilt (*Himantopus mexicanus knudseni*); endangered Hawaiian moorhen (*Gallinula chloropus sandvicensis*); endangered Hawaiian coot (*Fulica alai*); endangered Hawaiian duck (*Anas wyvilliana*); threatened Hawaiian goose (*Branta sandvicensis*); endangered Hawaiian hoary bat (*Lasiurus cinereus semotus*); and the threatened Newell's shearwater (*Puffinus auricularis newelli*). Please confirm that these are the species you are requesting our concurrence on.

2) Include the specific measures into your project description to avoid and minimize impacts

of your action on threatened and endangered species. Similar to lighting specifications and guidelines and "personnel education about species" to avoid and minimize potential impacts on seabirds, specific details should also be included for the Hawaiian goose and endangered waterbird species. Your August 2021 letter mentions stipulations of the 2014 BO which are not specified. I've attached our recommended measures for these species. In addition, a number of Hawaiian geese have been struck by vehicles in and around the HIANG facility, thus, we would recommend measures such as speed limits, signage, educational materials, and coordination with PMRF Natural Resources staff.

3) Please describe the potential impacts of barbed wire on the endangered Hawaiian hoary bat using the formulae below:

Total barbed wire = [Miles of fence] x [Number of strands of barbed wire > 3 feet above ground]

Estimated bat take = [Total barbed wire] x [0.013 Bats killed per mile of fence per year] x [Anticipated life of project]

4) An analysis of effects of the action on <u>each</u> species and habitat, including consideration of cumulative effects, and the results of any related studies (50 CFR 402.12 (f)(4)), is required to justify your determination of may effect, not likely adversely effect for each species.

We recommend you continue to refer to the regulations at 50 CFR §402.12 (Biological assessments) and 50 CFR §402.13 (Informal consultation), as amended 84 FR 45016, Aug. 27, 2019, and the <u>ESA Consultation Handbook</u> for guidance on the requirements of section 7 ESA consultation. We can also provide examples of federal agency requests that meet the requirements of informal consultation.

Hope this helps. Sorry for the long email. If you have further questions, I'm available by phone or we can continue the discussion via email.

v/r, James

James Kwon Fish and Wildlife Biologist • DoD Coordinator

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office 300 Ala Moana Blvd., Rm. 3-122 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96850

Ph: 808-792-9433
INTERIOR REGION 12 • Pacific Islands American Samoa, Guam, Hawai'i, Northern Mariana Islands

From: Kwon, James <james_kwon@fws.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:02 AM To: YOTT, CHRISTINE J USAF ANG ANGRC/A4 <<u>christine.yott.1@us.af.mil</u>>; PIFWO_Admin, FW1 <<u>pifwo_admin@fws.gov</u>>

Cc: STRICKLAND, WILLIAM K USAF ANGRC NGB/A4 <<u>william.strickland.7@us.af.mil</u>>; ASKINS, JASON T MAJ USAF ANG NGB/A4AD <<u>jason.askins@us.af.mil</u>>; FRISCH, MELANIE A USAF ANG NGB/A7 <<u>melanie.frisch@us.af.mil</u>>

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Additional info for consultation on ANG's facility at PMRF

Received. I will review the materials and let you know if I have any additional questions. Thank you very much.

James

James Kwon Fish and Wildlife Biologist • DoD Coordinator

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office 300 Ala Moana Blvd., Rm. 3-122 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96850

Ph: 808-792-9433

INTERIOR REGION 12 • Pacific Islands American Samoa, Guam, Hawai'i, Northern Mariana Islands

From: YOTT, CHRISTINE J USAF ANG ANGRC/A4 <<u>christine.yott.1@us.af.mil</u>> Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 8:49 AM To: Kwon, James <<u>james_kwon@fws.gov</u>>; PIFWO_Admin, FW1 <<u>pifwo_admin@fws.gov</u>> Cc: STRICKLAND, WILLIAM K USAF ANGRC NGB/A4 <<u>william.strickland.7@us.af.mil</u>>; ASKINS, JASON T MAJ USAF ANG NGB/A4AD <<u>jason.askins@us.af.mil</u>>; FRISCH, MELANIE A USAF ANG NGB/A7 <<u>melanie.frisch@us.af.mil</u>>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Additional info for consultation on ANG's facility at PMRF

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding. Hello Mr. Kwon,

Mahalo for taking the time to meet with my colleagues last week concerning Air National Guard's proposed Space Control Squadron (SPCS) facility at PMRF, Kauai. We have assembled the information you requested. Please see the table below for each item requested and where you can find it in the attachments. Some information is not in the attachments, but contained embedded in the table itself.

Additionally, we have prepared another letter for the SPCS facility to be located on Andersen Air Force Base in Guam (also attached). Please let us know if you require any further information at this point to continue consultation.

Info Requested	Where to Find Info	
Purpose and Need	DOPAA – page 1-1 (PDF page 7)	
Full project description	DOPAA – page 2-1 (PDF page 15) and pages 2-4 & 2-5 (PDF pages 18 & 19)	
Vicinity map	DOPAA – page A-2 (PDF page 28)	
Site-specific and action area map	DOPAA – page A-5 (PDF page 31)	
Number of personnel onsite after construction	DOPAA – page 2-1 (PDF page 15)	
Lighting	PMRF consultation letter - page 2, paragraph 3	
Vegetation levels	PMRF consultation letter – page 2, paragraph 2 (however, note that the site will likely not have any grass and is mostly developed in its current state)	
Construction timing and manning commence around Summer 2022, the 20-50 construction workers on site.		
Changes to vegetation	All work would take place within paved areas as described in the EA. It is our understanding that there would be no change in asphalt cover.	
Total length of barbed wire fencing	Approximately 200x200x200 feet.	
Vehicle usage	Once construction is complete, the site will have up to 28 vehicles M-F and up to 40 on drill weekends.	
Personnel education program about species	PMRF currently provides an annual education brief about seabird nesting, all new personnel would be required to participate.	

V/F,

Christine

CHRISTINE J YOTT, M.S., GS-13

NGB/A4AM Plans and Requirements NEPA Program Manager Air National Guard Readiness Center 3501 Fetchet Ave, Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762 Comm: 240-612-8422 DSN: 612-8422 <u>christine.yott.1@us.af.mil</u>

Hawaiian petrel (*Pterodroma sandwichensis*), Newell's shearwater (*Puffinus auricularis newelli*), and Hawaii Distinct Population Segment of the band-rumped storm petrel (*Oceanodroma castro*):

Hawaiian seabirds may traverse the project area at night during the breeding, nesting and fledging seasons (March 1 to December 15). Outdoor lighting could result in seabird disorientation, fallout, and injury or mortality. Seabirds are attracted to lights and after circling the lights they may become exhausted and collide with nearby wires, buildings, or other structures or they may land on the ground. Downed seabirds are subject to increased mortality due to collision with automobiles, starvation, and predation by dogs, cats, and other predators. Young birds (fledglings) traversing the project area between September 15 and December 15, in their first flights from their mountain nests to the sea, are particularly vulnerable to light attraction.

To avoid and minimize potential project impacts to seabirds we recommend you incorporate the following applicable measures into your project description:

- Fully shield all outdoor lights so the bulb can only be seen from below bulb height and only use when necessary.
- Install automatic motion sensor switches and controls on all outdoor lights or turn off lights when human activity is not occurring in the lighted area.
- Avoid nighttime construction during the seabird fledging period, September 15 through December 15.

Hawaiian waterbirds (Hawaiian stilt, *Himantopus mexicanus knudseni*; Hawaiian coot, *Fulica alai*; Hawaiian gallinule, *Gallinula chloropus sandvicensis*; Hawaiian duck, *Anas wyvilliana*):

Listed Hawaiian waterbirds are found in fresh and brackish-water marshes and natural or manmade ponds. Hawaiian stilts may also be found wherever ephemeral or persistent standing water may occur. Threats to these species include non-native predators, habitat loss, and habitat degradation. Hawaiian ducks are also subject to threats from hybridization with introduced mallards.

The creation of standing or open water may result in the attraction of Hawaiian waterbirds to a site (creative nuisance or habitat sink). In particular, the Hawaiian stilt is known to nest in suboptimal locations (e.g. any ponding water), if water is present. Hawaiian waterbirds attracted to sub-optimal habitat may suffer adverse impacts, such as predation and reduced reproductive success, and thus the project may create an attractive nuisance. Therefore, we recommend you work with our office during project planning so that we may assist you in developing measures to avoid impacts to listed species (e.g., fencing, vegetation control, predator management).

To avoid and minimize potential project impacts to Hawaiian waterbirds we recommend you incorporate the following applicable measures into your project description:

- In areas where waterbirds are known to be present, post and enforce reduced speed limits, and inform project personnel and contractors about the presence of endangered species on-site.
- Incorporate the Service's Best Management Practices for Work in Aquatic Environments into the project design.
- Have a biological monitor that is familiar with the species' biology conduct Hawaiian
 waterbird nest surveys, where appropriate habitat occurs within the vicinity of the
 proposed project site, prior to project initiation. Repeat surveys again within 3 days of
 project initiation and after any subsequent delay of work of 3 or more days (during which
 the birds may attempt to nest). If a nest or active brood is found:
 - Contact the Service within 48 hours for further guidance.
 - Establish and maintain a 100-foot buffer around all active nests and/or broods until the chicks/ducklings have fledged. Do not conduct potentially disruptive activities or habitat alteration within this buffer.
 - Have a biological monitor that is familiar with the species' biology present on the project site during all construction or earth moving activities until the chicks or ducklings fledge to ensure that Hawaiian waterbirds and nests are not adversely impacted.

Hawaiian goose (nene), (*Branta (Nesochen) sandvicensis*): Nene are observed in a variety of habitats, but prefer open areas, such as pastures, golf courses, wetlands, natural grasslands and shrublands, and lava flows. Threats to the species include introduced mammalian and avian predators, wind facilities, and vehicle strikes.

To avoid and minimize potential project impacts to nene we recommend you incorporate the following applicable measures into your project description:

- · Do not approach, feed, or disturb nene.
- If nene are observed loafing or foraging within the project area during the breeding season (September through April), have a biologist familiar with the nesting behavior of nene survey for nests in and around the project area prior to the resumption of any work. Repeat surveys after any subsequent delay of work of 3 or more days (during which the birds may attempt to nest).
 - Cease all work immediately and contact the Service for further guidance if a nest is discovered within a radius of 150 feet of proposed work, or a

previously undiscovered nest is found within said radius after work begins.

 In areas where nene are known to be present, post and implement reduced speed limits, and inform project personnel and contractors about the presence of endangered species on-site.



United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office 300 Ala Moana Boulevard, Room 3-122 Honolulu, Hawaii 96850



December 14, 2021

In Reply Refer To: 01EPIF00-2021-I-0430

Ms. Christine J. Yott National Guard Bureau 3501 Fetchet Ave. Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762

Subject:

Construction and Operation of National Guard Bureau Space Control Squadron Bed Down Facilities on Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam, Oahu, Hawaii; Pacific Missile Range Facility, Barking Sands, Kauai, Hawaii; and Andersen Air Force Base, Guam

Dear Ms. Yott:

Thank you for your letter, dated November 19, 2021, requesting informal consultation for the construction and operation of the National Guard Bureau (NGB) Space Control Squadron (SPCS) bed down facilities at Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam (JBPHH), Oahu, Hawaii; Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF), Barking Sands, Kauai, Hawaii; and Andersen Air Force Base (AAFB), Guam; under section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA) (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.). Your letter requested concurrence from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) with your determination that the proposed project may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect the following federally-listed species at the three project locations:

JBPHH and PMRF-Barking Sands

Scientific Name	Common Name	Federal Status
Anas wyvilliana	Hawaiian duck	Endangered
Branta sandvicensis (PMRF only)	Hawaiian goose (nene)	Endangered
Fulica americana alai	Hawaiian coot	Endangered
Gallinula chloropus sandvicensis	Hawaiian common gallinule	Endangered
Himantopus mexicanus knudseni	Hawaiian stilt	Endangered
Oceanodrama castro	Band-rumped storm-petrel Hawaii Distinct Population Segment (DPS)	Endangered
Pterodroma sandwichensis	Hawaiian petrel	Endangered
Puffimus auricularis newelli	Newell's shearwater	Threatened
Lasirus cinereus semotus	Hawaiian hoary bat	Endangered

INTERIOR REGION 9 COLUMBIA-PACIFIC NORTHWEST

IDAHO, MONTANA^{*}, OREGON^{*}, WASHINGTON
*PARTIAL

INTERIOR REGION 12 PACIFIC ISLANDS

American Samoa, Guam, Hawai'i, Northern Mariana Islands Ms. Christine Yott

2

Andersen AFB

Scientific Name	Common Name	Federal Status
Pteropus mariannus mariannus	Mariana fruit bat (fanihi)	Threatened

The findings and recommendations in this consultation are based on the following: 1) your informal consultation request; 2) additional correspondence, and 3) other information available to us and in our files. This response is in accordance with section 7 of the ESA. A complete record of this consultation is on file at our Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office in Honolulu, Hawaii. Our log number for this consultation is 01EPIF00-2021-I-0430.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ACTION

The Air Force is proposing to establish two Air National Guard (ANG) SPCSs. The first ANG SPCS, would accommodate the offensive mission and would be located at one of three candidate locations: JBPHH, Hawaii; PMRF-Barking Sands, Hawaii; or AAFB, Guam. The second ANG SPCS, would meet the defensive mission and would be located at one of three candidate locations as identified above. Under the proposed action, facilities would be constructed in support of each SPCS. Each SPCS bed down facility would require the construction of the following facilities (USAF 2020):

- 12,100-square foot (sq ft) building that consists of 3,000 sq ft of administration area, 3,600 sq ft of operational area, 5,200 sq ft of maintenance area, and 300 sq ft of hazardous storage area
- Open floor plan with Secure Compartmentalized Information Facility (SCIF) space capable of accommodating personnel; facility and equipment require Protection Level (PL) 3
- 5,000 square yard (sq yd) equipment pad with an unobstructed view of geosynchronous satellites
- 2,500 sq yd parking lot within 0.25-mile of facilities
- 50-ft security clearance setback throughout perimeter of equipment pad
- Infiltration basin or approved Low Impact Development solution pursuant to Uniform Facilities Criteria (UFC) 3-210-10
- 50-ton air conditioner unit
- 640 ft of fence topped with three strands of barbed wire around a restricted area.

Each SPCS would require the bed down of additional personnel in order to support the SPCS mission, including a sufficient number of ANG space operators and operations support personnel. The first SPCS would require between 88 and 115 new ANG personnel in support of an offensive mission, while the second SPCS would require the addition of between 62 and 105 ANG personnel in support of a defensive mission.

Construction is projected to commence in Summer 2022 and is expected to take 12 to 36 months. The first SPCS is expected to achieve Initial Operational Capacity (IOC) by FY22 and Full

Ms. Christine Yott

Operational Capacity (FOC) by FY23. The second SPCS is expected to achieve IOC by FY23 and FOC by FY24.

JBPHH

New construction would be required to accommodate all aspects of the mission requirements as described above. This site is owned by the U.S. Navy and would require a real property acquisition to allow the Hawaii Air National Guard (HIANG) to develop the proposed mission and bed down facilities.

This site would create hurdles to complete IOC by FY22 and FOC by FY23. A temporary location is required to minimize an impact to IOC. For new construction, a more realistic timeline places IOC into 2025. Currently, there is no temporary location available to house and train personnel.

Additional space on JBPHH must be obtained to establish a temporary secure compartmented information facility (TSCIF) while construction is performed. IOC requirements dictate a facility must in place in order to conduct training operations at the appropriate classification level. Base support services are already available to aid in unit training assembly and full-time workforce services.

PMRF-Barking Sands

Renovation of the existing HIANG Building 1115 to accommodate new personnel would be necessary, including the construction of an addition measuring approximately 2,000 sq ft in order to meet the facilities requirement of 12,100 sq ft. Upgrades are needed for electrical distribution system, interior/exterior lighting, fire protection system, roof and exterior envelope, interior finishes, restroom facilities, parking lot, sidewalks, fence and intrusion detection system. Construction of a 300 sq ft hazardous materials (HAZMAT) storage facility with flammable storage locker and the addition of an air conditioning unit are also needed.

This location offers ample space to establish a TSCIF in order to meet IOC requirements while the sustainment, restoration and modernization (SRM) and minor construction work is being completed. Base support services are already available to aid in unit training assembly and fulltime workforce services.

Andersen AFB

A new Guam Air National Guard (GUANG) facility at AAFB is required to accommodate proposed mission requirements. The proposed site is owned by the U.S. Navy and would require a real property acquisition to allow the GUANG to bed down the proposed mission.

Additional space on AAFB must be obtained to establish a TSICF while construction is performed. IOC requirements dictate a facility must be in place in order to conduct training operations at the appropriate classification level. Base support services are already available to aid in unit training assembly and full-time workforce services. Training of initial cadre of

Ms. Christine Yott

weapon system operators may require travel to another Air Force unit while Guam training capability is being stood up.

Action Area

The Action Area includes (1) areas proposed for construction of new facilities or renovation of existing facilities; (2) areas where equipment and materials would be staged; and (3) areas near these locations that might be subject to increased human activity and equipment operation, vehicle traffic, and elevated noise levels.

JBPHH

The HIANG has identified Hickam Softball Field on JBPHH as a suitable location for the proposed mission. It is bounded by Worchester Ave./Mamala Bay Dr. and in proximity of a runway operated by the Daniel K. Inouye International Airport.

PMRF-Barking Sands

Construction activities associated with the proposed action would occur entirely within the existing boundaries of the HIANG PMRF-Barking Sands facility on areas of existing land use that include land currently developed and classified as industrial. Land use surrounding the site is open space, and the Kawaiele Waterbird Sanctuary is located approximately 400 feet directly north of the site, outside of the boundaries of the installation. The majority of the proposed SPCS site is currently paved, with small areas of landscaped grasses, trees, and shrubs that are highly disturbed by regular mowing and maintenance.

Andersen AFB

The GUANG would require a large enough site on AAFB to adequately bed down a SPCS function in accordance with force structure changes identified by the FY19 Program Action Memorandum. The 36 WG Commander offered the GUANG a site that is approximately five acres in size to accommodate the proposed mission requirements. The site is on AAFB proper near the Base Exchange, which is bounded by New York Avenue, 4th Street, Mobile Avenue, and 5th Street. The area has sufficient open space to allow for up to 10 acres in the event that additional space is required.

Avoidance and Minimization Measures

To avoid and minimize impacts to listed species, the following measures will be implemented at JBPHH and PMRF-Barking Sands:

For all species:

- ANG personnel and contractors will receive training on the presence of ESA-listed species including on-site training to ensure understanding of natural resource policies and wildlife protection regulations and protocols;
- ANG personnel and contractors will adhere to posted speed limits and educate personnel on the potential for collisions with listed species during construction and operations;

Ms. Christine Yott

No construction activities will be conducted overnight, and site lighting will be kept to a
minimum.

For the Hawaiian hoary bat:

- Woody plants and tree greater than 15 feet tall will not be disturbed, removed, or trimmed during the bat birthing and pup rearing season (June 1 through September 15).
- The use of barbed or razor wire fencing will be minimized as allowable by facility security requirements.

For the Hawaiian goose or nene (at PMRF only):

- ANG personnel or contractors will not approach, feed, or otherwise disturb nene;
- ANG personnel and contractors will receive training on "Nene Guidelines for PMRF Operations" and "Good Samaritan Hazing" training for nene;
- ANG personnel and contractors will contact PMRF Natural Resources staff should any endangered birds be seen on the parcel;
 - If nene are observed loafing or foraging within the project area during the breeding season (September through April), a biologist familiar with the nesting behavior of nene will survey for nests in and around the construction area prior to the resumption of any construction work. Repeat surveys after any subsequent delay of work of three or more days (during which the birds may attempt to nest).
- A mowing plan will be developed and implemented to ensure vegetation does not grow to a height that is attractive to the nene for nesting;
- If a Hawaiian goose nest is discovered within a radius of 46 m (150 ft) of proposed work, or a previously undiscovered nest is found within that radius after work begins, all outside construction work within that vicinity will cease immediately and the NGB will contact the PMRF Installation Environmental Program Director, the PMRF Natural Resource Manager, and USFWS for further guidance.

For the Hawaiian waterbirds (Anas wyvilliana or Hawaiian duck, Fulica americana alai or Hawaiian coot, Gallinula chloropus sandvicensis or Hawaiian common gallinule, and Himantopus mexicanus knudseni or Hawaiian stilt)

- During construction, eliminate areas of standing water that may attract waterbirds;
- If a Hawaiian waterbird nest is discovered within a radius of 46 m (150 ft) of proposed work, or a previously undiscovered nest is found within that radius after work begins, all outside construction work within that vicinity will cease immediately and the will contact the Installation Environmental Program Director and Natural Resource Manager at PMRF, the Natural Resources Manager at JBPHH, and the Service for further guidance.

For the Hawaiian seabirds (band-rumped storm-petrel Hawaii DPS, Hawaiian Petrel, or Newell's shearwater)

• Any construction occurring during the nocturnal seabird fledgling period (mid-September through mid-December) would occur only during daylight hours;

Ms. Christine Yott

- ANG will coordinate with PMRF's Natural Resources staff to ensure an annual seabird brief is given to all SPCS contractors and personnel including "PMRF 2019 Dark Skies Program Briefing & Natural Resources Training", "Environmental Orientation for MDA Personnel", and "Shearwater Fallout Instructions" (materials available upon request);
- The ANG will comply with the annual mid-September to mid- December Dark Skies program by
 - only using lighting at night if required for Force Protection or safety; and
 using shades to prevent indoor lighting at windows from being visible to birds
 - outside;
- The ANG will utilize a lighting design plan that focuses outside lighting downward (fully shielded so that the bulb can only be seen from below bulb height); installs motion sensors on outdoor lights that turn off when human activity is not occurring in the lighted area; and uses the appropriate colored bulbs for all outside structures, towers, and electrical distribution lines;
- Between mid-September and mid-December, dusk to dawn perimeter lighting would be turned off at all times and flood area lighting would be turned off. Security infrared lighting would be used at all times in and around the facility's restricted area. Security lighting at the proposed SPCS facility would be a combination of infrared and regular lighting and all newly-installed fixtures would be full cutoff, with bulbs shielded above and around all sides. Hawaii ANG is also considering lighting with a yellowish tint that would be less likely attract insects and their predators (i.e., birds and bats).

To avoid and minimize impacts to the Mariana fruit bat, the following measures will be implemented at AAFB:

· Construction will only occur during daylight hours.

CONSEQUENCES OF THE PROPOSED ACTION

JBPHH and PMRF

Hawaiian waterbirds

Hawaiian waterbirds are found in a variety of wetland habitats including freshwater marshes and ponds, coastal inlets, artificial reservoirs, taro (*Colocasta esculenta*) patches, irrigation ditches, sewage treatment ponds. At PMRF, the listed Hawaiian waterbirds are known to occupy the oxidation ponds and Kawaiele Waterbird Sanctuary, adjacent to segments of the action area. In addition, Hawaiian stilts may also be found wherever temporary or persistent standing water occurs. At JBPHH, the Hawaiian stilt has been observed in several areas near the proposed project site at Ahua Reef wetland, Fort Kamehameha wetland, Kumumau Canal and Manuwai Canal, with nesting documented only at the Ahua Reef wetland (JBPHH 2021).

Noise and activity from the project could result in disturbance of endangered waterbirds within and adjacent to the project area. Endangered waterbirds may also be accidentally injured or killed as a result of heavy equipment operation and human activity during the project. Based on the project details provided, your project may result in the creation of standing water or open

Ms. Christine Yott

water that could attract Hawaiian waterbirds to the project site. In particular, the Hawaiian stilt is known to nest in sub-optimal locations (e.g. any ponding water), if water is present.

Implementation of conservation measures to eliminate standing water that may attract waterbirds and to stop work within 150 feet of waterbirds will reduce potential impacts to a level that is insignificant and discountable. Due to the ability of endangered waterbirds to easily exit the area of disturbance and the implementation of avoidance, minimization, and conservation measures, we consider the adverse effects to endangered waterbirds possible, but unlikely to occur, so the effects would be considered discountable.

Hawaiian goose (PMRF only)

Hawaiian geese breed, loaf, and flock on PMRF property. They are found in virtually all habitat types with a particular affinity to open grassy areas, including landscaped and mowed areas. Due to Hawaiian geese congregating in these type of habitats, they are regularly within the vicinity of roadways, leaving them vulnerable to vehicular strikes.

Noise and activity from the project could result in disturbance of Hawaiian geese within and adjacent to the project area. Hawaiian geese may also be accidentally injured or killed as a result of heavy equipment operation and human activity (e.g. vehicle operation) during the project operations. Due to the ability of Hawaiian geese to easily exit the area of disturbance and the implementation of avoidance, minimization, and conservation measures designed to prevent interactions with nene, we consider the adverse effects to Hawaiian geese possible, but unlikely to occur, so the effects would be considered discountable.

Hawaiian hoary bat

The Hawaiian hoary bat roots in the foliage of trees and is most often observed foraging in open areas, near the edges of forest or over open water. On PMRF, Hawaiian hoary bats have been detected year-round with a peak in activity between September through December (Bonaccorso & Pinzari 2011).

If trees or shrubs 15 feet or taller are cleared during the pupping season, June 1 through September 15, there is a risk that young bats could inadvertently be harmed or killed, since they are too young to fly or move away from disturbance. At PMRF, there is a single tree and no other vegetation 15 feet or taller within the proposed construction area. However, because the project will not cut, clear, trim, or otherwise disturb trees greater than 15 ft during Hawaiian hoary bat pupping season (June 1 through September 15) at JBPHH or PMRF, impacts to pups are unlikely.

Bat mortality caused by individuals becoming snagged on barbed wire has been documented. Annual mortality estimates range from 0 to 0.8 bats per 100 kilometers (0 to 1.3 per 100 miles) of barbed wire (Zimpfer and Bonaccorso 2010). At PMRF and JBPHH, a security fence encompassing total of 1,280 linear feet of fence with three strands of barbed wire or a total of 3,840 linear feet of barbed wire is proposed. Using the higher end (0.013 bats/mile) of the above formula as a conservative estimate, a total of 0.7272 miles x 0.013 bats/year x 30 years = 0.2836 bat mortalities over the project lifespan is estimated. Therefore, the effects to the Hawaiian hoary bat from this project are either insignificant or discountable. Ms. Christine Yott

Hawaiian seabirds

These species may fly through the action area at night during the breeding, nesting, and fledging seasons (March 1 to December 15). They are attracted to lights and after circling the lights they may become exhausted or disoriented, causing them to fall to the ground. Downed seabirds are subject to injury or death due to collision with automobiles, starvation, and predation. At PMRF, young birds flying through the action area between September 15 and December 15, in their first flights from their nests to the ocean, are particularly vulnerable to light attraction. However, at JBPHH, the likelihood of young birds flying through the project site is extremely low due to the substantial development and outdoor lighting associated with JBPHH, the Daniel K. Inouye International Airport, and developed portions of Honolulu.

Implementation of the seabird avoidance and minimization measures will reduce the potential impacts to listed seabirds as a result of light attraction to a level that is insignificant or unlikely. Noise generated by the operation of construction equipment will be intermittent and negligible to seabirds. Thus, impacts on listed seabirds are considered discountable.

Andersen AFB

<u>Mariana fruit bat</u>

The Mariana fruit bat is a nocturnal, colonial species that utilizes primary limestone forest and secondary forest for foraging and roosting. The Mariana fruit bat is known to occur throughout AAFB and may be disturbed during nighttime foraging or daytime roosting by the elevated noise and artificial light associated with construction and operation activities. Because no nighttime construction or vegetation removal is proposed, and no known roosts or foraging habitat occurs within 492 ft (150 m) of the action area, impacts to Mariana fruit bats transiting the site are expected to be negligible. Thus, impacts on the Mariana fruit bat are considered discountable.

Summary

Based upon the analysis above, we concur with NGB's determination that the proposed project may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect the threatened or endangered species at AAFB, JBPHH, and PMRF-Barking Sands addressed in this letter. Reinitiation of consultation is required and shall be requested by the Federal agency or by the Service, where discretionary Federal involvement or control over the action has been retained or is authorized by law and: (1) new information reveals effects of the action that may affect listed species or critical habitat in a manner or to an extent not previously considered; (2) if the identified action is subsequently modified in a manner that causes an effect to the listed species or critical habitat that was not considered in the written concurrence; or, (3) if a new species is listed or critical habitat designated that may be affected by the identified action.

8

Ms. Christine Yoft

We appreciate your efforts to conserve threatened and endangered species and their habitats. If you have any questions, please contact Fish and Wildlife Biologist, James Kwon at james kwon@fws.gov, or by telephone at 808-792-9433.

Sincerely,

DARREN Digitally signed by DARREN LEBLANC LEBLANC Date: 2021,12.16 08:56:59 -10'00'

Darren LeBlanc Planning and Consultation Team Manager

Ce: Jessi Behnke, PMRF Brooke McFarland, PMRF Nicole Olmstead, NAVFAC Hawaii Sarah Howard, JBPHH Dana Lujan, JRM [INSERT], AAFB

List of References

- Bonaccorso, F.J. and C.A. Pinzari. 2011. Hawaiian hoary bat occupancy at the Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) and Satellite Facilities. Final Report. September 2011. 26 pp.
- NAVFAC Hawaii 2021. Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam FY21 Natural Resources Metrics Meeting Presentation.
- U.S. Air Force, 154th Wing, Hawaii Air National Guard, 254th Air Base Group, Guam Air National Guard. 2020. Description of the proposed action and alternatives: Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown for the Fourth (SPCS #4) and Fifth (SPCS #5) Basing Actions, Pacific Missile Range Facility – Barking Sands, Hawaii, Joint Base Pearl Harbor – Hickam, Hawaii, Andersen Air Force Base, Guam. November 2020.
- Zimpfer, J. and F. Bonaccorso. 2010. Barbed wire fences and Hawaiian hoary bats: what we know. Hawaii Conservation Conference Abstract 4-11.

9

This page intentionally left blank

APPENDIX B AIR QUALITY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

APPENDIX B-1 AIR QUALITY IMPACT ANALYSIS	B-3
APPENDIX B-2 SUMMARY AIR CONFORMITY APPLICABILITY MODEL REPORTS RECORD	В-9
Alternative A: SPCS #4 PMRF-Barking Sands	B-10
Alternative A: SPCS #5 PMRF-Barking Sands	B-13
Alternative B: SPCS #4 JBPH-Hickam	B-16
Alternative B: SPCS #5 JBPH-Hickam	B-19
Alternative C: SPCS #4 Andersen AFB	B-23
Alternative C: SPCS #5 Andersen AFB	B-26
APPENDIX B-3 DETAILED AIR CONFORMITY APPLICABILITY MODEL REPORTS	B-30
Alternative A: SPCS #4 PMRF-Barking Sands	B-31
Alternative A: SPCS #5 PMRF-Barking Sands	B-58
Alternative B: SPCS #4 JBPH-Hickam	B-84
Alternative B: SPCS #5 JBPH-Hickam	B-102
Alternative C: SPCS #4 Andersen AFB	B-120
Alternative C: SPCS #5 Andersen AFB	B-138

LIST OF TABLES

Page

Table B-1	National Ambient Air Quality Standards and Ambient Air Quality Standards in	
	State of Hawaii and Guam	B-5
Table B-2	General Conformity Rule De Minimis Emission Thresholds	B-6

Appendix B-1

Air Quality Impact Analysis

B.1 Air Quality

This appendix presents an overview of the Clean Air Act (CAA) and the relevant State of Hawaii, Department of Health (DOH) Clean Air Branch (CAB) and Guam Environmental Protection Agency (Guam EPA) requirements. It also presents calculations, including the assumptions used for the air quality analyses presented in the Air Quality sections of this Environmental Assessment.

B.1.1 Air Quality Program Overview

To protect public health and welfare, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) has developed numerical concentration-based standards, or National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), for six "criteria" pollutants (based on health-related criteria) under the provisions of the CAA Amendments of 1970. There are two kinds of NAAQS: Primary and Secondary standards. Primary standards prescribe the maximum permissible concentration in the ambient air to protect public health, including the health of "sensitive" populations such as asthmatics, children, and the elderly. Secondary standards prescribe the maximum concentration or level of air quality required to protect public welfare, including protection against decreased visibility, damage to animals, crops, vegetation, and buildings (40 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] § 50).

The CAA gives states the authority to establish air quality rules and regulations. These rules and regulations must be equivalent to, or more stringent than, the federal program. In Guam, the Guam EPA oversees the territory's air pollution control program under the authority of the federal CAA and amendments, federal regulations, and state laws. In Hawaii, the State of Hawaii DOH CAB oversees the state's air pollution control program under the federal CAA and amendments, federal laws. Guam EPA and DOH CAB have adopted the federal NAAQS; additionally, Guam EPA and DOH CAB have adopted standards for several air pollutants that are more stringent than the NAAQS, as shown in **Table B-1**.

Based on measured ambient air pollutant concentrations, the USEPA designates areas of the United States as having air quality better than (attainment) the NAAQS, worse than (nonattainment) the NAAQS, and unclassifiable. The areas that cannot be classified (on the basis of available information) as meeting or not meeting the NAAQS for a particular pollutant are "unclassifiable" and are treated as attainment until proven otherwise. Attainment areas can be further classified as "maintenance" areas, which are areas previously classified as nonattainment, but where air pollutant concentrations have been successfully reduced to below the standard. Maintenance areas are under special maintenance plans, and must operate under some of the nonattainment area plans to ensure compliance with the NAAQS.

Section 176(c) (1) of the CAA contains legislation that ensures federal activities conform to relevant state implementation plans (SIPs) and thus do not hamper local efforts to control air pollution. Conformity to a SIP is defined as conformity to a SIP's purpose of eliminating or reducing the severity and number of violations of the NAAQS, and achieving expeditious attainment of such standards. As such, a general conformity analysis is required for areas of nonattainment or maintenance where a federal action is proposed. In such areas, a conformity determination is required for each criteria pollutant or precursor where the total of direct and indirect emissions of that pollutant equal or exceed its *de minimis* rates as shown in **Table B-2** (40 CFR § 93.153). For the Proposed Action, Alternatives A, B and C are all located in attainment areas of all NAAQS and therefore General Conformity does not apply to any of the alternatives.

Direct emissions are those that occur as a direct result of the action. For example, construction emissions from vehicles and equipment used to clear and grade building sites, build new buildings or renovate existing buildings, and construct parking lots or equipment pads must be evaluated. Indirect emissions are those that occur at a later time or at a distance from a proposed action. For example, increased vehicular/commuter traffic as a result of additional personnel is considered an indirect emission.

Ambient Air Quality Standards in State of Hawan and Suam					
		NAAQS		AAQS	
Pollutant	Period	Primary Standard	Secondary Standard	State of Hawaii	Guam
Carbon monovido (CO)	8-hour ^a	9 ppm	-	4.4 ppm	NAAQS
Carbon monoxide (CO)	1-hour ^a	35 ppm	-	9 ppm	NAAQS
Nitragan diavida (NO.)	Annual ^c	0.053 ppm	0.053 ppm	0.04 ppm	0.05 ppm
	1-hour ^d	0.100 ppm	-	NAAQS	NAAQS
O = $a = a (O)$	8-hour ^e	0.070 ppm	0.070 ppm	0.075 ppm	NAAQS
Ozone (O ₃)	1-hour ^f	-	-	-	0.12 ppm
Lead (Pb)	3-month ^g	0.15 µg/m³	0.15 µg/m³	NAAQS	NAAQS
	Annual ^h	-	-	50 µg/m ³	-
Particulate $\leq 10 \ \mu m \ (PM_{10})$	24-hour ⁱ	150 µg/m³	150 µg/m ³	NAAQS	NAAQS
Dentievlete <2 5 um	Annual ^j	12 µg/m³	15 µg/m³	NAAQS	NAAQS
Particulate $\leq 2.5 \mu \text{m}$	8-hour	-	-	-	24.3 µg/m ³
(F1012.5)	24-hour ^k	35 µg/m³	35 µg/m³	NAAQS	NAAQS
Sulfur dioxide (SO ₂)	1-hour ⁱ	0.075 ppm	-	NAAQS	NAAQS
	3-hour ^a	-	0.5 ppm	NAAQS	NAAQS
	4-hour ^m	-	-	-	0.25 ppm
	24-hour ⁿ	-	-	0.14 ppm	0.12 ppm
	Annual	-	-	0.03 ppm	0.02 ppm
Hydrogen sulfide (H ₂ S)	1-hour	-	_	0.025 ppm	_

Table B-1 National Ambient Air Quality Standards and Ambient Air Quality Standards in State of Hawaii and Guam

Sources: USEPA 2021a; DOH CAB, 2021; 22 Guam Administrative Rule, Division II, Chapter 1

Notes:

a. Second highest non-overlapping 8-hour average not to be exceeded more than once in a year.

b. Maximum 1-hour concentration not to be exceeded more than once in a year.

c. Annual arithmetic mean.

- d. In February 2010, the USEPA established a new 1-hour standard for NO₂ at a level of 0.100 ppm, based on the 3-year average of the 98th percentile of the yearly distribution concentration, to supplement the then-existing annual standard.
- e. In October 2015, the USEPA revised the level of the 8-hour standard to 0.070 ppm, based on the annual 4th highest daily maximum concentration, averaged over 3 years; the regulation became effective on 28 December 2015. The previous (2008) standard of 0.075 ppm remains in effect for some areas.
- f. In November 2008, USEPA revised the primary lead standard to 0.15 μg/m³. USEPA revised the averaging time to a rolling 3month average; the State of Hawaii 3-month averaging time is based on calendar quarter.
- g. Due to a lack a lack of evidence linking health problems to long-term exposure to coarse particle pollution, the USEPA revoked the annual PM₁₀ standard effective 2006 December 17; however, the State of Hawaii has retained an annual PM₁₀ standard.
- h. In October 2006, USEPA revised the level of the 24-hour PM₂₅ standard to 35 μg/m³ and retained the level of the annual PM₂₅ standard at 15 μg/m³. In 2012, USEPA split standards for primary & secondary annual PM₂₅. All are averaged over 3 years, with the 24-hour average determined at the 98th percentile for the 24-hour standard. USEPA retained the 24-hour primary standard and revoked the annual primary standard for PM₁₀.
- i. Territory of Guam maximum 8-hr concentration not to be exceeded more than once in a year.
- j. In 2012, the USEPA retained a secondary 3-hour standard, which is not to be exceeded more than once per year. In June 2010, the USEPA established a new 1-hour SO₂ standard at a level of 75 ppb, based om the 3-year average of the annual 99th percentile.
- k. Maximum 4-hour concentration not to be exceeded more than once in a year.
- I. Maximum 24-hr concentration not to be exceeded more than once in a year.
- CO = carbon monoxide; NO_x = nitrogen oxide; O₃ = ozone; Pb = lead; PM_{2.5} = particulate matter with a diameter of less than 2.5 micrometers; PM₁₀ = particulate matter with a diameter of less than 10 micrometers; SO₂ = sulfur dioxide; μm = micrometer(s); μg/m³ = microgram(s) per cubic meter; ppm = part(s) per million; USEPA = United States Environmental Protection Agency

Pollutant	Attainment Classification	Tons per year
	Serious nonattainment	50
	Severe nonattainment	25
Ozone (VOC and NO _x)	Extreme nonattainment	10
	Other areas outside an ozone transport region (applicable to all three alternatives)	100
Ozone (NO _x)	Marginal and moderate nonattainment inside an ozone transport region	100
	Maintenance	100
	Marginal and moderate nonattainment inside an ozone transport region	50
	Maintenance within an ozone transport region	50
	Maintenance outside an ozone transport region	100
CO, SO ₂ and NO ₂	All nonattainment and maintenance	100
DM	Serious nonattainment	70
	Moderate nonattainment and maintenance	100
PM10	Serious nonattainment	70
	Moderate nonattainment and maintenance	100
PM _{2.5} (Direct emissions, SO ₂ ,	Serious nonattainment	70
NO _x , VOC, and ammonia)	All nonattainment and maintenance	100
Lead	All nonattainment and maintenance	25

Table B-2 General Conformity Rule *De Minimis* Emission Thresholds

Source: USEPA, 2021b

CO = carbon monoxide; NO₂ = nitrogen dioxide; NO_x = nitrogen oxides; PM_{2.5} = particulates equal to or less than 2.5 microns in diameter; PM₁₀ = particulates equal to or less than 10 microns in diameter; SO₂ = sulfur dioxide; VOC = volatile organic compounds

Each state is required to develop a SIP that sets forth how CAA provisions will be imposed within the state. The SIP is the primary means for the implementation, maintenance, and enforcement of the measures needed to attain and maintain the NAAQS within each state and includes control measures, emissions limitations, and other provisions required to attain and maintain the ambient air quality standards. The purpose of the SIP is twofold. First, it must provide a control strategy that will result in the attainment and maintenance of the NAAQS. Second, it must demonstrate that progress is being made in attaining the standards in each nonattainment area.

The DOH CAB and Guam EPA operate and maintain ambient air monitoring networks that use the methods and procedures approved by the USEPA. The purpose is to monitor, assess, and provide information on ambient air quality conditions and trends as specified by the federal CAA and state or territory. The air quality monitoring network is used to identify areas where the ambient air quality standards are being violated, and where plans are needed to reduce pollutant concentration levels to be in attainment with the standards. Also included are areas where the ambient standards are being met, but plans are necessary to ensure maintenance of acceptable levels of air quality in the face of anticipated population or industrial growth.

The result of this attainment/maintenance analysis is the development of strategies for controlling emissions of criteria air pollutants from stationary and mobile sources. The first step in this process is the annual compilation of the ambient air monitoring results, and the second step is the analysis of the monitoring data for general air quality, exceedances of air quality standards, and pollutant trends.

Under the CAA new stationary emissions sources are subject to New Source Review (NSR) in order to obtain a construction permit. Permits are required for new major sources or sources making major modifications. In areas that meet the National Ambient Air Quality Standards the permits are referred to as Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) permits and the process to obtain permit approval is called PSD review. In nonattainment areas the permitting process is referred to as nonattainment NSR. The purpose of PSD review is to ensure that sources are constructed without causing significant adverse deterioration to clean air in the area. Nonattainment NSR purpose is to ensure new sources do not impede a region's progress to achieve compliance with NAAQS through the use of emission control technology and by offsetting the emission increases.

B.1.2 Project Calculations and Assumptions

This section includes a discussion of calculations used for the air quality analyses presented in the Air Quality sections of this Environmental Assessment. A Record of Air Analysis precedes the detailed Air Conformity Applicability Model (ACAM) Report for the proposed alternatives. Each detailed ACAM report includes a general description of the project, the calculations used to estimate emissions, and timeline assumptions made for each phase of the project, as well as ongoing emissions once the project is completed.

Key ACAM input data assumptions and notes are provided, as follows:

- The start date for all activities for each alternative is assumed to be January 1, 2022. The duration of the construction project is assumed to be 12 months from the assumed start date. January is assumed as the start month so that emissions are estimated for the full 12-month period in the same year.
- Construction phase emissions for the alternatives are included for demolition, grading, excavation, construction/renovation, architectural coating, and paving. Personnel emissions are for the additional personnel required to support SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 missions.
- In general, duration of construction phase activities entered into ACAM was based on the duration of the entire proposed project provided by the facility and the size of project area in square foot.
- ACAM inputs for all phase lengths were conservatively estimated as twice the phasing length values contained in California Emissions Estimator Model (CALEEMod), Appendix D, Default Data Tables, Table 3.1 Phase Length (CALEED, 2017). The default data table provides the number of days (or months) for demolition, construction, grading, excavating, paving and architectural coating activities based on the size (in acres) of the project site.
- Demolition of existing asphalt/concrete parking lots was estimated based on an assumed height (e.g., 0.5 ft) for demolition. ACAM typically estimates emissions only from demolition of buildings, so a minimum height is assumed.
- For grading, depth for grading area is assumed to be 0.5 feet. Volumes of materials hauled in and hauled out (in cubic yards) were estimated using the assumed depth and graded area.
- Emissions for building renovations were estimated in ACAM as a construction project with the following modification to default equipment: crane operation removed; one aerial lift added (3 hrs/day) added; one "other material handling equipment" (6 hrs/day) added. ACAM defaults were used in lieu of base-specific data.

B.1.3 Regulatory Comparisons

The Council on Environmental Quality defines significance in terms of context and intensity in 40 CFR § 1508.27. This requires that the significance of the action be analyzed with respect to the setting of the proposed alternatives and based relative to the severity of the impact. The Council on Environmental Quality National Environmental Policy Act regulations (40 CFR § 1508.27[b]) provide 10 key factors to consider in determining an impact's intensity.

For this air quality analysis, the annual net increase in emissions for each project alternative was compared to the PSD permitting threshold of 250 tpy for criteria pollutants (except for lead which is 25 tpy). The PSD permitting threshold was used as an indicator of the significance of potential impacts to air quality. If the increases in emissions from proposed action alternatives are below the applicable PSD permitting thresholds for each criteria pollutant, it would indicate that the air quality impacts for each of the pollutants are not likely to be significant. **Appendix B-3** provides the Detailed Air Conformity Applicability Model Report to demonstrate the ACAM inputs and the calculation methodologies used to estimate emissions.

B.1.4 References

- CALEED. California Air Emissions Estimator Model. <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-</u> source/caleemod/05_appendix-d2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=4 Accessed March 5, 2021
- USEPA. 2010. 40 CFR Parts 51 and 93, Revisions to the General Conformity Regulations. 75 FR 14283, EPA-HQ-OAR-2006-0669; FRL-9131-7. 24 March.
- USEPA. 2021a. NAAQS Table. <u>https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutants/naaqs-table</u>. Accessed March 08, 2021.
- USEPA. 2021b. *General Conformity: De minimis Tables*. <u>https://www.epa.gov/general-conformity/de-minimis-tables</u>. Accessed March 08, 2021.

Appendix B-2

Summary Air Conformity Applicability Model Reports

Record of Air Analysis (ROAA) Space Control Squadron Beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 Basing Actions EA

(For General Conformity Applicability Determination and National Environmental Policy Act Air Quality Assessment)

Alternative A: SPCS #4 PMRF-Barking Sands

1. General Information: The Air Force's Air Conformity Applicability Model (ACAM) was used to perform an analysis to assess the potential air quality impact/s associated with the action in accordance with the Air Force Manual 32-7002, Environmental Compliance and Pollution Prevention; the Environmental Impact Analysis Process (EIAP, 32 CFR 989); and the General Conformity Rule (GCR, 40 CFR 93 Subpart B). This report provides a summary of the ACAM analysis.

a. Action Location:

Base: HICKAM AFB State: Hawaii County(s): Kaua'i Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA

- b. Action Title: Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown for the Fourth (SPCS #4) and Fifth (SPCS #5) Basing Actions Pacific Missile Range Facility – Barking Sands, Hawaii; Joint Base Pearl Harbor – Hickam, Hawaii; Andersen Air Force Base, Guam
- c. Project Number/s (if applicable): Not Applicable
- d. Projected Action Start Date: 1 / 2022

e. Action Description:

The Air Force is proposing to establish two ANG SPCSs. The first ANG SPCS, SPCS #4 (offensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations: JBPHH, HI; PMRF - Barking Sands, HI; or Andersen AFB, Guam. The second ANG SPCS, SPCS #5 (defensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations as identified above. Three alternatives are being considered: Alternative A considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at PMRF-Barking Sands, Kaua'i, Hawaii; Alternative B considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at JBPHH, O'ahu, Hawaii; and Alternative C considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at JBPHH, O'ahu, Hawaii; and Alternative A would require: renovating 11,217 sf of an existing building and constructing a 813 sf addition to the existing building; and constructing a 5,000 sy equipment pad, 2,500 sy parking lot; and an infiltration basin. Alternatives B and C would require the construction a 12,100 sf building; 5,000 sy equipment pad 2,500 sy parking lot; and an infiltration basin. Each alternative would require the beddown of additional personnel. SPCS #4 would require the addition of between 62 and 105 ANG personnel in support of a defensive mission.

f. Point of Contact:

Name:	Drea Traeumer
Title:	Environmental Scientist
Organization:	Environmental Assessment Services
Email:	drea.traeumer@easbio.com
Phone Number:	775.750.0472

2. Air Impact Analysis: Based on the attainment status at the action location, the requirements of the General Conformity Rule are:

_____ applicable __X__ not applicable Total net direct and indirect emissions associated with the action were estimated through ACAM on a calendar-year basis for the start of the action through achieving "steady state" (i.e., net gain/loss upon action fully implemented) emissions. The ACAM analysis used the latest and most accurate emission estimation techniques available; all algorithms, emission factors, and methodologies used are described in detail in the USAF Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Stationary Sources, the USAF Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Mobile Sources, and the USAF Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Transitory Sources.

"Insignificance Indicators" were used in the analysis to provide an indication of the significance of potential impacts to air quality based on current ambient air quality relative to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQSs). These insignificance indicators are the 250 ton/yr Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) major source threshold for actions occurring in areas that are "Clearly Attainment" (i.e., not within 5% of any NAAQS) and the GCR de minimis values (25 ton/yr for lead and 100 ton/yr for all other criteria pollutants) for actions occurring in areas that are "Near Nonattainment" (i.e., within 5% of any NAAQS). These indicators do not define a significant impact; however, they do provide a threshold to identify actions that are insignificant. Any action with net emissions below the insignificance indicators for all criteria pollutant is considered so insignificant that the action will not cause or contribute to an exceedance on one or more NAAQSs. For further detail on insignificance indicators see chapter 4 of the Air Force Air Quality Environmental Impact Analysis Process (EIAP) Guide, Volume II - Advanced Assessments.

The action's net emissions for every year through achieving steady state were compared against the Insignificance Indicator and are summarized below.

2022				
Pollutant	Action Emissions	INSIGNIFICANCE INDICATOR		
	(ton/yr)	Indicator (ton/yr)	Exceedance (Yes or No)	
NOT IN A REGULATOR	Y AREA			
VOC	0.534	250	No	
NOx	1.752	250	No	
CO	4.532	250	No	
SOx	0.006	250	No	
PM 10	0.744	250	No	
PM 2.5	0.065	250	No	
Pb	0.000	25	No	
NH3	0.014	250	No	
CO2e	697.9			

Analysis Summary: Alternative A – SPCS #4 PMRF Barking Sands

Pollutant	Action Emissions	INSIGNIFICANCE INDICATOR	
	(ton/yr)	Indicator (ton/yr)	Exceedance (Yes or No)
NOT IN A REGULATORY	Y AREA		
VOC	0.203	250	No
NOx	0.167	250	No
СО	2.410	250	No
SOx	0.001	250	No
PM 10	0.003	250	No
PM 2.5	0.003	250	No
Pb	0.000	25	No
NH3	0.013	250	No
CO2e	206.4		

None of estimated annual net emissions associated with this action are above the insignificance indicators, indicating no significant impact to air quality. Therefore, the action will not cause or contribute to an exceedance on one or more NAAQSs. No further air assessment is needed.

Drea Traeumer, Environmental Scientist

03/08/2021 DATE

Alternative A: SPCS #5 PMRF-Barking Sands

1. General Information: The Air Force's Air Conformity Applicability Model (ACAM) was used to perform an analysis to assess the potential air quality impact/s associated with the action in accordance with the Air Force Manual 32-7002, Environmental Compliance and Pollution Prevention; the Environmental Impact Analysis Process (EIAP, 32 CFR 989); and the General Conformity Rule (GCR, 40 CFR 93 Subpart B). This report provides a summary of the ACAM analysis.

a. Action Location:

Base: HICKAM AFB State: Hawaii County(s): Kaua'i Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA

- b. Action Title: Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown for the Fourth (SPCS #4) and Fifth (SPCS #5) Basing Actions Pacific Missile Range Facility – Barking Sands, Hawaii; Joint Base Pearl Harbor – Hickam, Hawaii; Andersen Air Force Base, Guam
- c. Project Number/s (if applicable): Not Applicable
- d. Projected Action Start Date: 1 / 2022

e. Action Description:

The Air Force is proposing to establish two ANG SPCSs. The first ANG SPCS, SPCS #4 (offensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations: JBPHH, HI; PMRF - Barking Sands, HI; or Andersen AFB, Guam. The second ANG SPCS, SPCS #5 (defensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations as identified above. Three alternatives are being considered: Alternative A considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at PMRF-Barking Sands, Kaua'i, Hawaii; Alternative B considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at JBPHH, O'ahu, Hawaii; and Alternative C considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at JBPHH, O'ahu, Hawaii; and Alternative A would require: renovating 11,217 sf of an existing building and constructing a 813 sf addition to the existing building; and constructing a 5,000 sy equipment pad, 2,500 sy parking lot, and an infiltration basin. Alternatives B and C would require the construction a 12,100 sf building; 5,000 sy equipment pad 2,500 sy parking lot; and an infiltration basin. Each alternative would require the beddown of additional personnel. SPCS #4 would require the addition of between 62 and 105 ANG personnel in support of a defensive mission.

f. Point of Contact:

Name:	Drea Traeumer
Title:	Environmental Scientist
Organization:	Environmental Assessment Services
Email:	drea.traeumer@easbio.com
Phone Number:	775.750.0472

2. Air Impact Analysis: Based on the attainment status at the action location, the requirements of the General Conformity Rule are:

_____ applicable __X__ not applicable Total net direct and indirect emissions associated with the action were estimated through ACAM on a calendar-year basis for the start of the action through achieving "steady state" (i.e., net gain/loss upon action fully implemented) emissions. The ACAM analysis used the latest and most accurate emission estimation techniques available; all algorithms, emission factors, and methodologies used are described in detail in the USAF Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Stationary Sources, the USAF Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Mobile Sources, and the USAF Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Transitory Sources.

"Insignificance Indicators" were used in the analysis to provide an indication of the significance of potential impacts to air quality based on current ambient air quality relative to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQSs). These insignificance indicators are the 250 ton/yr Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) major source threshold for actions occurring in areas that are "Clearly Attainment" (i.e., not within 5% of any NAAQS) and the GCR de minimis values (25 ton/yr for lead and 100 ton/yr for all other criteria pollutants) for actions occurring in areas that are "Near Nonattainment" (i.e., within 5% of any NAAQS). These indicators do not define a significant impact; however, they do provide a threshold to identify actions that are insignificant. Any action with net emissions below the insignificance indicators for all criteria pollutant is considered so insignificant that the action will not cause or contribute to an exceedance on one or more NAAQSs. For further detail on insignificance indicators see chapter 4 of the Air Force Air Quality Environmental Impact Analysis Process (EIAP) Guide, Volume II - Advanced Assessments.

The action's net emissions for every year through achieving steady state were compared against the Insignificance Indicator and are summarized below.

2022			
Pollutant	Action Emissions (ton/yr)	INSIGNIFICANCE INDICATOR	
		Indicator (ton/yr)	Exceedance (Yes or No)
NOT IN A REGULATOR	Y AREA		
VOC	0.516	250	No
NOx	1.737	250	No
CO	4.322	250	No
SOx	0.006	250	No
PM 10	0.744	250	No
PM 2.5	0.065	250	No
Pb	0.000	25	No
NH3	0.013	250	No
CO2e	679.9		

Analysis Summary: Alternative A – SPCS #5 PMRF Barking Sands

2023 - (Steady State)

Pollutant	Action Emissions	INSIGNIFICANCE INDICATOR	
	(ton/yr)	Indicator (ton/yr)	Exceedance (Yes or No)
NOT IN A REGULATOR	Y AREA		
VOC	0.185	250	No
NOx	0.153	250	No
CO	2.200	250	No
SOx	0.001	250	No
PM 10	0.003	250	No
PM 2.5	0.003	250	No
Pb	0.000	25	No
NH3	0.012	250	No
CO2e	188.4		

None of estimated annual net emissions associated with this action are above the insignificance indicators, indicating no significant impact to air quality. Therefore, the action will not cause or contribute to an exceedance on one or more NAAQSs. No further air assessment is needed.

Drea Traeumer, Environmental Scientist

03/09/2021 DATE

Alternative B: SPCS #4 JBPH-Hickam

1. General Information: The Air Force's Air Conformity Applicability Model (ACAM) was used to perform an analysis to assess the potential air quality impact/s associated with the action in accordance with the Air Force Manual 32-7002, Environmental Compliance and Pollution Prevention; the Environmental Impact Analysis Process (EIAP, 32 CFR 989); and the General Conformity Rule (GCR, 40 CFR 93 Subpart B). This report provides a summary of the ACAM analysis.

a. Action Location:

Base: HICKAM AFB State: Hawaii County(s): Honolulu Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA

- b. Action Title: Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown for the Fourth (SPCS #4) and Fifth (SPCS #5) Basing Actions Pacific Missile Range Facility – Barking Sands, Hawaii; Joint Base Pearl Harbor – Hickam, Hawaii; Andersen Air Force Base, Guam
- c. Project Number/s (if applicable): Not Applicable

d. Projected Action Start Date: 1 / 2022

e. Action Description:

The Air Force is proposing to establish two ANG SPCSs. The first ANG SPCS, SPCS #4 (offensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations: JBPHH, HI; PMRF - Barking Sands, HI; or Andersen AFB, Guam. The second ANG SPCS, SPCS #5 (defensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations as identified above. Three alternatives are being considered: Alternative A considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at PMRF-Barking Sands, Kaua'i, Hawaii; Alternative B considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at JBPHH, O'ahu, Hawaii; and Alternative C considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at JBPHH, O'ahu, Hawaii; and Alternative A would require: renovating 11,217 sf of an existing building and constructing a 813 sf addition to the existing building; and constructing a 5,000 sy equipment pad, 2,500 sy parking lot, and an infiltration basin. Alternatives B and C would require the construction a 12,100 sf building; 5,000 sy equipment pad 2,500 sy parking lot; and an infiltration basin. Each alternative would require the beddown of additional personnel. SPCS #4 would require the addition of between 62 and 105 ANG personnel in support of a defensive mission.

f. Point of Contact:

Name:	Drea Traeumer
Title:	Environmental Scientist
Organization:	Environmental Assessment Services
Email:	drea.traeumer@easbio.com
Phone Number:	775.750.0472

2. Air Impact Analysis: Based on the attainment status at the action location, the requirements of the General Conformity Rule are:

_____ applicable __X__ not applicable Total net direct and indirect emissions associated with the action were estimated through ACAM on a calendar-year basis for the start of the action through achieving "steady state" (i.e., net gain/loss upon action fully implemented) emissions. The ACAM analysis used the latest and most accurate emission estimation techniques available; all algorithms, emission factors, and methodologies used are described in detail in the USAF Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Stationary Sources, the USAF Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Mobile Sources, and the USAF Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Transitory Sources.

"Insignificance Indicators" were used in the analysis to provide an indication of the significance of potential impacts to air quality based on current ambient air quality relative to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQSs). These insignificance indicators are the 250 ton/yr Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) major source threshold for actions occurring in areas that are "Clearly Attainment" (i.e., not within 5% of any NAAQS) and the GCR de minimis values (25 ton/yr for lead and 100 ton/yr for all other criteria pollutants) for actions occurring in areas that are "Near Nonattainment" (i.e., within 5% of any NAAQS). These indicators do not define a significant impact; however, they do provide a threshold to identify actions that are insignificant. Any action with net emissions below the insignificance indicators for all criteria pollutant is considered so insignificant that the action will not cause or contribute to an exceedance on one or more NAAQSs. For further detail on insignificance indicators see chapter 4 of the Air Force Air Quality Environmental Impact Analysis Process (EIAP) Guide, Volume II - Advanced Assessments.

The action's net emissions for every year through achieving steady state were compared against the Insignificance Indicator and are summarized below.

2022				
Pollutant	Action Emissions	INSIGNIFICANCE INDICATOR		
	(ton/yr)	Indicator (ton/yr)	Exceedance (Yes or No)	
NOT IN A REGULATOR	Y AREA			
VOC	0.532	250	No	
NOx	1.160	250	No	
CO	3.712	250	No	
SOx	0.005	250	No	
PM 10	0.814	250	No	
PM 2.5	0.042	250	No	
Pb	0.000	25	No	
NH3	0.014	250	No	
CO2e	516.1			

Analysis Summary: Alternative B - SPCS #4 JBPH-Hickam

2023 -	(Steady	State)
2023 -	Jucauy	Juaie

Pollutant	Action Emissions	INSIGNIFICANCE INDICATOR	
	(ton/yr)	Indicator (ton/yr)	Exceedance (Yes or No)
NOT IN A REGULATOR	Y AREA		
VOC	0.203	250	No
NOx	0.167	250	No
CO	2.410	250	No
SOx	0.001	250	No
PM 10	0.003	250	No
PM 2.5	0.003	250	No
Pb	0.000	25	No
NH3	0.013	250	No
CO2e	206.4		

None of estimated annual net emissions associated with this action are above the insignificance indicators, indicating no significant impact to air quality. Therefore, the action will not cause or contribute to an exceedance on one or more NAAQSs. No further air assessment is needed.

Drea Traeumer, Environmental Scientist

03/08/2021 DATE

Alternative B: SPCS #5 JBPH-Hickam

1. General Information: The Air Force's Air Conformity Applicability Model (ACAM) was used to perform an analysis to assess the potential air quality impact/s associated with the action in accordance with the Air Force Manual 32-7002, Environmental Compliance and Pollution Prevention; the Environmental Impact Analysis Process (EIAP, 32 CFR 989); and the General Conformity Rule (GCR, 40 CFR 93 Subpart B). This report provides a summary of the ACAM analysis.

a. Action Location:

Base: HICKAM AFB State: Hawaii County(s): Honolulu Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA

- b. Action Title: Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown for the Fourth (SPCS #4) and Fifth (SPCS #5) Basing Actions Pacific Missile Range Facility – Barking Sands, Hawaii; Joint Base Pearl Harbor – Hickam, Hawaii; Andersen Air Force Base, Guam
- c. Project Number/s (if applicable): Not Applicable
- d. Projected Action Start Date: 1 / 2022

e. Action Description:

The Air Force is proposing to establish two ANG SPCSs. The first ANG SPCS, SPCS #4 (offensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations: JBPHH, HI; PMRF - Barking Sands, HI; or Andersen AFB, Guam. The second ANG SPCS, SPCS #5 (defensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations as identified above. Three alternatives are being considered: Alternative A considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at PMRF-Barking Sands, Kaua'i, Hawaii; Alternative B considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at JBPHH, O'ahu, Hawaii; and Alternative C considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at JBPHH, O'ahu, Hawaii; and Alternative A would require: renovating 11,217 sf of an existing building and constructing a 813 sf addition to the existing building; and constructing a 5,000 sy equipment pad, 2,500 sy parking lot, and an infiltration basin. Alternatives B and C would require the construction a 12,100 sf building; 5,000 sy equipment pad 2,500 sy parking lot; and an infiltration basin. Each alternative would require the beddown of additional personnel. SPCS #4 would require the addition of between 62 and 105 ANG personnel in support of a defensive mission.

f. Point of Contact:

Name:	Drea Traeumer
Title:	Environmental Scientist
Organization:	Environmental Assessment Services
Email:	drea.traeumer@easbio.com
Phone Number:	775.750.0472

2. Air Impact Analysis: Based on the attainment status at the action location, the requirements of the General Conformity Rule are:

_____ applicable __X__ not applicable Total net direct and indirect emissions associated with the action were estimated through ACAM on a calendar-year basis for the start of the action through achieving "steady state" (i.e., net gain/loss upon action fully implemented) emissions. The ACAM analysis used the latest and most accurate emission estimation techniques available; all algorithms, emission factors, and methodologies used are described in detail in the USAF Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Stationary Sources, the USAF Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Mobile Sources, and the USAF Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Transitory Sources.

"Insignificance Indicators" were used in the analysis to provide an indication of the significance of potential impacts to air quality based on current ambient air quality relative to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQSs). These insignificance indicators are the 250 ton/yr Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) major source threshold for actions occurring in areas that are "Clearly Attainment" (i.e., not within 5% of any NAAQS) and the GCR de minimis values (25 ton/yr for lead and 100 ton/yr for all other criteria pollutants) for actions occurring in areas that are "Near Nonattainment" (i.e., within 5% of any NAAQS). These indicators do not define a significant impact; however, they do provide a threshold to identify actions that are insignificant. Any action with net emissions below the insignificance indicators for all criteria pollutant is considered so insignificant that the action will not cause or contribute to an exceedance on one or more NAAQSs. For further detail on insignificance indicators see chapter 4 of the Air Force Air Quality Environmental Impact Analysis Process (EIAP) Guide, Volume II - Advanced Assessments.

The action's net emissions for every year through achieving steady state were compared against the Insignificance Indicator and are summarized below.

2022				
Pollutant	Action Emissions	INSIGNIFICANCE INDICATOR		
	(ton/yr)	Indicator (ton/yr)	Exceedance (Yes or No)	
NOT IN A REGULATOR	Y AREA			
VOC	0.514	250	No	
NOx	1.146	250	No	
CO	3.502	250	No	
SOx	0.004	250	No	
PM 10	0.813	250	No	
PM 2.5	0.042	250	No	
Pb	0.000	25	No	
NH3	0.013	250	No	
CO2e	498.2			

Analysis Summary: Alternative B - SPCS #5 JBPH-Hickam

Pollutant	Action Emissions	INSIGNIFICANCE INDICATOR	
	(ton/yr)	Indicator (ton/yr)	Exceedance (Yes or No)
NOT IN A REGULATOR	Y AREA		
VOC	0.185	250	No
NOx	0.153	250	No
CO	2.200	250	No
SOx	0.001	250	No
PM 10	0.003	250	No
PM 2.5	0.003	250	No
Pb	0.000	25	No
NH3	0.012	250	No
CO2e	188.4		
None of estimated annual net emissions associated with this action are above the insignificance indicators, indicating no significant impact to air quality. Therefore, the action will not cause or contribute to an exceedance on one or more NAAQSs. No further air assessment is needed.

Drea Traeumer, Environmental Scientist

03/08/2021 DATE

Alternative C: SPCS #4 Andersen AFB

1. General Information: The Air Force's Air Conformity Applicability Model (ACAM) was used to perform an analysis to assess the potential air quality impact/s associated with the action in accordance with the Air Force Manual 32-7002, Environmental Compliance and Pollution Prevention; the Environmental Impact Analysis Process (EIAP, 32 CFR 989); and the General Conformity Rule (GCR, 40 CFR 93 Subpart B). This report provides a summary of the ACAM analysis.

a. Action Location:

Base: ANDERSEN AFB State: Guam County(s): Guam Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA; Piti, GU; Tanguisson, GU; Piti-Cabras, GU

- b. Action Title: Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown for the Fourth (SPCS #4) and Fifth (SPCS #5) Basing Actions Pacific Missile Range Facility – Barking Sands, Hawaii; Joint Base Pearl Harbor – Hickam, Hawaii; Andersen Air Force Base, Guam
- c. Project Number/s (if applicable): Not Applicable

d. Projected Action Start Date: 1 / 2022

e. Action Description:

The Air Force is proposing to establish two ANG SPCSs. The first ANG SPCS, SPCS #4 (offensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations: JBPHH, HI; PMRF - Barking Sands, HI; or Andersen AFB, Guam. The second ANG SPCS, SPCS #5 (defensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations as identified above. Three alternatives are being considered: Alternative A considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at PMRF-Barking Sands, Kaua'i, Hawaii; Alternative B considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at JBPHH, O'ahu, Hawaii; and Alternative C considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at JBPHH, O'ahu, Hawaii; and Alternative A would require: renovating 11,217 sf of an existing building and constructing a 813 sf addition to the existing building; and constructing a 5,000 sy equipment pad, 2,500 sy parking lot, and an infiltration basin. Alternatives B and C would require the construction a 12,100 sf building; 5,000 sy equipment pad 2,500 sy parking lot; and an infiltration basin. Each alternative would require the beddown of additional personnel. SPCS #4 would require the addition of between 62 and 105 ANG personnel in support of a defensive mission.

f. Point of Contact:

Name:	Drea Traeumer
Title:	Environmental Scientist
Organization:	Environmental Assessment Services
Email:	drea.traeumer@easbio.com
Phone Number:	775.750.0472

2. Analysis: Total combined direct and indirect emissions associated with the action were estimated through ACAM on a calendar-year basis for the "worst-case" and "steady state" (net gain/loss upon action fully implemented) emissions. General Conformity under the Clean Air Act, Section 1.76 has been evaluated for the action described above according to the requirements of 40 CFR 93, Subpart B.

Based on the analysis, the requirements of this rule are: _____ applicable

___X__ not applicable

Pollutant	Action Emissions	GENERAL C	ONFORMITY
	(ton/yr)	Threshold (ton/yr)	Exceedance (Yes or
			No)
NOT IN A REGULATORY	AREA		1
VOC	0.677		
NOx	1.238		
CO	7.077		
SOx	0.008		
PM 10	0.824		
PM 2.5	0.045		
Pb	0.000		
NH3	0.054		
CO2e	565.4		
Piti, GU		F	1
VOC	0.677		
NOx	1.238		
CO	7.077		
SOx	0.008	100	No
PM 10	0.824		
PM 2.5	0.045		
Pb	0.000		
NH3	0.054		
CO2e	565.4		
Tanguisson, GU			
VOC	0.677		
NOx	1.238		
СО	7.077		
SOx	0.008	100	No
PM 10	0.824		
PM 2.5	0.045		
Pb	0.000		
NH3	0.054		
CO2e	565.4		
Piti-Cabras, GU			
VOC	0.677		
NOx	1.238		
СО	7.077		
SOx	0.008	100	No
PM 10	0.824		
PM 2.5	0.045		
Pb	0.000		
NH3	0.054		
CO2e	565.4		

Conformity Analysis Summary: Alternative C - SPCS #4 Andersen AFB 2022

2023 - (Steady State)			
Pollutant	Action Emissions	GENERAL C	ONFORMITY
	(ton/yr)	Threshold (ton/yr)	Exceedance (Yes or
			No)
NOT IN A REGULATORY	Y AREA		
VOC	0.334		
NOx	0.274		
CO	5.471		
SOx	0.005		
PM 10	0.015		
PM 2.5	0.007		
Pb	0.000		
NH3	0.050		
CO2e	264.1		
Piti, GU			
VOC	0.334		
NOx	0.274		
CO	5.471		
SOx	0.005	100	No
PM 10	0.015		
PM 2.5	0.007		
Pb	0.000		
NH3	0.050		
CO2e	264.1		
Tanguisson, GU			
VOČ	0.334		
NOx	0.274		
CO	5.471		
SOx	0.005	100	No
PM 10	0.015		
PM 2.5	0.007		
Pb	0.000		
NH3	0.050		
CO2e	264.1		
Piti-Cabras, GU			
VOC	0.334		
NOx	0.274		
CO	5.471		
SOx	0.005	100	No
PM 10	0.015		
PM 2.5	0.007		
Pb	0.000		
NH3	0.050		
CO2e	264.1		

None of estimated emissions associated with this action are above the conformity threshold values established at 40 CFR 93.153 (b); Therefore, the requirements of the General Conformity Rule are not applicable.

Drea Traeumer, Environmental Scientist

03/08/2021 DATE

Alternative C: SPCS #5 Andersen AFB

1. General Information: The Air Force's Air Conformity Applicability Model (ACAM) was used to perform an analysis to assess the potential air quality impact/s associated with the action in accordance with the Air Force Manual 32-7002, Environmental Compliance and Pollution Prevention; the Environmental Impact Analysis Process (EIAP, 32 CFR 989); and the General Conformity Rule (GCR, 40 CFR 93 Subpart B). This report provides a summary of the ACAM analysis.

a. Action Location:

Base: ANDERSEN AFB State: Guam County(s): Guam Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA; Piti, GU; Tanguisson, GU; Piti-Cabras, GU

- b. Action Title: Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown for the Fourth (SPCS #4) and Fifth (SPCS #5) Basing Actions Pacific Missile Range Facility – Barking Sands, Hawaii; Joint Base Pearl Harbor – Hickam, Hawaii; Andersen Air Force Base, Guam
- c. Project Number/s (if applicable): Not Applicable

d. Projected Action Start Date: 1 / 2022

e. Action Description:

The Air Force is proposing to establish two ANG SPCSs. The first ANG SPCS, SPCS #4 (offensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations: JBPHH, HI; PMRF - Barking Sands, HI; or Andersen AFB, Guam. The second ANG SPCS, SPCS #5 (defensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations as identified above. Three alternatives are being considered: Alternative A considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at PMRF-Barking Sands, Kaua'i, Hawaii; Alternative B considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at JBPHH, O'ahu, Hawaii; and Alternative C considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at JBPHH, O'ahu, Hawaii; and Alternative A would require: renovating 11,217 sf of an existing building and constructing a 813 sf addition to the existing building; and constructing a 5,000 sy equipment pad, 2,500 sy parking lot, and an infiltration basin. Alternatives B and C would require the construction a 12,100 sf building; 5,000 sy equipment pad 2,500 sy parking lot; and an infiltration basin. Each alternative would require the beddown of additional personnel. SPCS #4 would require the addition of between 62 and 105 ANG personnel in support of a defensive mission.

f. Point of Contact:

Name:	Drea Traeumer
Title:	Environmental Scientist
Organization:	Environmental Assessment Services
Email:	drea.traeumer@easbio.com
Phone Number:	775.750.0472

2. Analysis: Total combined direct and indirect emissions associated with the action were estimated through ACAM on a calendar-year basis for the "worst-case" and "steady state" (net gain/loss upon action fully implemented) emissions. General Conformity under the Clean Air Act, Section 1.76 has been evaluated for the action described above according to the requirements of 40 CFR 93, Subpart B.

Based on the analysis, the requirements of this rule are:

_____ applicable __X__ not applicable

Pollutant	Action Emissions	GENERAL C	ONFORMITY
	(ton/yr)	Threshold (ton/yr)	Exceedance (Yes or No)
NOT IN A REGULATORY	Y AREA		
VOC	0.647		
NOx	1.214		
СО	6.601		
SOx	0.008		
PM 10	0.823		
PM 2.5	0.044		
Pb	0.000		
NH3	0.050		
CO2e	542.5		
Piti, GU			
VOC	0.647		
NOx	1.214		
CO	6.601		
SOx	0.008	100	No
PM 10	0.823		
PM 2.5	0.044		
Pb	0.000		
NH3	0.050		
CO2e 542.5			
Tanguisson, GU			
VOC	0.647		
NOx	1.214		
CO	6.601		
SOx	0.008	100	No
PM 10	0.823		
PM 2.5	0.044		
Pb	0.000		
NH3	0.050		
CO2e	542.5		
Piti-Cabras, GU			
VOC	0.647		
NOx	1.214		
CO	6.601		
SOx	0.008	100	No
PM 10	0.823		
PM 2.5	0.044		
Pb	0.000		
NH3	0.050		
CO2e	542.5		

Conformity Analysis Summary: Alternative C - SPCS #5 Andersen AFB 2022

	2023 - (Ste	eady State)	
Pollutant	Action Emissions	GENERAL C	ONFORMITY
	(ton/yr)	Threshold (ton/yr)	Exceedance (Yes or
			No)
NOT IN A REGULATORY	Y AREA		
VOC	0.305		
NOx	0.250		
CO	4.995		
SOx	0.004		
PM 10	0.013		
PM 2.5	0.007		
Pb	0.000		
NH3	0.046		
CO2e	241.2		
Piti, GU			
VOC	0.305		
NOx	0.250		
CO	4.995		
SOx	0.004	100	No
PM 10	0.013		
PM 2.5	0.007		
Pb	0.000		
NH3	0.046		
CO2e	241.2		
Tanguisson, GU			
VOC	0.305		
NOx	0.250		
CO	4.995		
SOx	0.004	100	No
PM 10	0.013		
PM 2.5	0.007		
Pb	0.000		
NH3	0.046		
CO2e	241.2		
Piti-Cabras, GU	F		1
VOC	0.305		
NOx	0.250		
CO	4.995		
SOx	0.004	100	No
PM 10	0.013		
PM 2.5	0.007		
Pb	0.000		
NH3	0.046		
CO2e	241.2		

None of estimated emissions associated with this action are above the conformity threshold values established at 40 CFR 93.153 (b); Therefore, the requirements of the General Conformity Rule are not applicable.

Drea Traeumer, Environmental Scientist

03/08/2021 DATE

Appendix B-3

Detailed Air Conformity Applicability Model Reports

Space Control Squadron Beddown

for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 Basing Actions EA

(For General Conformity Applicability Determination and National Environmental Policy Act Air Quality Assessment)

Alternative A: SPCS #4 PMRF-Barking Sands

1. General Information

Action Location
 Base: HICKAM AFB
 State: Hawaii
 County(s): Kaua'i
 Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA

- Action Title: Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown for the Fourth (SPCS #4) and Fifth (SPCS #5) Basing Actions Pacific Missile Range Facility Barking Sands, Hawaii; Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam, Hawaii; Andersen Air Force Base, Guam
- Project Number/s (if applicable): Not Applicable
- Projected Action Start Date: 1 / 2022

- Action Purpose and Need:

The purpose of the Proposed Action is for the U.S. Air Force to provide the facilities and locations suitable for the establishment of two Air National Guard (ANG) Space Control Squadrons (SPCS) locations with access to geosynchronous satellites in the Pacific theater, which would consist of one offensive mission and one defensive mission. SPCS #4 is needed in order to meet the 2015 AFSPC/CC ARC Initiative priority to generate four additional ANG unit-equipped UTCs to meet CCMD needs for offensive space control. SPCS #5 is needed in order to meet the 2018 AFSPC/CC ARC Priority Memorandum to generate eight ANG unit-equipped UTCs to meet CCMD requirements for defensive space control.

- Action Description:

The Air Force is proposing to establish two ANG SPCSs. The first ANG SPCS, SPCS #4 (offensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations: JBPHH, HI; PMRF - Barking Sands, HI; or Andersen AFB, Guam. The second ANG SPCS, SPCS #5 (defensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations as identified above. Three alternatives are being considered: Alternative A considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at PMRF-Barking Sands, Kaua'i, Hawaii; Alternative B considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at JBPHH, O'ahu, Hawaii; and Alternative C considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at Andersen AFB, Guam. Alternative A would require: renovating 11,217 sf of an existing building and constructing an 813 sf addition to the existing building; and constructing a 5,000 sy equipment pad, 2,500 sy parking lot, and an infiltration basin. Alternatives B and C would require the construction a 12,100 sf building; 5,000 sy equipment pad 2,500 sy parking lot; and an infiltration basin. Each alternative would require the beddown of additional personnel. SPCS #4 would require between 88 and 115 new ANG personnel in support of an offensive mission, and SPCS #5 would require the addition of between 62 and 105 ANG personnel in support of a defensive mission.

- Point of Contact

Name:	Drea Traeumer
Title:	Environmental Scientist
Organization:	Environmental Assessment Services
Email:	drea.traeumer@easbio.com
Phone Number:	775.750.0472

	Activity Type	Activity Title
2.	Construction / Demolition	SPCS #4 construct 883 sf addition to existing building
3.	Construction / Demolition	SPCS #4 construct 2,500 sy asphalt parking lot and 5,000 sy concrete
		equipment pad
4.	Construction / Demolition	SPCS #4 construct 696 cubic yard infiltration basin
5.	Personnel	SPCS #4 add 115 ANG personnel
6.	Construction / Demolition	SPCS #4 renovate 11,217 sf of existing building

- Activity List: Alternative A - SPCS #4 PMRF-Barking Sands

Emission factors and air emission estimating methods come from the United States Air Force's Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Stationary Sources, Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Mobile Sources, and Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Transitory Sources.

2. Construction / Demolition

2.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

- Activity Location

County: Kaua'i Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA

- Activity Title: SPCS #4 construct 883 sf addition to existing building

- Activity Description:

Demolish 883 sf of existing parking lot adjacent to existing building, and construct 883 sf addition to existing building.

- Activity Start Date)
Start Month:	1
Start Month:	2022

- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	False
End Month:	12
End Month:	2022

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
VOC	0.195273
SO _x	0.002629
NO _x	0.817297
CO	1.129017
PM 10	0.033094

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.030951
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.000739
CO ₂ e	254.1

2.1 Demolition Phase

2.1.1 Demolition Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date

Start Month: 1

Start Quarter:1Start Year:2022

Phase Duration
 Number of Month: 0
 Number of Days: 20

2.1.2 Demolition Phase Assumptions

- General Demolition Information
 Area of Building to be demolished (ft²): 883
 Height of Building to be demolished (ft): 0.5
- Default Settings Used: Yes

- Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of	Hours Per Day
	Equipment	
Concrete/Industrial Saws Composite	1	8
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite	1	1
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	2	6

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd ³):	20 (default)
Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile):	20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

2.1.3 Demolition Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Concrete/Industrial Saws Composite											
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e			
Emission Factors	0.0410	0.0006	0.2961	0.3743	0.0148	0.0148	0.0037	58.556			
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite											
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH4	CO ₂ e			
Emission Factors	0.1919	0.0024	1.3611	0.7352	0.0536	0.0536	0.0173	239.51			
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite											
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e			
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884			

veniele Exhlust & vvorker Trips Emission ractors (grams/mile)											
	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	NH ₃	CO ₂ e		
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267		
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713		
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621		
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337		
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106		
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613		
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231		

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

2.1.4 Demolition Phase Formula(s)

- Fugitive Dust Emissions per Phase

 $PM10_{FD} = (0.00042 * BA * BH) / 2000$

PM10_{FD}: Fugitive Dust PM 10 Emissions (TONs)
0.00042: Emission Factor (lb/ft³)
BA: Area of Building to be demolished (ft²)
BH: Height of Building to be demolished (ft)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs) NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = BA * BH * (1 / 27) * 0.25 * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
BA: Area of Building being demolish (ft²)
BH: Height of Building being demolish (ft)
(1 / 27): Conversion Factor cubic feet to cubic yards (1 yd³ / 27 ft³)
0.25: Volume reduction factor (material reduced by 75% to account for air space)
HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³)
(1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³)
HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ VMT_{WT}: \ Worker \ Trips \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Worker \ Trips \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \\ 2000: \ Conversion \ Factor \ pounds \ to \ tons \end{array}$

2.2 Site Grading Phase

2.2.1 Site Grading Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Quarter: 1 Start Year: 2022
- Phase Duration
 Number of Month: 0
 Number of Days: 5

2.2.2 Site Grading Phase Assumptions

-	General	Site	Grading	Information
---	---------	------	---------	-------------

Area of Site to be Graded (ft ²):	883
Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd ³):	16
Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd ³):	16

- Site Grading Default Settings Default Settings Used: Yes Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Graders Composite	1	6
Other Construction Equipment Composite	1	8
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite	1	6
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	7

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd ³):	20 (default)
Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile):	20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

2.2.3 Site Grading Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Graders Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH4	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0806	0.0014	0.4657	0.5731	0.0217	0.0217	0.0072	132.92
Other Construction	Equipment	t Composite	9					
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH4	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0507	0.0012	0.2785	0.3488	0.0105	0.0105	0.0045	122.61
Rubber Tired Dozer	s Composit	te						
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH4	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.1919	0.0024	1.3611	0.7352	0.0536	0.0536	0.0173	239.51
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH4	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

2.2.4 Site Grading Phase Formula(s)

- Fugitive Dust Emissions per Phase

 $PM10_{FD} = (20 * ACRE * WD) / 2000$

PM10_{FD}: Fugitive Dust PM 10 Emissions (TONs)
20: Conversion Factor Acre Day to pounds (20 lb / 1 Acre Day)
ACRE: Total acres (acres)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs)
NE: Number of Equipment
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
H: Hours Worked per Day (hours)
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = (HA_{OnSite} + HA_{OffSite}) * (1 / HC) * HT$

 $\begin{array}{l} VMT_{VE}: \mbox{ Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)} \\ HA_{OnSite}: \mbox{ Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd^3)} \\ HA_{OffSite}: \mbox{ Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd^3)} \\ HC: \mbox{ Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd^3)} \\ (1 / HC): \mbox{ Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd^3)} \\ HT: \mbox{ Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)} \end{array}$

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

2.3 Building Construction Phase

2.3.1 Building Construction Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Quarter: 1 Start Year: 2022
- Phase Duration
 Number of Month: 12
 Number of Days: 0
- 2.3.2 Building Construction Phase Assumptions

General Building Construction Information						
Building Category:	Office or Industrial					
Area of Building (ft ²):	883					
Height of Building (ft):	35					
Number of Units:	N/A					

Building Construction Default Settings Default Settings Used: Yes Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Cranes Composite	1	4
Forklifts Composite	2	6
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	8

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

- Vendor Trips

Average Vendor Round Trip Commute (mile): 40 (default)

- Vendor Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

2.3.3 Building Construction Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Cranes Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH4	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0797	0.0013	0.5505	0.3821	0.0203	0.0203	0.0071	128.81
Forklifts Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0274	0.0006	0.1265	0.2146	0.0043	0.0043	0.0024	54.457
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	NH ₃	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

2.3.4 Building Construction Phase Formula(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs) NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = BA * BH * (0.42 / 1000) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
BA: Area of Building (ft²)
BH: Height of Building (ft)
(0.42 / 1000): Conversion Factor ft³ to trips (0.42 trip / 1000 ft³)
HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ VMT_{WT}: \ Worker \ Trips \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Worker \ Trips \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \\ 2000: \ Conversion \ Factor \ pounds \ to \ tons \end{array}$

- Vender Trips Emissions per Phase VMT_{VT} = BA * BH * (0.38 / 1000) * HT

VMT_{VT}: Vender Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
BA: Area of Building (ft²)
BH: Height of Building (ft)
(0.38 / 1000): Conversion Factor ft³ to trips (0.38 trip / 1000 ft³)
HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VT}: Vender Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

2.4 Architectural Coatings Phase

2.4.1 Architectural Coatings Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Quarter: 1 Start Year: 2022
- Phase Duration
 Number of Month: 0
 Number of Days: 10

2.4.2 Architectural Coatings Phase Assumptions

- General Architectural Coatings Information Building Category: Non-Residential Total Square Footage (ft²): 4160 Number of Units: N/A
- Architectural Coatings Default Settings Default Settings Used: Yes Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)
- Worker Trips Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

2.4.3 Architectural Coatings Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

2.4.4 Architectural Coatings Phase Formula(s)

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = (1 * WT * PA) / 800$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
1: Conversion Factor man days to trips (1 trip / 1 man * day)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
PA: Paint Area (ft²)
800: Conversion Factor square feet to man days (1 ft² / 1 man * day)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Off-Gassing Emissions per Phase

 $VOC_{AC} = (AB * 2.0 * 0.0116) / 2000.0$

VOC_{AC}: Architectural Coating VOC Emissions (TONs)
BA: Area of Building (ft²)
2.0: Conversion Factor total area to coated area (2.0 ft² coated area / total area)
0.0116: Emission Factor (lb/ft²)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

3. Construction / Demolition

3.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

 Activity Location County: Kaua'i Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA

- Activity Title: SPCS #4 construct 2,500 sy asphalt parking lot and 5,000 sy concrete equipment pad.

- Activity Description:

Demolish 7,500 sy of existing asphalt parking lot and construct 2,500 parking lot and 5,000 sy concrete equipment pad.

- Activity Start Date Start Month: 1

Start Month: 2022

- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	False
End Month:	1
End Month:	2022

- Activity Emissions:							
Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)						
VOC	0.028813						
SO _x	0.000418						
NO _x	0.168330						
CO	0.188841						
PM 10	0.236290						

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.007782
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.000196
CO ₂ e	42.0

3.1 Demolition Phase

- **3.1.1 Demolition Phase Timeline Assumptions**
- Phase Start Date

Start Month:	1
Start Quarter:	1
Start Year:	2022

- Phase Duration

Number of Month: 0 Number of Days: 20

3.1.2 Demolition Phase Assumptions

- General Demolition Information
 Area of Building to be demolished (ft²): 67500
 Height of Building to be demolished (ft): 0.5
- Default Settings Used: Yes
- Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of	Hours Per Day	
	Equipment		
Concrete/Industrial Saws Composite	1	8	
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite	1	1	
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	2	8	

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³):20 (default)Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile):20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

3.1.3 Demolition Phase Emission Factor(s)

Concrete/Industrial Saws Composite										
	VOC	SOx	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e		
Emission Factors	0.0410	0.0006	0.2961	0.3743	0.0148	0.0148	0.0037	58.556		
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite										
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e		
Emission Factors	0.1919	0.0024	1.3611	0.7352	0.0536	0.0536	0.0173	239.51		
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite										
	VOC	SOx	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e		
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884		

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	NH ₃	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

3.1.4 Demolition Phase Formula(s)

- Fugitive Dust Emissions per Phase

 $PM10_{FD} = (0.00042 * BA * BH) / 2000$

PM10_{FD}: Fugitive Dust PM 10 Emissions (TONs)
0.00042: Emission Factor (lb/ft³)
BA: Area of Building to be demolished (ft²)
BH: Height of Building to be demolished (ft)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs) NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = BA * BH * (1 / 27) * 0.25 * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
BA: Area of Building being demolish (ft²)
BH: Height of Building being demolish (ft)
(1 / 27): Conversion Factor cubic feet to cubic yards (1 yd³ / 27 ft³)
0.25: Volume reduction factor (material reduced by 75% to account for air space)
HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³)
(1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³)
HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \mbox{ Vehicle Emissions (TONs)} \\ VMT_{VE}: \mbox{ Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)} \\ 0.002205: \mbox{ Conversion Factor grams to pounds} \\ EF_{POL}: \mbox{ Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)} \\ VM: \mbox{ Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (\%)} \\ 2000: \mbox{ Conversion Factor pounds to tons} \end{array}$

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions (TONs) \\ VMT_{WT}: \ Worker \ Trips \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Worker \ Trips \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \\ 2000: \ Conversion \ Factor \ pounds \ to \ tons \end{array}$

3.2 Site Grading Phase

3.2.1 Site Grading Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Quarter: 1 Start Year: 2022
- Phase Duration
 Number of Month: 0
 Number of Days: 10

3.2.2 Site Grading Phase Assumptions

- General Site Grading Information	
Area of Site to be Graded (ft ²):	67500
Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd ³):	1250
Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd ³):	1250

- Site Grading Default Settings Default Settings Used: Yes Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Graders Composite	1	6
Other Construction Equipment Composite	1	8
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite	1	6
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	7

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³):20 (default)Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile):20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

3.2.3 Site Grading Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Graders Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0806	0.0014	0.4657	0.5731	0.0217	0.0217	0.0072	132.92
Other Construction	Equipment	t Composite	e					
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0507	0.0012	0.2785	0.3488	0.0105	0.0105	0.0045	122.61
Rubber Tired Dozen	s Composit	te						
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.1919	0.0024	1.3611	0.7352	0.0536	0.0536	0.0173	239.51
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

3.2.4 Site Grading Phase Formula(s)

- Fugitive Dust Emissions per Phase

 $PM10_{FD} = (20 * ACRE * WD) / 2000$

PM10_{FD}: Fugitive Dust PM 10 Emissions (TONs)
20: Conversion Factor Acre Day to pounds (20 lb / 1 Acre Day)
ACRE: Total acres (acres)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs)
NE: Number of Equipment
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
H: Hours Worked per Day (hours)
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = (HA_{OnSite} + HA_{OffSite}) * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) HA_{OnSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd³) HA_{OffSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd³) HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³) (1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³) HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

3.3 Paving Phase

3.3	3.1	Paving	Phase	Timeline	Assumptions
-----	-----	--------	-------	----------	-------------

- Phase Start Date	
Start Month:	1
Start Quarter:	1
Start Year:	2022

- Phase Duration
 Number of Month: 0
 Number of Days: 10
- **3.3.2** Paving Phase Assumptions
- General Paving Information Paving Area (ft²): 67500
- Paving Default Settings Default Settings Used: Yes Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Cement and Mortar Mixers Composite	4	6
Pavers Composite	1	7
Paving Equipment Composite	2	6
Rollers Composite	1	7
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	7

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

3.3.3 Paving Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Graders Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0806	0.0014	0.4657	0.5731	0.0217	0.0217	0.0072	132.92
Other Construction Equipment Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0507	0.0012	0.2785	0.3488	0.0105	0.0105	0.0045	122.61

Environmental Assessment for Beddown for the SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 Basing Actions Draft

Rubber Tired Dozers Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.1919	0.0024	1.3611	0.7352	0.0536	0.0536	0.0173	239.51
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

			r			,			
	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

3.3.4 Paving Phase Formula(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs)
NE: Number of Equipment
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
H: Hours Worked per Day (hours)
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = PA * 0.25 * (1 / 27) * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
PA: Paving Area (ft²)
0.25: Thickness of Paving Area (ft)
(1 / 27): Conversion Factor cubic feet to cubic yards (1 yd³ / 27 ft³)
HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³)
(1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³)
HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile) 1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of WorksNE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VE}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Off-Gassing Emissions per Phase

 $VOC_P = (2.62 * PA) / 43560$

VOC_P: Paving VOC Emissions (TONs)
2.62: Emission Factor (lb/acre)
PA: Paving Area (ft²)
43560: Conversion Factor square feet to acre (43560 ft2 / acre)² / acre)

4. Construction / Demolition

4.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

- Activity Location County: Kaua'i Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA
- Activity Title: SPCS #4 construct 696 cubic yard infiltration basin

- Activity Description:

Construct 696 cubic yard infiltration basin to contain runoff from new impervious surfaces using 95th percentile design rainfall depth of 2.64 inches.

- Activity Start Date

Start Month:1Start Month:2022

- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	False
End Month:	1
End Month:	2022

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
VOC	0.015288
SO _x	0.000294
NO _x	0.082845
СО	0.112086
PM 10	0.451901

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.003360
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.000057
CO ₂ e	28.0

4.1 Trenching/Excavating Phase

4.1.1 Trenching / Excavating Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Quarter: 1 Stort Yearn 2022		
- Phase Duration		
Number of Month:0Number of Days:20		
4.1.2 Trenching / Excavating Phase Assu	mptions	
- General Trenching/Excavating Informa Area of Site to be Trenched/Excavat Amount of Material to be Hauled O Amount of Material to be Hauled O	ation ed (ft ²): n-Site (yd ³): ff-Site (yd ³):	68383 1 696
- Trenching Default Settings		
Default Settings Used: Average Day(s) worked per week:	Yes 5 (default)	
- Construction Exhaust (default)		

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Excavators Composite	2	8
Other General Industrial Equipment Composite	1	8
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	8

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd ³):	20 (default)
Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile):	20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

4.1.3 Trenching / Excavating Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

			1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		/			
	VOC	SOx	NO _x	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	NH ₃	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.569	000.008	000.606	005.120	000.008	000.007		000.034	00381.013
LDGT	000.807	000.010	001.051	008.641	000.009	000.008		000.034	00508.378
HDGV	001.513	000.016	002.777	026.893	000.020	000.018		000.046	00789.086
LDDV	000.207	000.003	000.305	003.836	000.006	000.006		000.008	00391.624
LDDT	000.520	000.005	000.815	007.812	000.008	000.008		000.008	00609.856
HDDV	000.593	000.014	006.848	002.466	000.375	000.345		000.026	01559.210
MC	002.959	000.008	000.696	014.613	000.026	000.023		000.049	00391.464

4.1.4 Trenching / Excavating Phase Formula(s)

- Fugitive Dust Emissions per Phase

PM10_{FD} = (20 * ACRE * WD) / 2000

PM10_{FD}: Fugitive Dust PM 10 Emissions (TONs)
20: Conversion Factor Acre Day to pounds (20 lb / 1 Acre Day)
ACRE: Total acres (acres)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs) NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = (HA_{OnSite} + HA_{OffSite}) * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) HA_{OnSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd³) HA_{OffSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd³) HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³) (1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³) HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VE}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

5. Personnel

5.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

- Add or Remove Activity from Baseline? Add
- Activity Location County: Kaua'i Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA
- Activity Title: SPCS #4 add 115 ANG personnel

- Activity Description: SPCS #4 add 115 ANG personnel.

- Activity Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Year: 2022

- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	Yes
End Month:	N/A
End Year:	N/A

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Emissions Per Year (TONs)
VOC	0.202667
SO _x	0.001385
NO _x	0.167359
CO	2.410054
PM 10	0.003476

5.2	Personnel	Assumptions
-----	-----------	-------------

Number of Personnel	
Active Duty Personnel:	0
Civilian Personnel:	0
Support Contractor Personnel:	0
Air National Guard (ANG) Personnel:	115
Reserve Personnel:	0

- Default Settings Used: Yes

- Average Personnel Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Personnel Work Schedule	
Active Duty Personnel:	5 Days Per Week (default)
Civilian Personnel:	5 Days Per Week (default)
Support Contractor Personnel:	5 Days Per Week (default)

Pollutant	Emissions Per Year (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.003137
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.012732
CO ₂ e	206.4

Air National Guard (ANG) Personnel:	4 Days Per Week (default)
Reserve Personnel:	4 Days Per Month (default)

5.3 Personnel On Road Vehicle Mixture

- On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	37.55	60.32	0	0.03	0.2	0	1.9
GOVs	54.49	37.73	4.67	0	0	3.11	0

5.4 Personnel Emission Factor(s)

- On Road Vehicle Emission Factors (grams/mile)

			\ O	/					
	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

5.5 Personnel Formula(s)

- Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel for Work Days per Year $VMT_P = NP * WD * AC$

VMT_P: Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles/year) NP: Number of Personnel WD: Work Days per Year AC: Average Commute (miles)

- Total Vehicle Miles Travel per Year

 $VMT_{Total} = VMT_{AD} + VMT_{C} + VMT_{SC} + VMT_{ANG} + VMT_{AFRC}$

VMT_{Total}: Total Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
VMT_{AD}: Active Duty Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
VMT_C: Civilian Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
VMT_{SC}: Support Contractor Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
VMT_{ANG}: Air National Guard Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
VMT_{AFRC}: Reserve Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)

- Vehicle Emissions per Year

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{Total} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{Total}: Total Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Personnel On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

6. Construction / Demolition

6.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

- Activity Location County: Kaua'i Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA
- Activity Title: SPCS #4 renovate 11,217 sf of existing building

- Activity Description:

Renovate 11,217 sf of existing building

For renovation, crane operation removed from default building construction activity equipment and one aerial lift was added for 3 hrs/day, and one other material handling equipment was added for six hrs/day.

- Activity Start Date

Start Month:	1
Start Month:	2022

- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	False
End Month:	7
End Month:	2022

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
VOC	0.091586
SO _x	0.001727
NO _x	0.515861
СО	0.691551
PM 10	0.019682

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.019583
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.000633
CO ₂ e	167.5

6.1 Building Construction Phase

6.1.1 Building Construction Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1

Start Quarter:1Start Year:2022

- Phase Duration

Number of Month: 6 Number of Days: 14

6.1.2 Building Construction Phase Assumptions

- General Building Construction Information				
Building Category:	Office or Industrial			
Area of Building (ft ²):	11217			
Height of Building (ft):	35			
Number of Units:	N/A			

- Building Construction Default Settings Default Settings Used: No

Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 - Construction Exhaust

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Aerial Lifts Composite	1	3
Forklifts Composite	2	6
Other Material Handling Equipment Composite	1	6
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	8

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile): 20

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

- Vendor Trips

Average Vendor Round Trip Commute (mile): 40

- Vendor Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

6.1.3 Building Construction Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour)

Aerial Lifts Composite									
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e	
Emission Factors	0.0221	0.0003	0.1619	0.1666	0.0070	0.0070	0.0020	34.771	
Forklifts Composite									
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e	
Emission Factors	0.0274	0.0006	0.1265	0.2146	0.0043	0.0043	0.0024	54.457	
Other Material Handling Equipment Composite									
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e	
Emission Factors	0.0812	0.0015	0.5158	0.4377	0.0191	0.0191	0.0073	141.37	
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite									
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e	
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884	

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	NH ₃	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

6.1.4 Building Construction Phase Formula(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs) NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

VMT_{VE} = BA * BH * (0.42 / 1000) * HT

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
BA: Area of Building (ft²)
BH: Height of Building (ft)
(0.42 / 1000): Conversion Factor ft³ to trips (0.42 trip / 1000 ft³)
HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ VMT_{VE}: \ Vehicle \ Exhaust \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Worker \ Trips \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \\ 2000: \ Conversion \ Factor \ pounds \ to \ tons \end{array}$

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vender Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VT} = BA * BH * (0.38 / 1000) * HT$

VMT_{VT}: Vender Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
BA: Area of Building (ft²)
BH: Height of Building (ft)
(0.38 / 1000): Conversion Factor ft³ to trips (0.38 trip / 1000 ft³)
HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ VMT_{VT}: \ Vender \ Trips \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Worker \ Trips \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \\ 2000: \ Conversion \ Factor \ pounds \ to \ tons \end{array}$

Alternative A: SPCS #5 PMRF-Barking Sands

1. General Information

Action Location
 Base: HICKAM AFB
 State: Hawaii
 County(s): Kaua'i
 Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA

- Action Title: Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown for the Fourth (SPCS #4) and Fifth (SPCS #5) Basing Actions Pacific Missile Range Facility Barking Sands, Hawaii; Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam, Hawaii; Andersen Air Force Base, Guam
- Project Number/s (if applicable): Not Applicable
- Projected Action Start Date: 1 / 2022

- Action Purpose and Need:

The purpose of the Proposed Action is for the U.S. Air Force to provide the facilities and locations suitable for the establishment of two Air National Guard (ANG) Space Control Squadrons (SPCS) locations with access to geosynchronous satellites in the Pacific theater, which would consist of one offensive mission and one defensive mission. SPCS #4 is needed in order to meet the 2015 AFSPC/CC ARC Initiative priority to generate four additional ANG unit-equipped UTCs to meet CCMD needs for offensive space control. SPCS #5 is needed in order to meet the 2018 AFSPC/CC ARC Priority Memorandum to generate eight ANG unit-equipped UTCs to meet CCMD requirements for defensive space control.

- Action Description:

The Air Force is proposing to establish two ANG SPCSs. The first ANG SPCS, SPCS #4 (offensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations: JBPHH, HI; PMRF - Barking Sands, HI; or Andersen AFB, Guam. The second ANG SPCS, SPCS #5 (defensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations as identified above. Three alternatives are being considered: Alternative A considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at PMRF-Barking Sands, Kaua'i, Hawaii; Alternative B considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at JBPHH, O'ahu, Hawaii; and Alternative C considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at Andersen AFB, Guam. Alternative A would require: renovating 11,217 sf of an existing building and constructing a 813 sf addition to the existing building; and constructing a 5,000 sy equipment pad, 2,500 sy parking lot, and an infiltration basin. Alternatives B and C would require the construction a 12,100 sf building; 5,000 sy equipment pad 2,500 sy parking lot; and an infiltration basin. Each alternative would require the beddown of additional personnel. SPCS #4 would require between 88 and 115 new ANG personnel in support of an offensive mission, and SPCS #5 would require the addition of between 62 and 105 ANG personnel in support of a defensive mission.

- Point of Contact

Name:	Drea Traeumer
Title:	Environmental Scientist
Organization:	Environmental Assessment Services
Email:	drea.traeumer@easbio.com
Phone Number:	775.750.0472

- Activity List: Alternative A - SPCS #5 PMRF-Barking Sands

	Activity Type	Activity Title		
2.	Construction / Demolition	SPCS #5 construct 883 sf addition to existing building		
3.	Construction / Demolition	SPCS #5 construct 2,500 sy asphalt parking lot and 5,000 sy concrete		
		equipment pad		
4.	Construction / Demolition	SPCS #5 construct 696 cubic yard infiltration basin		

5.	Personnel	SPCS #5 add 105 ANG personnel
6.	Construction / Demolition	SPCS #5 renovate 11,217 sf of existing building

Emission factors and air emission estimating methods come from the United States Air Force's Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Stationary Sources, Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Mobile Sources, and Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Transitory Sources.

2. Construction / Demolition

2.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

 Activity Location County: Kaua'i Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA

- Activity Title: SPCS #5 construct 883 sf addition to existing building

- Activity Description:

Demolish 883 sf of existing parking lot adjacent to existing building, and construct 883 sf addition to existing building.

- Activity Start Date

Start Month:	1
Start Month:	2022

- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	False
End Month:	12
End Month:	2022

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
VOC	0.195273
SO _x	0.002629
NO _x	0.817297
CO	1.129017
PM 10	0.033094

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.030951
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.000739
CO ₂ e	254.1

2.1 Demolition Phase

2.1.1 Demolition Phase Timeline Assumptions

-]	Phase	Start	Date
-----	-------	-------	------

Start Month:	1
Start Quarter:	1
Start Year:	2022

- Phase Duration

Number of Month:0Number of Days:20

2.1.2 Demolition Phase Assumptions

- General Demolition Information
 Area of Building to be demolished (ft²): 883
 Height of Building to be demolished (ft): 0.5
- Default Settings Used: Yes
- Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Concrete/Industrial Saws Composite	1	8
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite	1	1
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	2	6

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³):20 (default)Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile):20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

2.1.3 Demolition Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Concrete/Industrial Saws Composite									
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e	
Emission Factors	0.0410	0.0006	0.2961	0.3743	0.0148	0.0148	0.0037	58.556	
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite									
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e	
Emission Factors	0.1919	0.0024	1.3611	0.7352	0.0536	0.0536	0.0173	239.51	
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite									
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e	
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884	

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

2.1.4 Demolition Phase Formula(s)

- Fugitive Dust Emissions per Phase

 $PM10_{FD} = (0.00042 * BA * BH) / 2000$

PM10_{FD}: Fugitive Dust PM 10 Emissions (TONs)
0.00042: Emission Factor (lb/ft³)
BA: Area of Building to be demolished (ft²)
BH: Height of Building to be demolished (ft)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs) NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = BA * BH * (1 / 27) * 0.25 * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
BA: Area of Building being demolish (ft²)
BH: Height of Building being demolish (ft)
(1 / 27): Conversion Factor cubic feet to cubic yards (1 yd³ / 27 ft³)
0.25: Volume reduction factor (material reduced by 75% to account for air space)
HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³)
(1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³)
HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

2.2 Site Grading Phase

2.2.1 Site Grading Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Quarter: 1 Start Year: 2022

Phase Duration
 Number of Month: 0
 Number of Days: 5

2.2.2 Site Grading Phase Assumptions

- General Site Grading Information	
Area of Site to be Graded (ft ²):	883
Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd ³):	16
Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd ³):	16

- Site Grading Default Settings	
Default Settings Used:	Yes
Average Day(s) worked per week:	5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Graders Composite	1	6
Other Construction Equipment Composite	1	8
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite	1	6
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	7

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd ³):	20 (default)
Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile):	20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

2.2.3 Site Grading Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Environmental Assessment for Beddown for the SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 Basing Actions Draft

Graders Composite									
	VOC	SOx	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e	
Emission Factors	0.0806	0.0014	0.4657	0.5731	0.0217	0.0217	0.0072	132.92	
Other Construction Equipment Composite									
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e	
Emission Factors	0.0507	0.0012	0.2785	0.3488	0.0105	0.0105	0.0045	122.61	
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite									
	VOC	SOx	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e	
Emission Factors	0.1919	0.0024	1.3611	0.7352	0.0536	0.0536	0.0173	239.51	
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite									
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e	
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884	

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SOx	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

2.2.4 Site Grading Phase Formula(s)

- Fugitive Dust Emissions per Phase

 $PM10_{FD} = (20 * ACRE * WD) / 2000$

PM10_{FD}: Fugitive Dust PM 10 Emissions (TONs)
20: Conversion Factor Acre Day to pounds (20 lb / 1 Acre Day)
ACRE: Total acres (acres)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs)
NE: Number of Equipment
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
H: Hours Worked per Day (hours)
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = (HA_{OnSite} + HA_{OffSite}) * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) HA_{OnSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd³) HA_{OffSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd³) HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³) (1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³) HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \mbox{ Vehicle Emissions (TONs)} \\ VMT_{WT}: \mbox{ Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)} \\ 0.002205: \mbox{ Conversion Factor grams to pounds} \\ EF_{POL}: \mbox{ Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)} \\ VM: \mbox{ Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (\%)} \\ 2000: \mbox{ Conversion Factor pounds to tons} \end{array}$

2.3 Building Construction Phase

2.3.1 Building Construction Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Quarter: 1 Start Year: 2022

- Phase Duration Number of Month: 12 Number of Days: 0

2.3.2 Building Construction Phase Assumptions

- General Building Construction Information

Building Category:Office or IndustrialArea of Building (ft²):883Height of Building (ft):35Number of Units:N/A

- Building Construction Default Settings	
Default Settings Used:	Yes
Average Day(s) worked per week:	5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Cranes Composite	1	4

Environmental Assessment for Beddown for the SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 Basing Actions Draft

Forklifts Composite	2	6
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	8

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

- Vendor Trips

Average Vendor Round Trip Commute (mile): 40 (default)

- Vendor Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

2.3.3 Building Construction Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Cranes Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0797	0.0013	0.5505	0.3821	0.0203	0.0203	0.0071	128.81
Forklifts Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0274	0.0006	0.1265	0.2146	0.0043	0.0043	0.0024	54.457
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

2.3.4 Building Construction Phase Formula(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs) NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = BA * BH * (0.42 / 1000) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
BA: Area of Building (ft²)
BH: Height of Building (ft)
(0.42 / 1000): Conversion Factor ft³ to trips (0.42 trip / 1000 ft³)
HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \mbox{ Vehicle Emissions (TONs)} \\ VMT_{VE}: \mbox{ Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)} \\ 0.002205: \mbox{ Conversion Factor grams to pounds} \\ EF_{POL}: \mbox{ Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)} \\ VM: \mbox{ Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (\%)} \\ 2000: \mbox{ Conversion Factor pounds to tons} \end{array}$

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions (TONs) \\ VMT_{WT}: \ Worker \ Trips \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Worker \ Trips \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \\ 2000: \ Conversion \ Factor \ pounds \ to \ tons \end{array}$

- Vender Trips Emissions per Phase

VMT_{VT} = BA * BH * (0.38 / 1000) * HT

 $\begin{array}{l} VMT_{VT}: \ Vender \ Trips \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ BA: \ Area \ of \ Building \ (ft^2) \\ BH: \ Height \ of \ Building \ (ft) \\ (0.38 \ / \ 1000): \ Conversion \ Factor \ ft^3 \ to \ trips \ (0.38 \ trip \ / \ 1000 \ ft^3) \\ HT: \ Average \ Hauling \ Truck \ Round \ Trip \ Commute \ (mile/trip) \end{array}$

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ VMT_{VT}: \ Vender \ Trips \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Worker \ Trips \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \end{array}$

2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

2.4 Architectural Coatings Phase

2.4.1 Architectural Coatings Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Quarter: 1 Start Year: 2022
- Phase Duration Number of Month: 0 Number of Days: 10

2.4.2 Architectural Coatings Phase Assumptions

- General Architectural Coatings Information **Building Category:** Non-Residential Total Square Footage (ft²): 4160 Number of Units: N/A
- Architectural Coatings Default Settings **Default Settings Used:** Yes Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

2.4.3 Architectural Coatings Phase Emission Factor(s)

VOC NO_x CO **PM 10 PM 2.5** Pb NH₃ CO₂e **SO**_x LDGV 000.280 000.002 000.208 003.467 000.005 000.005 000.023 00332.267 LDGT 000.373 000.003 000.374 004.989 000.007 000.006 000.024 00427.713 HDGV 000.801 000.005 000.972 016.626 000.015 000.013 000.046 00789.621 LDDV 000.079 000.003 000.127 002.707 000.004 000.004 000.008 00325.337 000.362 000.006 00461.106 LDDT 000.218 000.004 004.629 000.007 000.008 HDDV 000.300 000.013 003.537 001.358 000.165 000.152 000.026 01490.613 002.824 000.003 000.676 000.023 000.053 00392.231 MC 013.057 000.025

- Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

2.4.4 Architectural Coatings Phase Formula(s)

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = (1 * WT * PA) / 800$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 1: Conversion Factor man days to trips (1 trip / 1 man * day) WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile) PA: Paint Area (ft²) 800: Conversion Factor square feet to man days (1 $ft^2 / 1 man * day$) $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \mbox{ Vehicle Emissions (TONs)} \\ VMT_{WT}: \mbox{ Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)} \\ 0.002205: \mbox{ Conversion Factor grams to pounds} \\ EF_{POL}: \mbox{ Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)} \\ VM: \mbox{ Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (\%)} \\ 2000: \mbox{ Conversion Factor pounds to tons} \end{array}$

- Off-Gassing Emissions per Phase

 $VOC_{AC} = (AB * 2.0 * 0.0116) / 2000.0$

VOC_{AC}: Architectural Coating VOC Emissions (TONs)
BA: Area of Building (ft²)
2.0: Conversion Factor total area to coated area (2.0 ft² coated area / total area)
0.0116: Emission Factor (lb/ft²)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

3. Construction / Demolition

3.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

 Activity Location County: Kaua'i Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA

- Activity Title: SPCS #5 construct 2,500 sy asphalt parking lot and 5,000 sy concrete equipment pad.

- Activity Description:

Demolish 7,500 sy of existing asphalt parking lot and construct 2,500 parking lot and 5,000 sy concrete equipment pad.

- Activity Start Date

Start Month:1Start Month:2022

- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	False
End Month:	1
End Month:	2022

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
VOC	0.028813
SO _x	0.000418
NO _x	0.168330
CO	0.188841
PM 10	0.236290

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.007782
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.000196
CO ₂ e	42.0

3.1 Demolition Phase

3.1.1 Demolition Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Quarter: 1 Start Year: 2022

- Phase Duration Number of Month: 0 Number of Days: 20

3.1.2 Demolition Phase Assumptions

General Demolition Information
 Area of Building to be demolished (ft²): 67500
 Height of Building to be demolished (ft): 0.5

- Default Settings Used: Yes

- Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of	Hours Per Day
	Equipment	
Concrete/Industrial Saws Composite	1	8
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite	1	1
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	2	8

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd ³):	20 (default)
Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile):	20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

3.1.3 Demolition Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Concrete/Industrial Saws Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH4	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0410	0.0006	0.2961	0.3743	0.0148	0.0148	0.0037	58.556
Rubber Tired Dozen	s Composit	te						
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.1919	0.0024	1.3611	0.7352	0.0536	0.0536	0.0173	239.51
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH4	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

Environmental Assessment for Beddown for the SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 Basing Actions Draft

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

3.1.4 Demolition Phase Formula(s)

- Fugitive Dust Emissions per Phase

 $PM10_{FD} = (0.00042 * BA * BH) / 2000$

PM10_{FD}: Fugitive Dust PM 10 Emissions (TONs)
0.00042: Emission Factor (lb/ft³)
BA: Area of Building to be demolished (ft²)
BH: Height of Building to be demolished (ft)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs) NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = BA * BH * (1 / 27) * 0.25 * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
BA: Area of Building being demolish (ft²)
BH: Height of Building being demolish (ft)
(1 / 27): Conversion Factor cubic feet to cubic yards (1 yd³ / 27 ft³)
0.25: Volume reduction factor (material reduced by 75% to account for air space)
HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³)
(1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³)
HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ VMT_{VE}: \ Vehicle \ Exhaust \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Vehicle \ Exhaust \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \\ 2000: \ Conversion \ Factor \ pounds \ to \ tons \\ \end{array}$

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of WorksNE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ VMT_{WT}: \ Worker \ Trips \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Worker \ Trips \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \\ 2000: \ Conversion \ Factor \ pounds \ to \ tons \end{array}$

3.2 Site Grading Phase

3.2.1 Site Grading Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1

Start Quarter:1Start Year:2022

Phase Duration
 Number of Month: 0
 Number of Days: 10

3.2.2 Site Grading Phase Assumptions

General Site Grading Information	
Area of Site to be Graded (ft ²):	67500
Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd ³):	1250
Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd ³):	1250

- Site Grading Default Settings	
Default Settings Used:	Yes
Average Day(s) worked per week:	5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Graders Composite	1	6
Other Construction Equipment Composite	1	8
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite	1	6
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	7

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd ³):	20 (default)
Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile):	20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

HDGV LDDV LDDT HDDV MC **LDGV** LDGT POVs 50.00 50.00 0 0 0 0 0

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

3.2.3 Site Grading Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Graders Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH4	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0806	0.0014	0.4657	0.5731	0.0217	0.0217	0.0072	132.92
Other Construction	Equipment	t Composite	e					
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0507	0.0012	0.2785	0.3488	0.0105	0.0105	0.0045	122.61
Rubber Tired Dozer	s Composi	te						
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH4	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.1919	0.0024	1.3611	0.7352	0.0536	0.0536	0.0173	239.51
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

3.2.4 Site Grading Phase Formula(s)

- Fugitive Dust Emissions per Phase

PM10_{FD} = (20 * ACRE * WD) / 2000

PM10_{FD}: Fugitive Dust PM 10 Emissions (TONs) 20: Conversion Factor Acre Day to pounds (20 lb / 1 Acre Day) ACRE: Total acres (acres) WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs) NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = (HA_{OnSite} + HA_{OffSite}) * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) HA_{OnSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd³) HA_{OffSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd³) HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³) (1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³) HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \mbox{ Vehicle Emissions (TONs)} \\ VMT_{VE}: \mbox{ Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)} \\ 0.002205: \mbox{ Conversion Factor grams to pounds} \\ EF_{POL}: \mbox{ Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)} \\ VM: \mbox{ Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (\%)} \\ 2000: \mbox{ Conversion Factor pounds to tons} \end{array}$

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

3.3 Paving Phase

3.3.1 Paving Phase Timeline Assumptions

Phase Start Date	
Start Month:	1
Start Quarter:	1
Start Year:	2022

Phase Duration
 Number of Month: 0
 Number of Days: 10

3.3.2 Paving Phase Assumptions

- General Paving Information Paving Area (ft²): 67500
- Paving Default Settings
 Default Settings Used: Yes
 Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Cement and Mortar Mixers Composite	4	6
Pavers Composite	1	7
Paving Equipment Composite	2	6
Rollers Composite	1	7
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	7

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

3.3.3 Paving Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Graders Composite									
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e	
Emission Factors	0.0806	0.0014	0.4657	0.5731	0.0217	0.0217	0.0072	132.92	
Other Construction Equipment Composite									
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e	
Emission Factors	0.0507	0.0012	0.2785	0.3488	0.0105	0.0105	0.0045	122.61	
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite									
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e	
Emission Factors	0.1919	0.0024	1.3611	0.7352	0.0536	0.0536	0.0173	239.51	
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite									
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e	
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884	

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

3.3.4 Paving Phase Formula(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs)

NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = PA * 0.25 * (1 / 27) * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
PA: Paving Area (ft²)
0.25: Thickness of Paving Area (ft)
(1 / 27): Conversion Factor cubic feet to cubic yards (1 yd³ / 27 ft³)
HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³)
(1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³)
HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VE}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Off-Gassing Emissions per Phase

 $VOC_P = (2.62 * PA) / 43560$

VOC_P: Paving VOC Emissions (TONs)
2.62: Emission Factor (lb/acre)
PA: Paving Area (ft²)
43560: Conversion Factor square feet to acre (43560 ft2 / acre)² / acre)

4. Construction / Demolition

4.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

- Activity Location County: Kaua'i Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA
- Activity Title: SPCS #5 construct 696 cubic yard infiltration basin

- Activity Description:

Construct 696 cubic yard infiltration basin to contain runoff from new impervious surfaces using 95th percentile design rainfall depth of 2.64 inches.

- Activity Start Date

Start Month:1Start Month:2022

- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	False
End Month:	1
End Month:	2022

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)				
VOC	0.015288				
SO _x	0.000294				
NO _x	0.082845				
СО	0.112086				
PM 10	0.451901				

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.003360
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.000057
CO ₂ e	28.0

4.1 Trenching/Excavating Phase

4.1.1 Trenching / Excavating Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date

Start Month:1Start Quarter:1Start Year:2022

- Phase Duration

Number of Month:0Number of Days:20

4.1.2 Trenching / Excavating Phase Assumptions

General Trenching/Excavating Information
 Area of Site to be Trenched/Excavated (ft²): 68383
 Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd³): 1
 Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd³): 696

- Trenching Default Settings Default Settings Used: Yes Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)
- Construction Exhaust (default)

Environmental Assessment for Beddown for the SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 Basing Actions Draft

Equipment Name	Number Of	Hours Per Day
	Equipment	
Excavators Composite	2	8
Other General Industrial Equipment Composite	1	8
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	8

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd ³):	20 (default)
Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile):	20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

4.1.3 Trenching / Excavating Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	NH ₃	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.569	000.008	000.606	005.120	000.008	000.007		000.034	00381.013
LDGT	000.807	000.010	001.051	008.641	000.009	000.008		000.034	00508.378
HDGV	001.513	000.016	002.777	026.893	000.020	000.018		000.046	00789.086
LDDV	000.207	000.003	000.305	003.836	000.006	000.006		000.008	00391.624
LDDT	000.520	000.005	000.815	007.812	000.008	000.008		000.008	00609.856
HDDV	000.593	000.014	006.848	002.466	000.375	000.345		000.026	01559.210
MC	002.959	000.008	000.696	014.613	000.026	000.023		000.049	00391.464

4.1.4 Trenching / Excavating Phase Formula(s)

- Fugitive Dust Emissions per Phase

 $PM10_{FD} = (20 * ACRE * WD) / 2000$

PM10_{FD}: Fugitive Dust PM 10 Emissions (TONs)
20: Conversion Factor Acre Day to pounds (20 lb / 1 Acre Day)
ACRE: Total acres (acres)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs) NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase VMTrm = (HAccrew + HAccrew) * (1 + HC) * H

 $VMT_{VE} = (HA_{OnSite} + HA_{OffSite}) * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) HA_{OnSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd³) HA_{OffSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd³) HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³) (1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³) HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VE}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

5. Personnel

5.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

- Add or Remove Activity from Baseline? Add
- Activity Location County: Kaua'i Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA
- Activity Title: SPCS #5 add 105 ANG personnel
- Activity Description:

SPCS #5 add 105 ANG personnel.

- Activity Start Date

Start Month:	1
Start Year:	2022

- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	Yes
End Month:	N/A
End Year:	N/A

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Emissions Per Year (TONs)
VOC	0.185044
SO _x	0.001265
NO _x	0.152806
СО	2.200484
PM 10	0.003174

Pollutant	Emissions Per Year (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.002864
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.011625
CO ₂ e	188.4

5.2 Personnel Assumptions

- Number of Personnel	
Active Duty Personnel:	0
Civilian Personnel:	0
Support Contractor Personnel:	0
Air National Guard (ANG) Personnel:	105
Reserve Personnel:	0

- Default Settings Used: Yes

- Average Personnel Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

5 Days Per Week (default)
5 Days Per Week (default)
5 Days Per Week (default)
4 Days Per Week (default)
4 Days Per Month (default)

5.3 Personnel On Road Vehicle Mixture

- On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	37.55	60.32	0	0.03	0.2	0	1.9
GOVs	54.49	37.73	4.67	0	0	3.11	0

5.4 Personnel Emission Factor(s)

- On Road Vehicle Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613

MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023	000.053	00392.231
----	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	-----------

5.5 Personnel Formula(s)

- Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel for Work Days per Year $VMT_{P} = NP \ensuremath{\,^{\circ}} WD \ensuremath{\,^{\circ}} AC$

VMT_P: Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles/year) NP: Number of Personnel WD: Work Days per Year AC: Average Commute (miles)

- Total Vehicle Miles Travel per Year

 $VMT_{Total} = VMT_{AD} + VMT_{C} + VMT_{SC} + VMT_{ANG} + VMT_{AFRC}$

VMT_{Total}: Total Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
VMT_{AD}: Active Duty Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
VMT_C: Civilian Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
VMT_{SC}: Support Contractor Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
VMT_{ANG}: Air National Guard Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
VMT_{AFRC}: Reserve Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)

- Vehicle Emissions per Year

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{Total} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
 VMT_{Total}: Total Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
 EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
 VM: Personnel On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

6. Construction / Demolition

6.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

- Activity Location County: Kaua'i Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA

- Activity Title: SPCS #5 renovate 11,217 sf of existing building

- Activity Description:

Renovate 11,217 sf of existing building

For renovation, crane operation removed from default building construction activity equipment and one aerial lift was added for 3 hrs/day, and one other material handling equipment was added for six hrs/day.

- Activity Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Month: 2022 - Activity End Date

Indefinite:	False
End Month:	7
End Month:	2022

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
VOC	0.091586
SO _x	0.001727
NO _x	0.515861
CO	0.691551
PM 10	0.019682

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.019583
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.000633
CO ₂ e	167.5

6.1 Building Construction Phase

6.1.1 Building Construction Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Quarter: 1 Start Year: 2022
- Phase Duration
 Number of Month: 6
 Number of Days: 14

6.1.2 Building Construction Phase Assumptions

- General Building Construction Information

Building Category:	Office or Industrial
Area of Building (ft ²):	11217
Height of Building (ft):	35
Number of Units:	N/A

Building Construction Default Settings Default Settings Used: No Average Day(s) worked per week: 5

- Construction Exhaust

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Aerial Lifts Composite	1	3
Forklifts Composite	2	6
Other Material Handling Equipment Composite	1	6
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	8

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile): 20

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

- Vendor Trips

Average Vendor Round Trip Commute (mile): 40

- Vendor Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC		
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0		

6.1.3 Building Construction Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour)

Aerial Lifts Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0221	0.0003	0.1619	0.1666	0.0070	0.0070	0.0020	34.771
Forklifts Composite	Forklifts Composite							
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0274	0.0006	0.1265	0.2146	0.0043	0.0043	0.0024	54.457
Other Material Han	dling Equi	oment Com	posite					
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0812	0.0015	0.5158	0.4377	0.0191	0.0191	0.0073	141.37
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

6.1.4 Building Construction Phase Formula(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs)
NE: Number of Equipment
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
H: Hours Worked per Day (hours)
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = BA * BH * (0.42 / 1000) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) BA: Area of Building (ft²) BH: Height of Building (ft)
(0.42 / 1000): Conversion Factor ft³ to trips (0.42 trip / 1000 ft³)
HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ VMT_{WT}: \ Worker \ Trips \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Worker \ Trips \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \\ 2000: \ Conversion \ Factor \ pounds \ to \ tons \end{array}$

- Vender Trips Emissions per Phase

VMT_{VT} = BA * BH * (0.38 / 1000) * HT

VMT_{VT}: Vender Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
BA: Area of Building (ft²)
BH: Height of Building (ft)
(0.38 / 1000): Conversion Factor ft³ to trips (0.38 trip / 1000 ft³)
HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ VMT_{VT}: \ Vender \ Trips \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Worker \ Trips \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \\ 2000: \ Conversion \ Factor \ pounds \ to \ tons \end{array}$

Alternative B: SPCS #4 JBPH-Hickam

1. General Information

Action Location
 Base: HICKAM AFB
 State: Hawaii
 County(s): Honolulu
 Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA

- Action Title: Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown for the Fourth (SPCS #4) and Fifth (SPCS #5) Basing Actions Pacific Missile Range Facility Barking Sands, Hawaii; Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam, Hawaii; Andersen Air Force Base, Guam
- Project Number/s (if applicable): Not Applicable
- Projected Action Start Date: 1 / 2022

- Action Purpose and Need:

The purpose of the Proposed Action is for the U.S. Air Force to provide the facilities and locations suitable for the establishment of two Air National Guard (ANG) Space Control Squadrons (SPCS) locations with access to geosynchronous satellites in the Pacific theater, which would consist of one offensive mission and one defensive mission. SPCS #4 is needed in order to meet the 2015 AFSPC/CC ARC Initiative priority to generate four additional ANG unit-equipped UTCs to meet CCMD needs for offensive space control. SPCS #5 is needed in order to meet the 2018 AFSPC/CC ARC Priority Memorandum to generate eight ANG unit-equipped UTCs to meet CCMD requirements for defensive space control.

- Action Description:

The Air Force is proposing to establish two ANG SPCSs. The first ANG SPCS, SPCS #4 (offensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations: JBPHH, HI; PMRF - Barking Sands, HI; or Andersen AFB, Guam. The second ANG SPCS, SPCS #5 (defensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations as identified above. Three alternatives are being considered: Alternative A considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at PMRF-Barking Sands, Kaua'i, Hawaii; Alternative B considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at JBPHH, O'ahu, Hawaii; and Alternative C considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at Andersen AFB, Guam. Alternative A would require: renovating 11,217 sf of an existing building and constructing an 813 sf addition to the existing building; and constructing a 5,000 sy equipment pad 2,500 sy parking lot, and an infiltration basin. Alternatives B and C would require the construction a 12,100 sf building; 5,000 sy equipment pad 2,500 sy parking lot; and an infiltration basin. Each alternative would require the beddown of additional personnel. SPCS #4 would require between 88 and 115 new ANG personnel in support of an offensive mission, and SPCS #5 would require the addition of between 62 and 105 ANG personnel in support of a defensive mission.

- Point of Contact

Name:	Drea Traeumer
Title:	Environmental Scientist
Organization:	Environmental Assessment Services
Email:	drea.traeumer@easbio.com
Phone Number:	775.750.0472

11001							
	Activity Type	Activity Title					
2.	Construction / Demolition	SPCS #4 construct 12,100 sf building.					
3.	Construction / Demolition	SPCS #4 construct 2,400 sy asphalt parking lot and 5,000 sy concrete					
		equipment pad.					
4.	Construction / Demolition	SPCS #4 construct 648 cubic yard infiltration basin					
5.	Personnel	SPCS #4 add 115 ANG personnel					

- Activity List: Alternative B - SPCS #4 JBPH-Hickam

Emission factors and air emission estimating methods come from the United States Air Force's Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Stationary Sources, Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Mobile Sources, and Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Transitory Sources.

2. Construction / Demolition

2.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

- Activity Location County: Honolulu Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA
- Activity Title: SPCS #4 construct 12,100 sf building.
- Activity Description:

Construct 12,100 sf building.

- Activity Start Date

Start Month:1Start Month:2022

- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	False
End Month:	12
End Month:	2022

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
VOC	0.293636
SO _x	0.002610
NO _x	0.796639
СО	1.075831
PM 10	0.057918

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.030022
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.000899
CO ₂ e	253.8

2.1 Site Grading Phase

2.1.1 Site Grading Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date

Start Month:	1
Start Quarter:	1
Start Year:	2022

- Phase Duration

Number of Month: 0 Number of Days: 5

2.1.2 Site Grading Phase Assumptions

- General Site Grading Information	
Area of Site to be Graded (ft ²):	12100
Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd ³):	224
Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd ³):	224

- Site Grading Default Settings Default Settings Used: Yes Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Graders Composite	1	6
Other Construction Equipment Composite	1	8
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite	1	6
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	7

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd ³):	20 (default)
Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile):	20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

2.1.3 Site Grading Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Graders Composite											
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e			
Emission Factors	0.0806	0.0014	0.4657	0.5731	0.0217	0.0217	0.0072	132.92			
Other Construction Equipment Composite											
	VOC	SOx	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e			
Emission Factors	0.0507	0.0012	0.2785	0.3488	0.0105	0.0105	0.0045	122.61			
Rubber Tired Dozen	Rubber Tired Dozers Composite										
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e			
Emission Factors	0.1919	0.0024	1.3611	0.7352	0.0536	0.0536	0.0173	239.51			
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite											
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e			
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884			

(chiele Liniuus) w (orner rips Linission ruevors (Srunis/inite)									
	VOC	SOx	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	NH ₃	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

2.1.4 Site Grading Phase Formula(s)

- Fugitive Dust Emissions per Phase

 $PM10_{FD} = (20 * ACRE * WD) / 2000$

PM10_{FD}: Fugitive Dust PM 10 Emissions (TONs)
20: Conversion Factor Acre Day to pounds (20 lb / 1 Acre Day)
ACRE: Total acres (acres)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs) NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = (HA_{OnSite} + HA_{OffSite}) * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) HA_{OnSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd³) HA_{OffSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd³) HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³) (1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³) HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile) 1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

2.2 Building Construction Phase

2.2.1 Building Construction Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date

Start Month:1Start Quarter:1Start Year:2022

- Phase Duration Number of Month: 12 Number of Days: 0

2.2.2 Building Construction Phase Assumptions

- General Building Construction Information

Building Category:Office or IndustrialArea of Building (ft²):12100Height of Building (ft):35Number of Units:N/A

Building Construction Default Settings
 Default Settings Used: Yes
 Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of	Hours Per Day
	Equipment	
Cranes Composite	1	4
Forklifts Composite	2	6
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	8

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

January 2022

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

- Vendor Trips

Average Vendor Round Trip Commute (mile): 40 (default)

- Vendor Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

2.2.3 Building Construction Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Cranes Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0797	0.0013	0.5505	0.3821	0.0203	0.0203	0.0071	128.81
Forklifts Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0274	0.0006	0.1265	0.2146	0.0043	0.0043	0.0024	54.457
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

2.2.4 Building Construction Phase Formula(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs) NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

VMT_{VE} = BA * BH * (0.42 / 1000) * HT

 $\begin{array}{l} VMT_{VE}: \ Vehicle \ Exhaust \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ BA: \ Area \ of \ Building \ (ft^2) \\ BH: \ Height \ of \ Building \ (ft) \\ (0.42 \ / \ 1000): \ Conversion \ Factor \ ft^3 \ to \ trips \ (0.42 \ trip \ / \ 1000 \ ft^3) \\ HT: \ Average \ Hauling \ Truck \ Round \ Trip \ Commute \ (mile/trip) \end{array}$

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vender Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VT} = BA * BH * (0.38 / 1000) * HT$

VMT_{VT}: Vender Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
BA: Area of Building (ft²)
BH: Height of Building (ft)
(0.38 / 1000): Conversion Factor ft³ to trips (0.38 trip / 1000 ft³)
HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VT}: Vender Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

2.3 Architectural Coatings Phase

2.3.1 Architectural Coatings Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Quarter: 1 Start Year: 2022
- Phase Duration Number of Month: 0

Number of Days: 10

2.3.2 Architectural Coatings Phase Assumptions

- General Architectural Coatings Information Building Category: Non-Residential Total Square Footage (ft²): 13090 Number of Units: N/A
- Architectural Coatings Default Settings Default Settings Used: Yes Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)
- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

2.3.3 Architectural Coatings Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

2.3.4 Architectural Coatings Phase Formula(s)

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = (1 * WT * PA) / 800$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
1: Conversion Factor man days to trips (1 trip / 1 man * day)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
PA: Paint Area (ft²)
800: Conversion Factor square feet to man days (1 ft² / 1 man * day)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Off-Gassing Emissions per Phase

 $VOC_{AC} = (AB * 2.0 * 0.0116) / 2000.0$

VOC_{AC}: Architectural Coating VOC Emissions (TONs)

BA: Area of Building (ft²)
2.0: Conversion Factor total area to coated area (2.0 ft² coated area / total area)
0.0116: Emission Factor (lb/ft²)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

3. Construction / Demolition

3.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

- Activity Location County: Honolulu Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA

- Activity Title: SPCS #4 construct 2,400 sy asphalt parking lot and 5,000 sy concrete equipment pad.

- Activity Description:

Construct 2,400 sy asphalt parking lot and 5,000 sy concrete equipment pad.

- Activity Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Month: 2022

- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	False
End Month:	1
End Month:	2022

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
VOC	0.020105
SO _x	0.000278
NO _x	0.113713
CO	0.113954
PM 10	0.226822

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.005406
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.000150
CO ₂ e	28.2

3.1 Site Grading Phase

3.1.1 Site Grading Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Quarter: 1 Start Year: 2022

Phase Duration
 Number of Month: 0
 Number of Days: 10

3.1.2 Site Grading Phase Assumptions

- General Site Grading Information	
Area of Site to be Graded (ft ²):	67500
Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd ³):	1250
Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd ³):	1250
 Site Grading Default Settings 	
---	-------------
Default Settings Used:	Yes
Average Day(s) worked per week:	5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of	Hours Per Day
	Equipment	
Graders Composite	1	6
Other Construction Equipment Composite	1	8
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite	1	6
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	7

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd ³):	20 (default)
Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile):	20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

3.1.3 Site Grading Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Graders Composite										
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e		
Emission Factors	0.0806	0.0014	0.4657	0.5731	0.0217	0.0217	0.0072	132.92		
Other Construction Equipment Composite										
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e		
Emission Factors	0.0507	0.0012	0.2785	0.3488	0.0105	0.0105	0.0045	122.61		
Rubber Tired Dozen	Rubber Tired Dozers Composite									
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e		
Emission Factors	0.1919	0.0024	1.3611	0.7352	0.0536	0.0536	0.0173	239.51		
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite										
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e		
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884		

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	NH ₃	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

3.1.4 Site Grading Phase Formula(s)

- Fugitive Dust Emissions per Phase

 $PM10_{FD} = (20 * ACRE * WD) / 2000$

PM10_{FD}: Fugitive Dust PM 10 Emissions (TONs)
20: Conversion Factor Acre Day to pounds (20 lb / 1 Acre Day)
ACRE: Total acres (acres)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs)
NE: Number of Equipment
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
H: Hours Worked per Day (hours)
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = (HA_{OnSite} + HA_{OffSite}) * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) HA_{OnSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd³) HA_{OffSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd³) HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³) (1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³) HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

3.2 Paving Phase

3.2.1	Paving	Phase	Timeline	Assumptions
-------	--------	-------	----------	-------------

- Phase Start Date	
Start Month:	1
Start Quarter:	1
Start Year:	2022

- Phase Duration
 Number of Month: 0
 Number of Days: 10
- **3.2.2 Paving Phase Assumptions**
- General Paving Information Paving Area (ft²): 67500
- Paving Default Settings Default Settings Used: Yes Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Cement and Mortar Mixers Composite	4	6
Pavers Composite	1	7
Paving Equipment Composite	2	6
Rollers Composite	1	7
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	7

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

3.2.3 Paving Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Graders Composite										
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e		
Emission Factors	0.0806	0.0014	0.4657	0.5731	0.0217	0.0217	0.0072	132.92		
Other Construction Equipment Composite										
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e		
Emission Factors	0.0507	0.0012	0.2785	0.3488	0.0105	0.0105	0.0045	122.61		

Environmental Assessment for Beddown for the SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 Basing Actions Draft

Rubber Tired Dozers Composite										
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e		
Emission Factors	0.1919	0.0024	1.3611	0.7352	0.0536	0.0536	0.0173	239.51		
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite										
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e		
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884		

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

			r			,			
	VOC	SOx	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

3.2.4 Paving Phase Formula(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs)
NE: Number of Equipment
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
H: Hours Worked per Day (hours)
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = PA * 0.25 * (1 / 27) * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
PA: Paving Area (ft²)
0.25: Thickness of Paving Area (ft)
(1 / 27): Conversion Factor cubic feet to cubic yards (1 yd³ / 27 ft³)
HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³)
(1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³)
HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile) 1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of WorksNE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{VE}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Off-Gassing Emissions per Phase

 $VOC_P = (2.62 * PA) / 43560$

VOC_P: Paving VOC Emissions (TONs)
2.62: Emission Factor (lb/acre)
PA: Paving Area (ft²)
43560: Conversion Factor square feet to acre (43560 ft2 / acre)² / acre)

4. Construction / Demolition

4.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

- Activity Location County: Honolulu Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA
- Activity Title: SPCS #4 construct 648 cubic yard infiltration basin

- Activity Description:

Construct 648 cubic yard infiltration basin to contain runoff from new impervious surface using 95th percentile design rainfall depth of 2.11 inches.

- Activity Start Date

Start Month:1Start Month:2022

- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	False
End Month:	1
End Month:	2022

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
VOC	0.015272
SO _x	0.000293
NO _x	0.082658
СО	0.112014
PM 10	0.525466

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.003352
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.000056
CO ₂ e	27.9

4.1 Trenching/Excavating Phase

4.1.1 Trenching / Excavating Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date		
Start Month: 1		
Start Quarter: 1		
Start Year: 2022	2	
- Phase Duration Number of Month: Number of Days:	0 20	
4.1.2 Trenching / Excavat	ting Phase Assumptions	
- General Trenching/Exc Area of Site to be Tre	avating Information enched/Excavated (ft ²):	79600
Amount of Material	to be Hauled On-Site (yd ³):	1
Amount of Material	to be Hauled Off-Site (yd ³):	648
- Trenching Default Setti	ngs	
Default Settings Used	d: Yes	
Average Day(s) work	xed per week: 5 (default)	
- Construction Exhaust (default)	

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Excavators Composite	2	8
Other General Industrial Equipment Composite	1	8
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	8

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd ³):	20 (default)
Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile):	20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

4.1.3 Trenching / Excavating Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

			1			/			
	VOC	SOx	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	NH ₃	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.569	000.008	000.606	005.120	000.008	000.007		000.034	00381.013
LDGT	000.807	000.010	001.051	008.641	000.009	000.008		000.034	00508.378
HDGV	001.513	000.016	002.777	026.893	000.020	000.018		000.046	00789.086
LDDV	000.207	000.003	000.305	003.836	000.006	000.006		000.008	00391.624
LDDT	000.520	000.005	000.815	007.812	000.008	000.008		000.008	00609.856
HDDV	000.593	000.014	006.848	002.466	000.375	000.345		000.026	01559.210
MC	002.959	000.008	000.696	014.613	000.026	000.023		000.049	00391.464

4.1.4 Trenching / Excavating Phase Formula(s)

- Fugitive Dust Emissions per Phase

PM10_{FD} = (20 * ACRE * WD) / 2000

PM10_{FD}: Fugitive Dust PM 10 Emissions (TONs)
20: Conversion Factor Acre Day to pounds (20 lb / 1 Acre Day)
ACRE: Total acres (acres)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs) NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = (HA_{OnSite} + HA_{OffSite}) * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) HA_{OnSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd³) HA_{OffSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd³) HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³) (1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³) HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VE}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

5. Personnel

5.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

- Add or Remove Activity from Baseline? Add
- Activity Location County: Honolulu Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA
- Activity Title: SPCS #4 add 115 ANG personnel

- Activity Description: SPCS #4 add 115 ANG personnel.

A -4'--'4-- C4---4 D-4-

- Activity Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Year: 2022

- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	Yes
End Month:	N/A
End Year:	N/A

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Emissions Per Year (TONs)
VOC	0.202667
SO _x	0.001385
NO _x	0.167359
СО	2.410054
PM 10	0.003476

5.2 Personnel Assumptions

-

Number of Personnel	
Active Duty Personnel:	0
Civilian Personnel:	0
Support Contractor Personnel:	0
Air National Guard (ANG) Personnel:	115
Reserve Personnel:	0

- Default Settings Used: Yes

- Average Personnel Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Personnel Work Schedule

Active Duty Personnel:	5 Days Per Week (default)
Civilian Personnel:	5 Days Per Week (default)
Support Contractor Personnel:	5 Days Per Week (default)
Air National Guard (ANG) Personnel:	4 Days Per Week (default)

Pollutant	Emissions Per Year (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.003137
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.012732
CO ₂ e	206.4

Reserve Personnel:

4 Days Per Month (default)

5.3 Personnel On Road Vehicle Mixture

- On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	37.55	60.32	0	0.03	0.2	0	1.9
GOVs	54.49	37.73	4.67	0	0	3.11	0

5.4 Personnel Emission Factor(s)

- On Road Vehicle Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SOx	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

5.5 Personnel Formula(s)

- Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel for Work Days per Year

 $VMT_P = NP * WD * AC$

VMT_P: Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles/year) NP: Number of Personnel WD: Work Days per Year AC: Average Commute (miles)

- Total Vehicle Miles Travel per Year

 $VMT_{Total} = VMT_{AD} + VMT_{C} + VMT_{SC} + VMT_{ANG} + VMT_{AFRC}$

VMT_{Total}: Total Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
 VMT_{AD}: Active Duty Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
 VMT_C: Civilian Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
 VMT_{SC}: Support Contractor Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
 VMT_{ANG}: Air National Guard Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
 VMT_{AFRC}: Reserve Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)

- Vehicle Emissions per Year

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{Total} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{Total}: Total Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Personnel On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

Alternative B: SPCS #5 JBPH-Hickam

1. General Information

Action Location
 Base: HICKAM AFB
 State: Hawaii
 County(s): Honolulu
 Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA

- Action Title: Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown for the Fourth (SPCS #4) and Fifth (SPCS #5) Basing Actions Pacific Missile Range Facility Barking Sands, Hawaii; Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam, Hawaii; Andersen Air Force Base, Guam
- **Project Number/s (if applicable):** Not Applicable
- Projected Action Start Date: 1 / 2022

- Action Purpose and Need:

The purpose of the Proposed Action is for the U.S. Air Force to provide the facilities and locations suitable for the establishment of two Air National Guard (ANG) Space Control Squadrons (SPCS) locations with access to geosynchronous satellites in the Pacific theater, which would consist of one offensive mission and one defensive mission. SPCS #4 is needed in order to meet the 2015 AFSPC/CC ARC Initiative priority to generate four additional ANG unit-equipped UTCs to meet CCMD needs for offensive space control. SPCS #5 is needed in order to meet the 2018 AFSPC/CC ARC Priority Memorandum to generate eight ANG unit-equipped UTCs to meet CCMD requirements for defensive space control.

- Action Description:

The Air Force is proposing to establish two ANG SPCSs. The first ANG SPCS, SPCS #4 (offensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations: JBPHH, HI; PMRF - Barking Sands, HI; or Andersen AFB, Guam. The second ANG SPCS, SPCS #5 (defensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations as identified above. Three alternatives are being considered: Alternative A considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at PMRF-Barking Sands, Kaua'i, Hawaii; Alternative B considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at JBPHH, O'ahu, Hawaii; and Alternative C considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at Andersen AFB, Guam. Alternative A would require: renovating 11,217 sf of an existing building and constructing an 813 sf addition to the existing building; and constructing a 5,000 sy equipment pad, 2,500 sy parking lot, and an infiltration basin. Alternatives B and C would require the construction a 12,100 sf building; 5,000 sy equipment pad 2,500 sy parking lot; and an infiltration basin. Each alternative would require the beddown of additional personnel. SPCS #4 would require between 88 and 115 new ANG personnel in support of an offensive mission, and SPCS #5 would require the addition of between 62 and 105 ANG personnel in support of a defensive mission.

- Point of Contact

Name:	Drea Traeumer
Title:	Environmental Scientist
Organization:	Environmental Assessment Services
Email:	drea.traeumer@easbio.com
Phone Number:	775.750.0472

	Activity Type	Activity Title
2.	Construction / Demolition	SPCS #5 construct 12,100 sf building.
3.	Construction / Demolition	SPCS #5 construct 2,400 sy asphalt parking lot and 5,000 sy concrete equipment pad.
4.	Construction / Demolition	SPCS #5 construct 648 cubic yard infiltration basin
5.	Personnel	SPCS #5 add 105 ANG personnel

- Activity List: Alternative B - SPCS #5 JBPH-Hickam

Emission factors and air emission estimating methods come from the United States Air Force's Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Stationary Sources, Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Mobile Sources, and Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Transitory Sources.

2. Construction / Demolition

2.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

- Activity Location County: Honolulu Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA
- Activity Title: SPCS #5 construct 12,100 sf building.
- Activity Description: Construct 12,100 sf building.
- Activity Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Month: 2022
- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	False
End Month:	12
End Month:	2022

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
VOC	0.293636
SO _x	0.002610
NO _x	0.796639
CO	1.075831
PM 10	0.057918

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.030022
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.000899
CO ₂ e	253.8

2.1 Site Grading Phase

2.1.1 Site Grading Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Quarter: 1 Start Year: 2022

Phase Duration
 Number of Month: 0
 Number of Days: 5

2.1.2 Site Grading Phase Assumptions

- General Site Grading Information	
Area of Site to be Graded (ft ²):	12100
Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd ³):	224
Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd ³):	224

- Site Grading Default Settings	
Default Settings Used:	Yes
Average Day(s) worked per week:	5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of	Hours Per Day
	Equipment	
Graders Composite	1	6
Other Construction Equipment Composite	1	8
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite	1	6
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	7

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd ³):	20 (default)
Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile):	20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

-	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

2.1.3 Site Grading Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Graders Composite										
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e		
Emission Factors	0.0806	0.0014	0.4657	0.5731	0.0217	0.0217	0.0072	132.92		
Other Construction	Other Construction Equipment Composite									
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e		
Emission Factors	0.0507	0.0012	0.2785	0.3488	0.0105	0.0105	0.0045	122.61		
Rubber Tired Dozen	Rubber Tired Dozers Composite									
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e		
Emission Factors	0.1919	0.0024	1.3611	0.7352	0.0536	0.0536	0.0173	239.51		
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite										
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e		
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884		

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	NH ₃	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

2.1.4 Site Grading Phase Formula(s)

- Fugitive Dust Emissions per Phase

 $PM10_{FD} = (20 * ACRE * WD) / 2000$

PM10_{FD}: Fugitive Dust PM 10 Emissions (TONs)
20: Conversion Factor Acre Day to pounds (20 lb / 1 Acre Day)
ACRE: Total acres (acres)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs)
NE: Number of Equipment
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
H: Hours Worked per Day (hours)
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = (HA_{OnSite} + HA_{OffSite}) * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) HA_{OnSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd³) HA_{OffSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd³) HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³) (1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³) HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

2.2 Building Construction Phase

2.2.1 Building Construction Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Quarter: 1 Start Year: 2022

- Phase Duration Number of Month: 12 Number of Days: 0
- 2.2.2 Building Construction Phase Assumptions

- General Building Construction Information

Building Category:	Office or Industrial
Area of Building (ft ²):	12100
Height of Building (ft):	35
Number of Units:	N/A

- Building Construction Default Settings Default Settings Used: Yes Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Cranes Composite	1	4
Forklifts Composite	2	6
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	8

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

LDGY LDGI IDGY LDD	VV LDDI HDDV MC	
POVs 0 0 0 0	0 100.00 0	

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

- Vendor Trips

Average Vendor Round Trip Commute (mile): 40 (default)

- Vendor Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

2.2.3 Building Construction Phase Emission Factor(s)

Cranes Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0797	0.0013	0.5505	0.3821	0.0203	0.0203	0.0071	128.81
Forklifts Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH4	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0274	0.0006	0.1265	0.2146	0.0043	0.0043	0.0024	54.457
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

2.2.4 Building Construction Phase Formula(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs)
NE: Number of Equipment
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
H: Hours Worked per Day (hours)
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = BA * BH * (0.42 / 1000) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
BA: Area of Building (ft²)
BH: Height of Building (ft)
(0.42 / 1000): Conversion Factor ft³ to trips (0.42 trip / 1000 ft³)
HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ VMT_{WT}: \ Worker \ Trips \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Worker \ Trips \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \\ 2000: \ Conversion \ Factor \ pounds \ to \ tons \end{array}$

- Vender Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VT} = BA * BH * (0.38 / 1000) * HT$

 $\begin{array}{l} VMT_{VT}: \ Vender \ Trips \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ BA: \ Area \ of \ Building \ (ft^2) \\ BH: \ Height \ of \ Building \ (ft) \\ (0.38 \ / \ 1000): \ Conversion \ Factor \ ft^3 \ to \ trips \ (0.38 \ trip \ / \ 1000 \ ft^3) \\ HT: \ Average \ Hauling \ Truck \ Round \ Trip \ Commute \ (mile/trip) \end{array}$

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VT}: Vender Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

2.3 Architectural Coatings Phase

2.3.1 Architectural Coatings Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Quarter: 1 Start Year: 2022
- Phase Duration
 Number of Month: 0
 Number of Days: 10

2.3.2 Architectural Coatings Phase Assumptions

- General Architectural Coatings Information Building Category: Non-Residential Total Square Footage (ft²): 13090 Number of Units: N/A
- Architectural Coatings Default Settings Default Settings Used: Yes

Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

2.3.3 Architectural Coatings Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

2.3.4 Architectural Coatings Phase Formula(s)

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = (1 * WT * PA) / 800$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
1: Conversion Factor man days to trips (1 trip / 1 man * day)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
PA: Paint Area (ft²)
800: Conversion Factor square feet to man days (1 ft² / 1 man * day)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Off-Gassing Emissions per Phase

 $VOC_{AC} = (AB * 2.0 * 0.0116) / 2000.0$

VOC_{AC}: Architectural Coating VOC Emissions (TONs)
BA: Area of Building (ft²)
2.0: Conversion Factor total area to coated area (2.0 ft² coated area / total area)
0.0116: Emission Factor (lb/ft²)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

3. Construction / Demolition

3.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

- Activity Location

County: Honolulu Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA

- Activity Title: SPCS #5 construct 2,400 sy asphalt parking lot and 5,000 sy concrete equipment pad.

- Activity Description:

Construct 2,400 sy asphalt parking lot and 5,000 sy concrete equipment pad.

- Activity Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Month: 2022

- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	False
End Month:	1
End Month:	2022

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
VOC	0.020105
SO _x	0.000278
NO _x	0.113713
CO	0.113954
PM 10	0.226822

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.005406
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.000150
CO ₂ e	28.2

3.1 Site Grading Phase

3.1.1 Site Grading Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date	tart Date	- Phase	-
--------------------	-----------	---------	---

Start Month:1Start Quarter:1Start Year:2022

Phase Duration
 Number of Month: 0
 Number of Days: 10

3.1.2 Site Grading Phase Assumptions

- General Site Grading Information	
Area of Site to be Graded (ft ²):	

Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd ³):	1250
Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd ³):	1250

- Site Grading Default Settings	
Default Settings Used:	Yes
Average Day(s) worked per week:	5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Graders Composite	1	6
Other Construction Equipment Composite	1	8
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite	1	6

67500

Environmental Assessment for Beddown for the SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 Basing Actions Draft

Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	7

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³):20 (default)Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile):20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

3.1.3 Site Grading Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Graders Composite												
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH4	CO ₂ e				
Emission Factors	0.0806	0.0014	0.4657	0.5731	0.0217	0.0217	0.0072	132.92				
Other Construction Equipment Composite												
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e				
Emission Factors	0.0507	0.0012	0.2785	0.3488	0.0105	0.0105	0.0045	122.61				
Rubber Tired Dozen	Rubber Tired Dozers Composite											
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH4	CO ₂ e				
Emission Factors	0.1919	0.0024	1.3611	0.7352	0.0536	0.0536	0.0173	239.51				
Tractors/Loaders/Ba	ackhoes Co	mposite										
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e				
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884				

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SOx	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

3.1.4 Site Grading Phase Formula(s)

- Fugitive Dust Emissions per Phase

 $PM10_{FD} = (20 * ACRE * WD) / 2000$

PM10_{FD}: Fugitive Dust PM 10 Emissions (TONs)
20: Conversion Factor Acre Day to pounds (20 lb / 1 Acre Day)
ACRE: Total acres (acres)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs) NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = (HA_{OnSite} + HA_{OffSite}) * (1 / HC) * HT$

 $\begin{array}{ll} VMT_{VE}: \mbox{ Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)} \\ HA_{OnSite}: \mbox{ Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd^3)} \\ HA_{OffSite}: \mbox{ Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd^3)} \\ HC: \mbox{ Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd^3)} \\ (1 / HC): \mbox{ Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd^3)} \\ HT: \mbox{ Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)} \end{array}$

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \mbox{ Vehicle Emissions (TONs)} \\ VMT_{VE}: \mbox{ Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)} \\ 0.002205: \mbox{ Conversion Factor grams to pounds} \\ EF_{POL}: \mbox{ Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)} \\ VM: \mbox{ Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (\%)} \\ 2000: \mbox{ Conversion Factor pounds to tons} \end{array}$

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

3.2 Paving Phase

3.2.1 Paving Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date

Start Month:1Start Quarter:1Start Year:2022

- Phase Duration

Number of Month: 0		
Number of Days: 10		
3.2.2 Paving Phase Assumptions		
- General Paving Information Paving Area (ft ²): 67500		
- Paving Default Settings		
Default Settings Used:	Yes	
Average Day(s) worked per week:	5 (default)	
- Construction Exhaust (default)		
Equipment Nat	me	Number Of
		Equipment

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Cement and Mortar Mixers Composite	4	6
Pavers Composite	1	7
Paving Equipment Composite	2	6
Rollers Composite	1	7
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	7

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

· emere Bin												
	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC					
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0					

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

3.2.3 Paving Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Graders Composite												
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e				
Emission Factors	0.0806	0.0014	0.4657	0.5731	0.0217	0.0217	0.0072	132.92				
Other Construction Equipment Composite												
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e				
Emission Factors	0.0507	0.0012	0.2785	0.3488	0.0105	0.0105	0.0045	122.61				
Rubber Tired Dozen	Rubber Tired Dozers Composite											
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e				
Emission Factors	0.1919	0.0024	1.3611	0.7352	0.0536	0.0536	0.0173	239.51				
Tractors/Loaders/Ba	ackhoes Co	mposite										
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e				
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884				

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713

Environmental Assessment for Beddown for the SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 Basing Actions Draft

HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013	000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004	000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006	000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152	000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023	000.053	00392.231

3.2.4 Paving Phase Formula(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs) NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = PA * 0.25 * (1 / 27) * (1 / HC) * HT$

 $\begin{array}{l} VMT_{VE}: \ Vehicle \ Exhaust \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ PA: \ Paving \ Area \ (ft^2) \\ 0.25: \ Thickness \ of \ Paving \ Area \ (ft) \\ (1 / 27): \ Conversion \ Factor \ cubic \ feet \ to \ cubic \ yards \ (1 \ yd^3 / 27 \ ft^3) \\ HC: \ Average \ Hauling \ Truck \ Capacity \ (yd^3) \\ (1 / HC): \ Conversion \ Factor \ cubic \ yards \ to \ trips \ (1 \ trip / HC \ yd^3) \\ HT: \ Average \ Hauling \ Truck \ Round \ Trip \ Commute \ (mile/trip) \\ \end{array}$

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ VMT_{VE}: \ Worker \ Trips \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Worker \ Trips \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \\ 2000: \ Conversion \ Factor \ pounds \ to \ tons \end{array}$

- Off-Gassing Emissions per Phase

 $VOC_P = (2.62 * PA) / 43560$

VOC_P: Paving VOC Emissions (TONs)
2.62: Emission Factor (lb/acre)
PA: Paving Area (ft²)
43560: Conversion Factor square feet to acre (43560 ft2 / acre)² / acre)

4. Construction / Demolition

4.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

- Activity Location County: Honolulu Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA

- Activity Title: SPCS #5 construct 648 cubic yard infiltration basin

- Activity Description:

Construct 648 cubic yard infiltration basin to contain runoff from new impervious surfaces using 95th percentile design rainfall depth of 2.11 inches.

- Activity Start Date

Start Month:1Start Month:2022

- Activity End Date

Indefinite:FalseEnd Month:1End Month:2022

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
VOC	0.015272
SO _x	0.000293
NO _x	0.082658
СО	0.112014
PM 10	0.525466

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.003352
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.000056
CO ₂ e	27.9

4.1 Trenching/Excavating Phase

4.1.1 Trenching / Excavating Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Quarter: 1 Start Year: 2022

- Phase Duration

Number of Month:0Number of Days:20

4.1.2 Trenching / Excavating Phase Assumptions

- General Trenching/Excavating Information	
Area of Site to be Trenched/Excavated (ft ²):	79600
Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd ³):	1
Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd ³):	648

- Trenching Default Settings Default Settings Used: Yes Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of	Hours Per Day
	Equipment	
Excavators Composite	2	8
Other General Industrial Equipment Composite	1	8
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	8

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd ³):	20 (default)
Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile):	20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

4.1.3 Trenching / Excavating Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.569	000.008	000.606	005.120	000.008	000.007		000.034	00381.013
LDGT	000.807	000.010	001.051	008.641	000.009	000.008		000.034	00508.378
HDGV	001.513	000.016	002.777	026.893	000.020	000.018		000.046	00789.086
LDDV	000.207	000.003	000.305	003.836	000.006	000.006		000.008	00391.624
LDDT	000.520	000.005	000.815	007.812	000.008	000.008		000.008	00609.856
HDDV	000.593	000.014	006.848	002.466	000.375	000.345		000.026	01559.210
MC	002.959	000.008	000.696	014.613	000.026	000.023		000.049	00391.464

4.1.4 Trenching / Excavating Phase Formula(s)

- Fugitive Dust Emissions per Phase

 $PM10_{FD} = (20 * ACRE * WD) / 2000$

PM10_{FD}: Fugitive Dust PM 10 Emissions (TONs)
20: Conversion Factor Acre Day to pounds (20 lb / 1 Acre Day)
ACRE: Total acres (acres)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs)
NE: Number of Equipment
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
H: Hours Worked per Day (hours)
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = (HA_{OnSite} + HA_{OffSite}) * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) HA_{OnSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd³) HA_{OffSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd³) HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³) (1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³) HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{VE}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

5. Personnel

5.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

- Add or Remove Activity from Baseline? Add

- Activity Location County: Honolulu Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA

- Activity Title: SPCS #5 add 105 ANG personnel

- Activity Description:

SPCS #5 add 105 ANG personnel.

- Activity Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Year: 2022

- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	Yes
End Month:	N/A
End Year:	N/A

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Emissions Per Year (TONs)
VOC	0.185044
SO _x	0.001265
NO _x	0.152806
CO	2.200484
PM 10	0.003174

5.2 Personnel Assumptions

- Number of Personnel	- Number	of Personnel	
-----------------------	----------	--------------	--

Active Duty Personnel:	0
Civilian Personnel:	0
Support Contractor Personnel:	0
Air National Guard (ANG) Personnel:	105
Reserve Personnel:	0

- Default Settings Used: Yes

- Average Personnel Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Personnel Work Schedule

Active Duty Personnel:	5 Days Per Week (default)
Civilian Personnel:	5 Days Per Week (default)
Support Contractor Personnel:	5 Days Per Week (default)
Air National Guard (ANG) Personnel:	4 Days Per Week (default)
Reserve Personnel:	4 Days Per Month (default)

5.3 Personnel On Road Vehicle Mixture

- On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	37.55	60.32	0	0.03	0.2	0	1.9
GOVs	54.49	37.73	4.67	0	0	3.11	0

5.4 Personnel Emission Factor(s)

- On Road Vehicle Emission Factors (grams/mile)

Pollutant	Emissions Per Year (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.002864
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.011625
CO ₂ e	188.4

Environmental Assessment for Beddown for the SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 Basing Actions Draft

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	000.280	000.002	000.208	003.467	000.005	000.005		000.023	00332.267
LDGT	000.373	000.003	000.374	004.989	000.007	000.006		000.024	00427.713
HDGV	000.801	000.005	000.972	016.626	000.015	000.013		000.046	00789.621
LDDV	000.079	000.003	000.127	002.707	000.004	000.004		000.008	00325.337
LDDT	000.218	000.004	000.362	004.629	000.007	000.006		000.008	00461.106
HDDV	000.300	000.013	003.537	001.358	000.165	000.152		000.026	01490.613
MC	002.824	000.003	000.676	013.057	000.025	000.023		000.053	00392.231

5.5 Personnel Formula(s)

- Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel for Work Days per Year $VMT_P = NP \ ^* \ WD \ ^* \ AC$

VMT_P: Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles/year) NP: Number of Personnel WD: Work Days per Year AC: Average Commute (miles)

- Total Vehicle Miles Travel per Year

 $VMT_{Total} = VMT_{AD} + VMT_{C} + VMT_{SC} + VMT_{ANG} + VMT_{AFRC}$

VMT_{Total}: Total Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
 VMT_{AD}: Active Duty Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
 VMT_C: Civilian Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
 VMT_{SC}: Support Contractor Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
 VMT_{ANG}: Air National Guard Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
 VMT_{AFRC}: Reserve Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)

- Vehicle Emissions per Year

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{Total} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ VMT_{Total}: \ Total \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Personnel \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \\ 2000: \ Conversion \ Factor \ pounds \ to \ tons \\ \end{array}$

Alternative C: SPCS #4 Andersen AFB

1. General Information

Action Location
 Base: ANDERSEN AFB
 State: Guam
 County(s): Guam
 Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA; Piti, GU; Tanguisson, GU; Piti-Cabras, GU

- Action Title: Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown for the Fourth (SPCS #4) and Fifth (SPCS #5) Basing Actions Pacific Missile Range Facility Barking Sands, Hawaii; Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam, Hawaii; Andersen Air Force Base, Guam
- Project Number/s (if applicable): Not Applicable
- Projected Action Start Date: 1 / 2022

- Action Purpose and Need:

The purpose of the Proposed Action is for the U.S. Air Force to provide the facilities and locations suitable for the establishment of two Air National Guard (ANG) Space Control Squadrons (SPCS) locations with access to geosynchronous satellites in the Pacific theater, which would consist of one offensive mission and one defensive mission. SPCS #4 is needed in order to meet the 2015 AFSPC/CC ARC Initiative priority to generate four additional ANG unit-equipped UTCs to meet CCMD needs for offensive space control. SPCS #5 is needed in order to meet the 2018 AFSPC/CC ARC Priority Memorandum to generate eight ANG unit-equipped UTCs to meet CCMD requirements for defensive space control.

- Action Description:

The Air Force is proposing to establish two ANG SPCSs. The first ANG SPCS, SPCS #4 (offensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations: JBPHH, HI; PMRF - Barking Sands, HI; or Andersen AFB, Guam. The second ANG SPCS, SPCS #5 (defensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations as identified above. Three alternatives are being considered: Alternative A considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at PMRF-Barking Sands, Kaua'i, Hawaii; Alternative B considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at JBPHH, O'ahu, Hawaii; and Alternative C considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at Andersen AFB, Guam. Alternative A would require: renovating 11,217 sf of an existing building and constructing an 813 sf addition to the existing building; and constructing a 5,000 sy equipment pad 2,500 sy parking lot, and an infiltration basin. Alternatives B and C would require the construction a 12,100 sf building; 5,000 sy equipment pad 2,500 sy parking lot; and an infiltration basin. Each alternative would require the beddown of additional personnel. SPCS #4 would require between 88 and 115 new ANG personnel in support of an offensive mission, and SPCS #5 would require the addition of between 62 and 105 ANG personnel in support of a defensive mission.

- Point of Contact

Name:	Drea Traeumer
Title:	Environmental Scientist
Organization:	Environmental Assessment Services
Email:	drea.traeumer@easbio.com
Phone Number:	775.750.0472

- Activity List: Alternative C - SPCS #4 Andersen AFB

	Activity Type	Activity Title
2.	Construction / Demolition	SPCS #4 construct 12,100 sf building.
3.	Construction / Demolition	SPCS #4 construct 2,400 sy asphalt parking lot and 5,000 sy concrete
		equipment pad.
4.	Construction / Demolition	SPCS #4 construct 676 cubic yard infiltration basin

5	Dersonnal	SDCS #4 add 115 ANG personnal
5.	reisonnei	SPCS #4 aud 115 ANO personner

Emission factors and air emission estimating methods come from the United States Air Force's Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Stationary Sources, Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Mobile Sources, and Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Transitory Sources.

2. Construction / Demolition

2.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

- Activity Location

```
County: Guam
```

Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA; Piti, GU; Piti-Cabras, GU; Tanguisson, GU

- Activity Title: SPCS #4 construct 12,100 sf building.
- Activity Description:

Construct 12,100 sf building.

- Activity Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Month: 2022
- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	False
End Month:	12
End Month:	2022

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
VOC	0.304601
SO _x	0.002760
NO _x	0.778769
CO	1.316896
PM 10	0.057443

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.029158
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.003447
CO ₂ e	248.9

2.1 Site Grading Phase

2.1.1 Site Grading Phase Timeline Assumptions

```
- Phase Start Date
```

Start Month:1Start Quarter:1Start Year:2022

Phase Duration
 Number of Month: 0
 Number of Days: 5

2.1.2 Site Grading Phase Assumptions

-	General	Site	Grading	Information	

Area of Site to be Graded (ft²):12100Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd³):224

Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd³): 224

- Site Grading Default Settings	
Default Settings Used:	Yes
Average Day(s) worked per week:	5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Graders Composite	1	6
Other Construction Equipment Composite	1	8
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite	1	6
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	7

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd ³):	20 (default)
Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile):	20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

2.1.3 Site Grading Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Graders Composite													
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH4	CO ₂ e					
Emission Factors	0.0806	0.0014	0.4657	0.5731	0.0217	0.0217	0.0072	132.92					
Other Construction Equipment Composite													
	VOC	SOx	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e					
Emission Factors	0.0507	0.0012	0.2785	0.3488	0.0105	0.0105	0.0045	122.61					
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite													
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e					
Emission Factors	0.1919	0.0024	1.3611	0.7352	0.0536	0.0536	0.0173	239.51					
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite													
	VOC	SOx	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e					
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884					

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDGT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
HDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDDT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
HDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
MC	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800

2.1.4 Site Grading Phase Formula(s)

- Fugitive Dust Emissions per Phase

 $PM10_{FD} = (20 * ACRE * WD) / 2000$

PM10_{FD}: Fugitive Dust PM 10 Emissions (TONs)
20: Conversion Factor Acre Day to pounds (20 lb / 1 Acre Day)
ACRE: Total acres (acres)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs) NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = (HA_{OnSite} + HA_{OffSite}) * (1 / HC) * HT$

 $\begin{array}{ll} VMT_{VE}: \mbox{ Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)} \\ HA_{OnSite}: \mbox{ Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd^3)} \\ HA_{OffSite}: \mbox{ Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd^3)} \\ HC: \mbox{ Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd^3)} \\ (1 / HC): \mbox{ Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd^3)} \\ HT: \mbox{ Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)} \end{array}$

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ VMT_{VE}: \ Vehicle \ Exhaust \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Vehicle \ Exhaust \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \\ 2000: \ Conversion \ Factor \ pounds \ to \ tons \end{array}$

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ VMT_{WT}: \ Worker \ Trips \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Worker \ Trips \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \end{array}$

2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

2.2 Building Construction Phase

2.2.1 Building Construction Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Quarter: 1 Start Year: 2022
- Phase Duration Number of Month: 12 Number of Days: 0
- 2.2.2 Building Construction Phase Assumptions

- General Building Construction Information

Building Category:	Office or Industrial
Area of Building (ft ²):	12100
Height of Building (ft):	29.75
Number of Units:	N/A

Building Construction Default Settings Default Settings Used: Yes Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of	Hours Per Day
	Equipment	
Cranes Composite	1	4
Forklifts Composite	2	6
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	8

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

- Vendor Trips

Average Vendor Round Trip Commute (mile): 40 (default)

- Vendor Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

2.2.3 Building Construction Phase Emission Factor(s)

Cranes Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0797	0.0013	0.5505	0.3821	0.0203	0.0203	0.0071	128.81
Forklifts Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0274	0.0006	0.1265	0.2146	0.0043	0.0043	0.0024	54.457
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDGT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
HDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDDT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
HDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
MC	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800

2.2.4 Building Construction Phase Formula(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs)
NE: Number of Equipment
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
H: Hours Worked per Day (hours)
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

VMT_{VE} = BA * BH * (0.42 / 1000) * HT

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
BA: Area of Building (ft²)
BH: Height of Building (ft)
(0.42 / 1000): Conversion Factor ft³ to trips (0.42 trip / 1000 ft³)
HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

January 2022

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ VMT_{WT}: \ Worker \ Trips \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Worker \ Trips \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \\ 2000: \ Conversion \ Factor \ pounds \ to \ tons \end{array}$

- Vender Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VT} = BA * BH * (0.38 / 1000) * HT$

VMT_{VT}: Vender Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
BA: Area of Building (ft²)
BH: Height of Building (ft)
(0.38 / 1000): Conversion Factor ft³ to trips (0.38 trip / 1000 ft³)
HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ VMT_{VT}: \ Vender \ Trips \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Worker \ Trips \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \\ 2000: \ Conversion \ Factor \ pounds \ to \ tons \end{array}$

2.3 Architectural Coatings Phase

2.3.1 Architectural Coatings Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Quarter: 1 Start Year: 2022

Phase Duration
 Number of Month: 0
 Number of Days: 10

2.3.2 Architectural Coatings Phase Assumptions

- General Architectural Coatings Information Building Category: Non-Residential Total Square Footage (ft²): 13090 Number of Units: N/A
- Architectural Coatings Default Settings Default Settings Used: Yes Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)								
	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV		
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0		

2.3.3 Architectural Coatings Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDGT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
HDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDDT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
HDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
MC	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800

2.3.4 Architectural Coatings Phase Formula(s)

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = (1 * WT * PA) / 800$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 1: Conversion Factor man days to trips (1 trip / 1 man * day) WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile) PA: Paint Area (ft²) 800: Conversion Factor square feet to man days (1 $ft^2 / 1 man * day$)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Off-Gassing Emissions per Phase

 $VOC_{AC} = (AB * 2.0 * 0.0116) / 2000.0$

VOC_{AC}: Architectural Coating VOC Emissions (TONs) BA: Area of Building (ft^2) 2.0: Conversion Factor total area to coated area (2.0 ft² coated area / total area) 0.0116: Emission Factor (lb/ft²) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

3. Construction / Demolition

3.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

- Activity Location

MC

0

County: Guam **Regulatory Area(s):** NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA; Piti, GU; Piti-Cabras, GU; Tanguisson, GU

- Activity Title: SPCS #4 construct 2,400 sy asphalt parking lot and 5,000 sy concrete equipment pad.
- Activity Description:

Construct 2,500 sy asphalt parking lot and 5,000 sy concrete equipment pad.

- Activity Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Month: 2022
- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	False
End Month:	1
End Month:	2022

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
VOC	0.022037
SO _x	0.000281
NO _x	0.103905
СО	0.160741
PM 10	0.226406

Pollutant Total Emissions (TONs) PM 2.5 0.004953 Pb 0.000000 NH₃ 0.000570 CO₂e 25.1

3.1 Site Grading Phase

3.1.1 Site Grading Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date

Start Month:1Start Quarter:1Start Year:2022

Phase Duration
 Number of Month: 0
 Number of Days: 10

3.1.2 Site Grading Phase Assumptions

- General Site Grading Information	
Area of Site to be Graded (ft ²):	67500
Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd ³):	1250
Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd ³):	1250
-	

- Site Grading Default Settings Default Settings Used: Yes Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Graders Composite	1	6
Other Construction Equipment Composite	1	8
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite	1	6
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	7
- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³):20 (default)Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile):20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

3.1.3 Site Grading Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Graders Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0806	0.0014	0.4657	0.5731	0.0217	0.0217	0.0072	132.92
Other Construction	Equipment	Composite	e					
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0507	0.0012	0.2785	0.3488	0.0105	0.0105	0.0045	122.61
Rubber Tired Dozen	s Composit	te						
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.1919	0.0024	1.3611	0.7352	0.0536	0.0536	0.0173	239.51
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SOx	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDGT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
HDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDDT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
HDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
MC	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800

3.1.4 Site Grading Phase Formula(s)

- Fugitive Dust Emissions per Phase

 $PM10_{FD} = (20 * ACRE * WD) / 2000$

PM10_{FD}: Fugitive Dust PM 10 Emissions (TONs)
20: Conversion Factor Acre Day to pounds (20 lb / 1 Acre Day)
ACRE: Total acres (acres)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs) NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = (HA_{OnSite} + HA_{OffSite}) * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) HA_{OnSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd³) HA_{OffSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd³) HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³) (1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³) HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

3.2 Paving Phase

3.2.1 Paving Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Quarter: 1 Start Year: 2022

- Phase Duration Number of Month: 0 Number of Days: 10

- **3.2.2 Paving Phase Assumptions**
- General Paving Information Paving Area (ft²): 67500
- Paving Default Settings

Default Settings Used:YesAverage Day(s) worked per week:5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Cement and Mortar Mixers Composite	4	6
Pavers Composite	1	7
Paving Equipment Composite	2	6
Rollers Composite	1	7
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	7

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

3.2.3 Paving Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Graders Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0806	0.0014	0.4657	0.5731	0.0217	0.0217	0.0072	132.92
Other Construction	Equipment	t Composite	e					
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0507	0.0012	0.2785	0.3488	0.0105	0.0105	0.0045	122.61
Rubber Tired Dozen	s Composit	te						
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.1919	0.0024	1.3611	0.7352	0.0536	0.0536	0.0173	239.51
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDGT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
HDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800

LDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140	00.0950	00500.800
LDDT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140	00.0950	00500.800
HDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140	00.0950	00500.800
MC	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140	00.0950	00500.800

3.2.4 Paving Phase Formula(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs) NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = PA * 0.25 * (1 / 27) * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
PA: Paving Area (ft²)
0.25: Thickness of Paving Area (ft)
(1 / 27): Conversion Factor cubic feet to cubic yards (1 yd³ / 27 ft³)
HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³)
(1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³)
HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ VMT_{VE}: \ Worker \ Trips \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Worker \ Trips \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \\ 2000: \ Conversion \ Factor \ pounds \ to \ tons \end{array}$

- Off-Gassing Emissions per Phase

 $VOC_P = (2.62 * PA) / 43560$

VOC_P: Paving VOC Emissions (TONs)
2.62: Emission Factor (lb/acre)
PA: Paving Area (ft²)
43560: Conversion Factor square feet to acre (43560 ft2 / acre)² / acre)

4. Construction / Demolition

4.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

 Activity Location County: Guam Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA; Piti, GU; Piti-Cabras, GU; Tanguisson, GU

- Activity Title: SPCS #4 construct 676 cubic yard infiltration basin

- Activity Description:

Construct 676 cubic yard infiltration basin to contain runoff from new impervious surfaces using 95th percentile design rainfall depth of 2.20 inches.

- Activity Start Date

Start Month:1Start Month:2022

- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	False
End Month:	1
End Month:	2022

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
VOC	0.016012
SO _x	0.000301
NO _x	0.080876
CO	0.128463
PM 10	0.525403

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.003267
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.000221
CO ₂ e	27.4

4.1 Trenching/Excavating Phase

4.1.1 Trenching / Excavating Phase Timeline Assumptions

```
- Phase Start Date
Start Month: 1
Start Quarter: 1
Start Year: 2022
```

Phase Duration
 Number of Month: 0
 Number of Days: 20

4.1.2 Trenching / Excavating Phase Assumptions

- General Trenching/Excavating Information

Area of Site to be Trenched/Excavated (ft ²):	79600
Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd ³):	1
Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd ³):	676

- Trenching Default Settings Default Settings Used: Yes Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Excavators Composite	2	8
Other General Industrial Equipment Composite	1	8
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	8

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³):20 (default)Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile):20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

4.1.3 Trenching / Excavating Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SOx	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	NH ₃	CO ₂ e
LDGV	00.7810	00.0090	00.7260	11.5710	00.0300	00.0160		00.0950	00495.600
LDGT	00.7810	00.0090	00.7260	11.5710	00.0300	00.0160		00.0950	00495.600
HDGV	00.7810	00.0090	00.7260	11.5710	00.0300	00.0160		00.0950	00495.600
LDDV	00.7810	00.0090	00.7260	11.5710	00.0300	00.0160		00.0950	00495.600
LDDT	00.7810	00.0090	00.7260	11.5710	00.0300	00.0160		00.0950	00495.600
HDDV	00.7810	00.0090	00.7260	11.5710	00.0300	00.0160		00.0950	00495.600
MC	00.7810	00.0090	00.7260	11.5710	00.0300	00.0160		00.0950	00495.600

4.1.4 Trenching / Excavating Phase Formula(s)

- Fugitive Dust Emissions per Phase

 $PM10_{FD} = (20 * ACRE * WD) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{ll} PM10_{FD}: \ Fugitive \ Dust \ PM \ 10 \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ 20: \ Conversion \ Factor \ Acre \ Day \ to \ pounds \ (20 \ lb \ / \ 1 \ Acre \ Day) \\ ACRE: \ Total \ acres \ (acres) \\ WD: \ Number \ of \ Total \ Work \ Days \ (days) \\ 2000: \ Conversion \ Factor \ pounds \ to \ tons \end{array}$

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs) NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = (HA_{OnSite} + HA_{OffSite}) * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) HA_{OnSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd³) HA_{OffSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd³) HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³) (1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³) HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VE}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

5. Personnel

5.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

- Add or Remove Activity from Baseline? Add
- Activity Location

County: Guam Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA; Piti, GU; Piti-Cabras, GU; Tanguisson, GU

- Activity Title: SPCS #4 add 115 ANG personnel

- Activity Description:

SPCS #4 add 115 ANG personnel.

- Activity Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Year: 2022

- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	Yes
End Month:	N/A
End Year:	N/A

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Emissions Per Year (TONs)
VOC	0.333867
SO _x	0.004747
NO _x	0.274267
CO	5.471094
PM 10	0.014768

Pollutant	Emissions Per Year (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.007384
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.050106
CO ₂ e	264.1

5.2 Personnel Assumptions

- Number of Personnel	
Active Duty Personnel:	0
Civilian Personnel:	0
Support Contractor Personnel:	0
Air National Guard (ANG) Personnel:	115
Reserve Personnel:	0

- Default Settings Used: Yes

- Average Personnel Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Personnel Work Schedule

reisonner work benedule	
Active Duty Personnel:	5 Days Per Week (default)
Civilian Personnel:	5 Days Per Week (default)
Support Contractor Personnel:	5 Days Per Week (default)
Air National Guard (ANG) Personnel:	4 Days Per Week (default)
Reserve Personnel:	4 Days Per Month (default)

5.3 Personnel On Road Vehicle Mixture

- On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	37.55	60.32	0	0.03	0.2	0	1.9
GOVs	54.49	37.73	4.67	0	0	3.11	0

5.4 Personnel Emission Factor(s)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	NH ₃	CO ₂ e
LDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDGT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
HDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDDT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
HDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
MC	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800

- On Road Vehicle Emission Factors (grams/mile)

5.5 Personnel Formula(s)

- Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel for Work Days per Year $VMT_{P} = NP \ensuremath{\,^{\circ}} WD \ensuremath{\,^{\circ}} AC$

VMT_P: Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles/year) NP: Number of Personnel WD: Work Days per Year

WD: Work Days per Year

AC: Average Commute (miles)

- Total Vehicle Miles Travel per Year

 $VMT_{Total} = VMT_{AD} + VMT_{C} + VMT_{SC} + VMT_{ANG} + VMT_{AFRC}$

VMT_{Total}: Total Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
VMT_{AD}: Active Duty Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
VMT_c: Civilian Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
VMT_{SC}: Support Contractor Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
VMT_{ANG}: Air National Guard Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
VMT_{AFRC}: Reserve Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)

- Vehicle Emissions per Year

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{Total} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{Total}: Total Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Personnel On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

Alternative C: SPCS #5 Andersen AFB

1. General Information

Action Location
 Base: ANDERSEN AFB
 State: Guam
 County(s): Guam
 Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA; Piti, GU; Tanguisson, GU; Piti-Cabras, GU

- Action Title: Space Control Squadron (SPCS) Beddown for the Fourth (SPCS #4) and Fifth (SPCS #5) Basing Actions Pacific Missile Range Facility Barking Sands, Hawaii; Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam, Hawaii; Andersen Air Force Base, Guam
- Project Number/s (if applicable): Not Applicable
- Projected Action Start Date: 1 / 2022

- Action Purpose and Need:

The purpose of the Proposed Action is for the U.S. Air Force to provide the facilities and locations suitable for the establishment of two Air National Guard (ANG) Space Control Squadrons (SPCS) locations with access to geosynchronous satellites in the Pacific theater, which would consist of one offensive mission and one defensive mission. SPCS #4 is needed in order to meet the 2015 AFSPC/CC ARC Initiative priority to generate four additional ANG unit-equipped UTCs to meet CCMD needs for offensive space control. SPCS #5 is needed in order to meet the 2018 AFSPC/CC ARC Priority Memorandum to generate eight ANG unit-equipped UTCs to meet CCMD requirements for defensive space control.

- Action Description:

The Air Force is proposing to establish two ANG SPCSs. The first ANG SPCS, SPCS #4 (offensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations: JBPHH, HI; PMRF - Barking Sands, HI; or Andersen AFB, Guam. The second ANG SPCS, SPCS #5 (defensive mission), would be located at one of three candidate locations as identified above. Three alternatives are being considered: Alternative A considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at PMRF-Barking Sands, Kaua'i, Hawaii; Alternative B considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at JBPHH, O'ahu, Hawaii; and Alternative C considers beddown for SPCS #4 and SPCS #5 basing actions at Andersen AFB, Guam. Alternative A would require: renovating 11,217 sf of an existing building and constructing an 813 sf addition to the existing building; and constructing a 5,000 sy equipment pad 2,500 sy parking lot, and an infiltration basin. Alternatives B and C would require the construction a 12,100 sf building; 5,000 sy equipment pad 2,500 sy parking lot; and an infiltration basin. Each alternative would require the beddown of additional personnel. SPCS #4 would require between 88 and 115 new ANG personnel in support of an offensive mission, and SPCS #5 would require the addition of between 62 and 105 ANG personnel in support of a defensive mission.

- Point of Contact

Name:	Drea Traeumer
Title:	Environmental Scientist
Organization:	Environmental Assessment Services
Email:	drea.traeumer@easbio.com
Phone Number:	775.750.0472

- Activity List: Alternative C - SPCS #5 Andersen AFB

	Activity Type	Activity Title
2.	Construction / Demolition	SPCS #5 construct 12,100 sf building.
3.	Construction / Demolition	SPCS #5 construct 2,400 sy asphalt parking lot and 5,000 sy concrete
		equipment pad.
4.	Construction / Demolition	SPCS #5 construct 676 cubic yard infiltration basin

5.	Personnel	SPCS #5 add 105 ANG personnel

Emission factors and air emission estimating methods come from the United States Air Force's Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Stationary Sources, Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Mobile Sources, and Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Transitory Sources.

2. Construction / Demolition

2.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

- Activity Location

```
County: Guam
```

Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA; Piti, GU; Piti-Cabras, GU; Tanguisson, GU

- Activity Title: SPCS #5 construct 12,100 sf building.
- Activity Description:

Construct 12,100 sf building.

- Activity Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Month: 2022
- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	False
End Month:	12
End Month:	2022

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
VOC	0.304601
SO _x	0.002760
NO _x	0.778769
СО	1.316896
PM 10	0.057443

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.029158
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.003447
CO ₂ e	248.9

2.1 Site Grading Phase

2.1.1 Site Grading Phase Timeline Assumptions

```
- Phase Start Date
```

Start Month:1Start Quarter:1Start Year:2022

- Phase Duration Number of Month: 0 Number of Days: 5
- 2.1.2 Site Grading Phase Assumptions

- General	Site Grading Information
1 100	of Site to be Creded (ft2).

Area of Site to be Graded (ft²):12100Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd³):224

Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd³): 224

- Site Grading Default Settings	
Default Settings Used:	Yes
Average Day(s) worked per week:	5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Graders Composite	1	6
Other Construction Equipment Composite	1	8
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite	1	6
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	7

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd ³):	20 (default)
Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile):	20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

2.1.3 Site Grading Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Graders Composite										
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e		
Emission Factors	0.0806	0.0014	0.4657	0.5731	0.0217	0.0217	0.0072	132.92		
Other Construction Equipment Composite										
	VOC	SOx	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e		
Emission Factors	0.0507	0.0012	0.2785	0.3488	0.0105	0.0105	0.0045	122.61		
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite										
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e		
Emission Factors	0.1919	0.0024	1.3611	0.7352	0.0536	0.0536	0.0173	239.51		
Tractors/Loaders/Ba	ackhoes Co	mposite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e		
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884		

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDGT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
HDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDDT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
HDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
MC	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800

2.1.4 Site Grading Phase Formula(s)

- Fugitive Dust Emissions per Phase

 $PM10_{FD} = (20 * ACRE * WD) / 2000$

PM10_{FD}: Fugitive Dust PM 10 Emissions (TONs)
20: Conversion Factor Acre Day to pounds (20 lb / 1 Acre Day)
ACRE: Total acres (acres)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs)
NE: Number of Equipment
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
H: Hours Worked per Day (hours)
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = (HA_{OnSite} + HA_{OffSite}) * (1 / HC) * HT$

 $\begin{array}{ll} VMT_{VE}: \mbox{ Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)} \\ HA_{OnSite}: \mbox{ Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd^3)} \\ HA_{OffSite}: \mbox{ Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd^3)} \\ HC: \mbox{ Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd^3)} \\ (1 / HC): \mbox{ Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd^3)} \\ HT: \mbox{ Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)} \end{array}$

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ VMT_{VE}: \ Vehicle \ Exhaust \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Vehicle \ Exhaust \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \\ 2000: \ Conversion \ Factor \ pounds \ to \ tons \end{array}$

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ VMT_{WT}: \ Worker \ Trips \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Worker \ Trips \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \end{array}$

2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

2.2 Building Construction Phase

2.2.1 Building Construction Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Quarter: 1 Start Year: 2022
- Phase Duration Number of Month: 12 Number of Days: 0
- 2.2.2 Building Construction Phase Assumptions

- General Building Construction Information

Building Category:	Office or Industrial
Area of Building (ft ²):	12100
Height of Building (ft):	29.75
Number of Units:	N/A

Building Construction Default Settings Default Settings Used: Yes Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Cranes Composite	1	4
Forklifts Composite	2	6
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	8

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

- Vendor Trips

Average Vendor Round Trip Commute (mile): 40 (default)

- Vendor Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

2.2.3 Building Construction Phase Emission Factor(s)

Cranes Composite										
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e		
Emission Factors	0.0797	0.0013	0.5505	0.3821	0.0203	0.0203	0.0071	128.81		
Forklifts Composite										
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e		
Emission Factors	0.0274	0.0006	0.1265	0.2146	0.0043	0.0043	0.0024	54.457		
Tractors/Loaders/Ba	ackhoes Co	mposite								
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e		
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884		

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDGT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
HDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDDT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
HDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
MC	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800

2.2.4 Building Construction Phase Formula(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs)
NE: Number of Equipment
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
H: Hours Worked per Day (hours)
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

VMT_{VE} = BA * BH * (0.42 / 1000) * HT

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
BA: Area of Building (ft²)
BH: Height of Building (ft)
(0.42 / 1000): Conversion Factor ft³ to trips (0.42 trip / 1000 ft³)
HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

January 2022

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

V_{POL}: Vehicle Emissions (TONs)
VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds
EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile)
VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vender Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VT} = BA * BH * (0.38 / 1000) * HT$

VMT_{VT}: Vender Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
BA: Area of Building (ft²)
BH: Height of Building (ft)
(0.38 / 1000): Conversion Factor ft³ to trips (0.38 trip / 1000 ft³)
HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VT}: Vender Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

2.3 Architectural Coatings Phase

2.3.1 Architectural Coatings Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Quarter: 1 Start Year: 2022

Phase Duration
 Number of Month: 0
 Number of Days: 10

2.3.2 Architectural Coatings Phase Assumptions

- General Architectural Coatings Information Building Category: Non-Residential Total Square Footage (ft²): 13090 Number of Units: N/A
- Architectural Coatings Default Settings Default Settings Used: Yes Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

HDDV

0

MC

0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

 Worker Trij 	- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)											
	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT							
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0							

2.3.3 Architectural Coatings Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDGT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
HDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDDT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
HDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
MC	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800

2.3.4 Architectural Coatings Phase Formula(s)

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = (1 * WT * PA) / 800$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
1: Conversion Factor man days to trips (1 trip / 1 man * day)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
PA: Paint Area (ft²)
800: Conversion Factor square feet to man days (1 ft² / 1 man * day)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ VMT_{WT}: \ Worker \ Trips \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Worker \ Trips \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \\ 2000: \ Conversion \ Factor \ pounds \ to \ tons \end{array}$

- Off-Gassing Emissions per Phase

 $VOC_{AC} = (AB * 2.0 * 0.0116) / 2000.0$

VOC_{AC}: Architectural Coating VOC Emissions (TONs)
BA: Area of Building (ft²)
2.0: Conversion Factor total area to coated area (2.0 ft² coated area / total area)
0.0116: Emission Factor (lb/ft²)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

3. Construction / Demolition

3.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

- Activity Location

County: Guam **Regulatory Area(s):** NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA; Piti, GU; Piti-Cabras, GU; Tanguisson, GU

- Activity Title: SPCS #5 construct 2,400 sy asphalt parking lot and 5,000 sy concrete equipment pad.
- Activity Description:

Construct 2,400 sy asphalt parking lot and 5,000 sy concrete equipment pad.

- Activity Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Month: 2022
- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	False
End Month:	1
End Month:	2022

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
VOC	0.022037
SO _x	0.000281
NO _x	0.103905
CO	0.160741
PM 10	0.226406

Pollutant Total Emissions (TONs) PM 2.5 0.004953 Pb 0.000000 NH₃ 0.000570 CO₂e 25.1

3.1 Site Grading Phase

3.1.1 Site Grading Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date

Start Month:1Start Quarter:1Start Year:2022

Phase Duration
 Number of Month: 0
 Number of Days: 10

3.1.2 Site Grading Phase Assumptions

- General Site Grading Information	
Area of Site to be Graded (ft ²):	67500
Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd ³):	1250
Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd ³):	1250

- Site Grading Default Settings Default Settings Used: Yes Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Graders Composite	1	6
Other Construction Equipment Composite	1	8
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite	1	6
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	7

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³):20 (default)Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile):20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC	ĺ
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0	

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

3.1.3 Site Grading Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Graders Composite												
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e				
Emission Factors	0.0806	0.0014	0.4657	0.5731	0.0217	0.0217	0.0072	132.92				
Other Construction Equipment Composite												
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e				
Emission Factors	0.0507	0.0012	0.2785	0.3488	0.0105	0.0105	0.0045	122.61				
Rubber Tired Dozers Composite												
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e				
Emission Factors	0.1919	0.0024	1.3611	0.7352	0.0536	0.0536	0.0173	239.51				
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite												
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e				
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884				

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SOx	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDGT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
HDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDDT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
HDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
MC	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800

3.1.4 Site Grading Phase Formula(s)

- Fugitive Dust Emissions per Phase

 $PM10_{FD} = (20 * ACRE * WD) / 2000$

PM10_{FD}: Fugitive Dust PM 10 Emissions (TONs)
20: Conversion Factor Acre Day to pounds (20 lb / 1 Acre Day)
ACRE: Total acres (acres)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs) NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = (HA_{OnSite} + HA_{OffSite}) * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) HA_{OnSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd³) HA_{OffSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd³) HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³) (1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³) HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

3.2 Paving Phase

3.2.1 Paving Phase Timeline Assumptions

- Phase Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Quarter: 1 Start Year: 2022

- Phase Duration Number of Month: 0 Number of Days: 10

- **3.2.2 Paving Phase Assumptions**
- General Paving Information Paving Area (ft²): 67500
- Paving Default Settings

Default Settings Used:YesAverage Day(s) worked per week:5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of	Hours Per Day
	Equipment	
Cement and Mortar Mixers Composite	4	6
Pavers Composite	1	7
Paving Equipment Composite	2	6
Rollers Composite	1	7
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	7

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

3.2.3 Paving Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

Graders Composite													
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e					
Emission Factors	0.0806	0.0014	0.4657	0.5731	0.0217	0.0217	0.0072	132.92					
Other Construction Equipment Composite													
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e					
Emission Factors	0.0507	0.0012	0.2785	0.3488	0.0105	0.0105	0.0045	122.61					
Rubber Tired Dozer	Rubber Tired Dozers Composite												
	VOC	SOx	NOx	СО	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e					
Emission Factors	0.1919	0.0024	1.3611	0.7352	0.0536	0.0536	0.0173	239.51					
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite													
	VOC	SOx	NOx	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	CH ₄	CO ₂ e					
Emission Factors	0.0383	0.0007	0.2301	0.3598	0.0095	0.0095	0.0034	66.884					

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDGT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
HDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800

LDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140	00.0950	00500.800
LDDT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140	00.0950	00500.800
HDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140	00.0950	00500.800
MC	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140	00.0950	00500.800

3.2.4 Paving Phase Formula(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000$

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs) NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = PA * 0.25 * (1 / 27) * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
PA: Paving Area (ft²)
0.25: Thickness of Paving Area (ft)
(1 / 27): Conversion Factor cubic feet to cubic yards (1 yd³ / 27 ft³)
HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³)
(1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³)
HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Vehicle Exhaust On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VE}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Off-Gassing Emissions per Phase

 $VOC_P = (2.62 * PA) / 43560$

VOC_P: Paving VOC Emissions (TONs)
2.62: Emission Factor (lb/acre)
PA: Paving Area (ft²)
43560: Conversion Factor square feet to acre (43560 ft2 / acre)² / acre)

4. Construction / Demolition

4.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

 Activity Location County: Guam Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA; Piti, GU; Piti-Cabras, GU; Tanguisson, GU

- Activity Title: SPCS #5 construct 676 cubic yard infiltration basin

- Activity Description:

Construct 676 cubic yard infiltration basin to contain runoff from new impervious surfaces using 95th percentile design rainfall depth of 2.20 inches.

- Activity Start Date

Start Month:1Start Month:2022

- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	False
End Month:	1
End Month:	2022

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
VOC	0.015993
SO _x	0.000301
NO _x	0.080860
CO	0.128142
PM 10	0.525403

Pollutant	Total Emissions (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.003266
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.000218
CO ₂ e	27.4

4.1 Trenching/Excavating Phase

4.1.1 Trenching / Excavating Phase Timeline Assumptions

```
- Phase Start Date
Start Month: 1
Start Quarter: 1
Start Year: 2022
```

Phase Duration
 Number of Month: 0
 Number of Days: 20

4.1.2 Trenching / Excavating Phase Assumptions

- General Trenching/Excavating Information

Area of Site to be Trenched/Excavated (ft ²):	79600
Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd ³):	1
Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd ³):	648

- Trenching Default Settings Default Settings Used: Yes Average Day(s) worked per week: 5 (default)

- Construction Exhaust (default)

Equipment Name	Number Of Equipment	Hours Per Day
Excavators Composite	2	8
Other General Industrial Equipment Composite	1	8
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Composite	1	8

- Vehicle Exhaust

Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³):20 (default)Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile):20 (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	0	0	0	0	0	100.00	0

- Worker Trips

Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Worker Trips Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	50.00	50.00	0	0	0	0	0

4.1.3 Trenching / Excavating Phase Emission Factor(s)

- Construction Exhaust Emission Factors (lb/hour) (default)

- Vehicle Exhaust & Worker Trips Emission Factors (grams/mile)

	VOC	SOx	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	NH ₃	CO ₂ e
LDGV	00.7810	00.0090	00.7260	11.5710	00.0300	00.0160		00.0950	00495.600
LDGT	00.7810	00.0090	00.7260	11.5710	00.0300	00.0160		00.0950	00495.600
HDGV	00.7810	00.0090	00.7260	11.5710	00.0300	00.0160		00.0950	00495.600
LDDV	00.7810	00.0090	00.7260	11.5710	00.0300	00.0160		00.0950	00495.600
LDDT	00.7810	00.0090	00.7260	11.5710	00.0300	00.0160		00.0950	00495.600
HDDV	00.7810	00.0090	00.7260	11.5710	00.0300	00.0160		00.0950	00495.600
MC	00.7810	00.0090	00.7260	11.5710	00.0300	00.0160		00.0950	00495.600

4.1.4 Trenching / Excavating Phase Formula(s)

- Fugitive Dust Emissions per Phase

 $PM10_{FD} = (20 * ACRE * WD) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{ll} PM10_{FD}: \ Fugitive \ Dust \ PM \ 10 \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ 20: \ Conversion \ Factor \ Acre \ Day \ to \ pounds \ (20 \ lb \ / \ 1 \ Acre \ Day) \\ ACRE: \ Total \ acres \ (acres) \\ WD: \ Number \ of \ Total \ Work \ Days \ (days) \\ 2000: \ Conversion \ Factor \ pounds \ to \ tons \end{array}$

- Construction Exhaust Emissions per Phase CEE_{POL} = (NE * WD * H * EF_{POL}) / 2000

CEE_{POL}: Construction Exhaust Emissions (TONs) NE: Number of Equipment WD: Number of Total Work Days (days) H: Hours Worked per Day (hours) EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (lb/hour) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

- Vehicle Exhaust Emissions per Phase

 $VMT_{VE} = (HA_{OnSite} + HA_{OffSite}) * (1 / HC) * HT$

VMT_{VE}: Vehicle Exhaust Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) HA_{OnSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled On-Site (yd³) HA_{OffSite}: Amount of Material to be Hauled Off-Site (yd³) HC: Average Hauling Truck Capacity (yd³) (1 / HC): Conversion Factor cubic yards to trips (1 trip / HC yd³) HT: Average Hauling Truck Round Trip Commute (mile/trip)

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{VE} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 $\begin{array}{l} V_{POL}: \ Vehicle \ Emissions \ (TONs) \\ VMT_{VE}: \ Vehicle \ Exhaust \ Vehicle \ Miles \ Travel \ (miles) \\ 0.002205: \ Conversion \ Factor \ grams \ to \ pounds \\ EF_{POL}: \ Emission \ Factor \ for \ Pollutant \ (grams/mile) \\ VM: \ Vehicle \ Exhaust \ On \ Road \ Vehicle \ Mixture \ (\%) \\ 2000: \ Conversion \ Factor \ pounds \ to \ tons \end{array}$

- Worker Trips Emissions per Phase $VMT_{WT} = WD * WT * 1.25 * NE$

VMT_{WT}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
WD: Number of Total Work Days (days)
WT: Average Worker Round Trip Commute (mile)
1.25: Conversion Factor Number of Construction Equipment to Number of Works
NE: Number of Construction Equipment

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{WT} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{VE}: Worker Trips Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Worker Trips On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons

5. Personnel

5.1 General Information & Timeline Assumptions

- Add or Remove Activity from Baseline? Add
- Activity Location

County: Guam Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA; Piti, GU; Piti-Cabras, GU; Tanguisson, GU

- Activity Title: SPCS #5 add 105 ANG personnel

- Activity Description:

SPCS #5 add 105 ANG personnel.

- Activity Start Date Start Month: 1 Start Year: 2022

- Activity End Date

Indefinite:	Yes
End Month:	N/A
End Year:	N/A

- Activity Emissions:

Pollutant	Emissions Per Year (TONs)
VOC	0.304835
SO _x	0.004334
NO _x	0.250417
CO	4.995346
PM 10	0.013484

Pollutant	Emissions Per Year (TONs)
PM 2.5	0.006742
Pb	0.000000
NH ₃	0.045749
CO ₂ e	241.2

5.2 Personnel Assumptions

- Number of Personnel	
Active Duty Personnel:	0
Civilian Personnel:	0
Support Contractor Personnel:	0
Air National Guard (ANG) Personnel:	105
Reserve Personnel:	0

- Default Settings Used: Yes

- Average Personnel Round Trip Commute (mile): 20 (default)

- Personnel Work Schedule

i ersonner work benedule	
Active Duty Personnel:	5 Days Per Week (default)
Civilian Personnel:	5 Days Per Week (default)
Support Contractor Personnel:	5 Days Per Week (default)
Air National Guard (ANG) Personnel:	4 Days Per Week (default)
Reserve Personnel:	4 Days Per Month (default)

5.3 Personnel On Road Vehicle Mixture

- On Road Vehicle Mixture (%)

	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
POVs	37.55	60.32	0	0.03	0.2	0	1.9
GOVs	54.49	37.73	4.67	0	0	3.11	0

5.4 Personnel Emission Factor(s)

	VOC	SO _x	NO _x	CO	PM 10	PM 2.5	Pb	\mathbf{NH}_3	CO ₂ e
LDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDGT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
HDGV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
LDDT	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
HDDV	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800
MC	00.6330	00.0090	00.5200	10.3730	00.0280	00.0140		00.0950	00500.800

- On Road Vehicle Emission Factors (grams/mile)

5.5 Personnel Formula(s)

- Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel for Work Days per Year

 $VMT_P = NP * WD * AC$

VMT_P: Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles/year) NP: Number of Personnel WD: Work Days per Year AC: Average Commute (miles)

- Total Vehicle Miles Travel per Year

 $VMT_{Total} = VMT_{AD} + VMT_{C} + VMT_{SC} + VMT_{ANG} + VMT_{AFRC}$

VMT_{Total}: Total Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
VMT_{AD}: Active Duty Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
VMT_C: Civilian Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
VMT_{SC}: Support Contractor Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
VMT_{ANG}: Air National Guard Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)
VMT_{AFRC}: Reserve Personnel Vehicle Miles Travel (miles)

- Vehicle Emissions per Year

 $V_{POL} = (VMT_{Total} * 0.002205 * EF_{POL} * VM) / 2000$

 V_{POL} : Vehicle Emissions (TONs) VMT_{Total}: Total Vehicle Miles Travel (miles) 0.002205: Conversion Factor grams to pounds EF_{POL}: Emission Factor for Pollutant (grams/mile) VM: Personnel On Road Vehicle Mixture (%) 2000: Conversion Factor pounds to tons